

NON-INTERVENTIONAL (NI) STUDY PROTOCOL

PASS Information

Title	An Active Surveillance, Post-Authorization Safety Study (PASS) of Serious Infection, Malignancy, Cardiovascular (CV) and Other Safety Events of Interest among Patients Treated with Tofacitinib for Moderately to Severely Active Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) within the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register-Rheumatoid Arthritis (BSRBR-RA)
Protocol Number	A3921312
Protocol Version Identifier	v 2.0
Date	10 September 2021
EU Post Authorisation Study (PAS) Register Number	EUPAS31126
Active Substance	L04AA29 Tofacitinib
Medicinal Product	Xeljanz® (tofacitinib)
Product Reference	EU/1/17/1178/001-004
Procedure Number	EMA/H/C/0004214
Marketing Authorisation Holder (MAH)	Pfizer Europe
Joint PASS	No
Research Question and Objectives	Research Question: What are the rates of safety events of special interest in RA patients treated with tofacitinib in relation to other new advanced targeted therapies? Objectives: To evaluate the rates of serious infections, malignancy (overall, excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung

	cancer, cardiovascular events, MACE, MI, and other specified outcomes, including fractures, among patients with RA in United Kingdom (UK)-based register who initiate tofacitinib. Rates will also be estimated among RA patients who initiate tumour necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) drugs (ie, patients receiving Humira (adalimumab) (ADA), Enbrel (etanercept) (ETA), or Remicade (infliximab) (INF)) to provide context for rates observed on tofacitinib. Further, event rates will be estimated in elderly patients aged 65 years and older. Pending feasibility, rates of malignancy (overall, excluding NMSC), subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung cancer, serious infection, CV events, MACE, MI and other event rates, including fractures, will be compared between tofacitinib treated RA patients and other comparator cohorts using methods to adjust for sex, age, year of treatment start, treatment history, disease severity, comorbidities and other potential confounders.
Country(-ies) of study	United Kingdom
Author	Michelle R. Iannacone, PhD Pfizer, Inc. 219 East 42nd St, 219/08/01 New York, New York 10017 USA

Marketing Authorisation Holder(s)

Marketing Authorisation Holder(s)	Pfizer Europe Boulevard de la Plaine 17 1050 Bruxelles Belgium
MAH Contact Person	Anne Lenihan Pfizer Ltd Walton Oaks Dorking Road

	Walton-on-the-Hill Surrey KT20 7NS United Kingdom
--	---

This document contains confidential information belonging to Pfizer. Except as otherwise agreed to in writing, by accepting or reviewing this document, you agree to hold this information in confidence and not copy or disclose it to others (except where required by applicable law) or use it for unauthorized purposes. In the event of any actual or suspected breach of this obligation, Pfizer must be promptly notified.

1. TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	4
APPENDICES	5
2. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	6
3. RESPONSIBLE PARTIES.....	8
4. ABSTRACT.....	9
5. AMENDMENTS AND UPDATES.....	11
6. MILESTONES.....	12
7. RATIONALE AND BACKGROUND.....	13
8. RESEARCH QUESTION AND OBJECTIVES	15
9. RESEARCH METHODS	17
9.1. Study Design	17
9.2. Setting.....	17
9.2.1. Inclusion Criteria	19
9.2.1.1. Inclusion Criteria: Tofacitinib-Exposed Cohort.....	19
9.2.1.2. Inclusion Criteria: bDMARD-Exposed Cohort.....	19
9.2.1.3. Inclusion Criteria: bDMARD-Naïve Cohort.....	19
9.2.2. Exclusion Criteria	19
9.2.3. Index Date.....	19
9.2.4. Risk Window	19
9.3. Variables.....	22
9.3.1. Baseline Data	22
9.3.2. Endpoints	24
9.4. Data Sources.....	25
9.5. Study Size.....	26
9.6. Data Management	29
9.7. Data Analysis	29
9.8. Quality Control.....	31
9.9. Limitations of the Research Methods.....	31
9.10. Other Aspects	32
10. PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS	32

10.1. Patient Information.....	32
10.2. Patient Consent.....	33
10.3. Patient Withdrawal.....	33
10.4. Institutional Review Board (IRB)/Independent Ethics Committee (IEC)	33
10.5. Ethical Conduct of the Study	33
11. MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE EVENTS/ADVERSE REACTIONS	33
12. PLANS FOR DISSEMINATING AND COMMUNICATING STUDY RESULTS.....	34
13. REFERENCES	35
14. LIST OF TABLES	38
15. LIST OF FIGURES	38
ANNEX 1. LIST OF STAND ALONE DOCUMENTS	39
ANNEX 2. ENCEPP CHECKLIST FOR STUDY PROTOCOLS	40
ANNEX 3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	47

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. ICD and MedDRA Codes For Select Safety Endpoints	48
--	----

2. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
ACR	American College of Rheumatology
ADA	adalimumab (Humira)
AE	adverse event
bDMARD	biologic disease modifying antirheumatic drug
BID	bis in die (Twice a day)
BP	blood pressure
BSR	British Society for Rheumatology
BSRBR	British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register
BSRBR-RA	British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register- Rheumatoid Arthritis
CHF	congestive heart failure
CI	confidence interval
CNS	central nervous system
csDMARD	conventional synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drug
CV	Cardiovascular
CVD	cardiovascular disease
DAS	Disease activity score
DMARD	disease modifying antirheumatic drug
DMEC	Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee
EBV	Epstein Barr Virus
EC	European Commission
EMA	European Medicines Agency
ENCePP	European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance
EPITT	European Pharmacovigilance Issues Tracking Tool
EQ-5D	EuroQol Five Dimensions Questionnaire
ESR	erythrocyte sedimentation rate
ETA	etanercept (Enbrel)
EU	European Union
GI	Gastrointestinal
GPP	Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices
HAQ	health assessment questionnaire
HLT	high level term
ICD	International classification of diseases
IEC	independent ethics committee
IL	Interleukin
INF	infliximab (Remicade)
IRB	institutional review board
ISPE	International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology
JAK	Janus kinase

Abbreviation	Definition
LLT	Low level term
LTE	long term extension
MACE	major adverse cardiovascular events
MAH	marketing authorization holder
MedDRA	Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities
Mg	Milligram
MI	Myocardial infarction
MTX	Methotrexate
NDA	New Drug Application
NEC	Not elsewhere classifiable
NHL	non-Hodgkin's lymphoma
NI	non-interventional
NICE	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
NMSC	non-melanoma skin cancer
NSAIDs	non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs
OI	opportunistic infection
PAS	Post-authorization study
PASS	Post-Authorization Safety Study
PBRER	Periodic Benefit-Risk Evaluation Report
PML	progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy
PPV	Positive predictive value
PRAC	Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee
PT	Preferred term
PV	pharmacovigilance
PY	person-years
RA	rheumatoid arthritis
RMP	Risk Management Plan
SAE	serious adverse event
SAP	statistical analysis plan
SEER	Surveillance and Epidemiology End Results
SIR	standardised incidence ratio
SmPC	Summary of Product Characteristics
SOP	standard operating procedure
TB	Tuberculosis
TBD	to be determined
TNF	tumour necrosis factor
TNFi	tumour necrosis factor inhibitor
UK	United Kingdom
VTE	Venous thromboembolism

3. RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Principal Investigator(s) of the Protocol

Name, degree(s)	Job Title	Affiliation	Address
Michelle R. Iannacone, PhD	Epidemiologist	Safety Surveillance Research, Worldwide Safety, Pfizer, Inc.	219 East 42 nd Street, 219/08/01 New York, New York 10017 USA
Kimme Hyrich, MD, PhD, FRCPC	Professor of Epidemiology and Consultant Rheumatologist	The University of Manchester	Arthritis Research UK Centre for Epidemiology, 2 nd Floor Stopford Bldg, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL United Kingdom

Country Coordinating Investigators

Not applicable.

4. ABSTRACT

Title: An Active Surveillance, Post-Authorization Safety Study (PASS) of Serious Infection, Malignancy, Cardiovascular (CV) and Other Safety Events of Interest among Patients Treated with Tofacitinib for Moderately to Severely Active Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) within the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register-Rheumatoid Arthritis (BSRBR-RA).

Version: Final Protocol (v2.0).

Date: 10 September 2021.

Rationale and background: Tofacitinib is a potent, selective inhibitor of the Janus kinase (JAK) family of kinases with a high degree of selectivity relative to other kinases in the human genome. Tofacitinib was approved in the European Union (EU) in March 2017 at a dose of 5 mg administered twice daily (BID) for the treatment of adult patients with moderately to severely active RA who have responded inadequately to, or who are intolerant to, one or more disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). To enable assessment of safety endpoints of special interest including rare events and endpoints with long latency periods, Pfizer will implement a post-approval, active surveillance study of tofacitinib-exposed patients using actively collected prospective data in BSRBR-RA.

Research question: Research Question: What are the rates of safety events of special interest in RA patients treated with tofacitinib in relation to other new advanced targeted therapies

Objectives: To evaluate the rates of serious infections, malignancy (overall, excluding NMSC), subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung cancer, cardiovascular events, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), myocardial infarction (MI), and other specified outcomes, including fractures, among patients with RA in a United Kingdom (UK)-based register who initiate tofacitinib. Rates will also be estimated among RA patients who initiate other tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor (TNFi) drugs (ie, patients receiving Humira (adalimumab) (ADA), Enbrel (etanercept) (ETA), or Remicade (infliximab) (INF)) to provide context for rates observed on tofacitinib. In response to the June 2021 signal evaluation procedure, subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung cancer, and MACE have been added as study endpoints (MI and lymphoproliferative malignancy (overall) were already included as a study endpoints). Further, rates of events, including serious infections, MACE, MI and malignancies excluding NMSC, will be estimated in elderly patients aged 65 years and older.

Study design: This active surveillance study uses data from the existing BSRBR-RA, an ongoing, prospective, observational cohort study started in 2001 with the primary aim of studying the safety of new therapies for RA during routine post-marketed clinical use.

Population: The study population will comprise all patients with RA enrolled within BSRBR-RA who receive tofacitinib following EU approval and marketing, through the end of the study period. Two comparator cohorts of patients within BSRBR with active RA at cohort entry will be used for risk characterization purposes. The first comparator cohort

consists of RA patients who are biologic-naïve at recruitment receiving conventional synthetic DMARDS (csDMARDs), the second consists of RA patients initiating TNFi per national guidelines (Disease activity score (DAS) >5.1).

Variables: The study variables include baseline patient characteristics (ie, clinical and demographic characteristics, comorbidities and current and past therapies) and safety events of interest including, but are not restricted to, the following: serious infections, malignancies (including lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes and lung cancer), and cardiovascular events (including MACE).

Data sources: BSRBR collects core baseline data, including patient demographics and disease characteristics, will be collected by the recruiting clinician using a standardised form. In addition, some BSRBR personal and medical information are obtained directly from each patient recruited (eg, smoking history, alcohol consumption, and work status).

Study size: This is an active surveillance descriptive study without pre-specified statistical hypotheses therefore there is no minimum sample size requirement. The targeted sample size for tofacitinib-treated patients is 500, though enrolment will not be capped and continue throughout the study period. Over 3800 biologic-naïve and 2100 biologic-exposed patients are currently enrolled in the register.

Data analysis: The initial analyses will consist of descriptive comparisons of baseline status and crude event rates between the different cohorts. The final analysis of endpoints will provide the rates of events overall and in subgroups defined by baseline characteristics. Pending feasibility, rates of malignancy (overall excluding NMSC), lymphoproliferative malignancy (overall and by subtype), lung cancer, serious infection, CV events and other event rates will be compared between tofacitinib-treated RA patients and the comparator cohorts using methods that adjust for sex, age, year of treatment start, treatment history, disease severity, comorbidities, and other potential confounders. For lymphoproliferative malignancy, incidence rates will be stratified by lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes; not limited to but including non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), Hodgkin lymphoma, chronic lymphatic leukemia. Similarly, CV event rates will be stratified by type of event (e.g. myocardial infarction (MI), MACE, serious congestive heart failure). Further, for the outcomes of MI and MACE, incidence rates of the safety events of interest will be stratified by patients with ≥ 1 CV risk factors versus no CV risk factors.

Milestones: Patient characteristics and rates of events of special interest will be provided every six months to Pfizer; each 6-monthly report will provide cumulative data and reporting period data. Additionally, interim reports will be provided at 2, 4, 6 years after the start of data collection. A final study report including linked data, will include 7 years of data after start of data collection.

5. AMENDMENTS AND UPDATES

Amendment number	Date	Protocol section(s) changed	Summary of amendment(s)	Reason
1.0	September 2021	Title Page	Updated to replace old Pfizer logo with new one Updated to include EU PAS Register Number Updated objectives to align with changes in protocol. Updated contact information for protocol author. Updated contact information for the MAH Contact Person	Editorial change Editorial change Editorial change Study transition for the Marketing Authorisation Holder's (MAH) Protocol Author. Study staff transition for the MAH's vendor
	September 2021	Section 2	Updated to include new abbreviations	Editorial change
	September 2021	Section 3	Updated contact information for a principal investigator of the protocol	Study transition for MAH's principle investigator.
	September 2021	Section 4	Revised version and date. Updated Objective and Variables to include lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes, lung cancer and MACE as additional safety endpoints. Updated Data Analysis to specify subgroup analyses for MI and MACE as well as lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes.	Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee (PRAC) request and clarification.
	September 2021	Section 7	Updated to include information on changes to protocol resulting from June 2021 signal evaluation procedures. Also updated to include fractures as an additional safety event of interest.	Editorial changes. Based on available data, Pfizer has identified fractures as a potential risk
	September 2021	Section 8	Updated objectives to include lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes, lung cancer and MACE as additional safety endpoints. Updated objective to include estimation of event rates in the elderly aged 65 years and older.	PRAC request and clarifications
	September 2021	Section 9.2.4	Updated Risk Window to include sensitivity analysis for the 90-extension period.	PRAC request and clarification.
	September 2021	Section 9.3.1	Updated to include CV risk factors	PRAC request and clarifications

	September 2021	Section 9.3.2	Updated to include lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes, lung cancer and MACE as additional safety endpoints. Updated to include fracture as additional safety endpoint. Updated to include all-cause mortality as a safety endpoint.	PRAC request and clarifications Based on available data, Pfizer has identified fractures as a potential risk Editorial Change.
	September 2021	Section 9.7	Updated analysis to specify subgroup analyses for MI and MACE as well as lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes. Updated to specify 'elderly' as aged 65 years and older.	PRAC request and clarifications Editorial change.
	September 2021	Section 9.9	Updated limitations to research methods to include limitations in data capture of characteristics that may influence VTE and CV outcomes and risk.	PRAC request and clarification.
	September 2021	Section 11	Minor clarifications to safety reporting language	Editorial change
	September 2021	Annex 2	Author name changed. Signature and signature date updated	Study transition for the Marketing Authorisation Holder's (MAH) Protocol Author.
	September 2021	Appendix 1	Updated to include definitions for additional endpoints.	PRAC request and clarifications

6. MILESTONES

Milestone	Planned date
Registration in the EU PAS register	01 September 2019
Start of data collection	15 September 2019
Interim report	14 March 2021
Interim report	14 March 2023
Interim report	14 March 2025
End of data collection	14 September 2025
Final Study Report	14 August 2026

7. RATIONALE AND BACKGROUND

RA is a chronic and systemic inflammatory disease with an estimated prevalence of 0.5-1.0% and a mean annual incidence of 0.02-0.05% within Northern European and North American populations.¹ RA is characterised by inflammation, joint destruction, and progressive disability. Joint destruction is frequently irreversible resulting in significant cumulative morbidity. Patients experience a broad range of co-morbidities. Compared with the general population, RA patients are at a higher risk of infections, CV disease (CVD) and malignancies (including lymphoma). These patients are also treated with multiple classes of agents, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), glucocorticoids, and DMARDs including biologicals, each of which carry significant risks as well as benefits.

Tofacitinib is the first oral JAK inhibitor to show clinical efficacy in the management of RA. Many of the cytokines that are dysregulated in RA signal through JAKs.^{16,31} Tofacitinib reduces the production of proinflammatory mediators by inhibiting the signaling of multiple cytokines important in the pathogenesis of RA).¹⁷ Unlike biological therapies, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitor (TNFi) and anti-interleukin (IL)-6 receptor monoclonal antibodies that markedly inhibit one cytokine pathway over an extended period of time, JAK inhibition by tofacitinib results in a pattern of partial and reversible inhibition of the intracellular effects from several inflammatory cytokines. Tofacitinib is a potent, selective inhibitor of the Janus kinase (JAK) family of kinases with a high degree of selectivity relative to other kinases in the human genome.

In March 2017, XELJANZ[®] (tofacitinib citrate) was approved in the EU at a dose of 5 mg administered BID for the treatment of adult patients with moderately to severely active RA who have who have responded inadequately to, or who are intolerant to, one or more DMARDs. Tofacitinib citrate is also approved in more than 80 additional countries as of August 2017, including the United States, Canada, Australia, Switzerland, and Japan.

Careful observation of large cohorts of patients is needed to detect any increase in risk either of malignancy or infection, possibly due to tofacitinib treatment. Furthermore, it is important that surveillance also examines the occurrence of other co-morbidities and mortality. It is possible that long-term effective disease suppression might actually reduce all-cause mortality and the risk of lymphoproliferative malignancy.

It therefore follows that for all new biologic and other targeted therapies there is a need for active surveillance to identify higher than expected rates of such safety endpoints overall and within strata of disease severity, treatment history, and other concomitant therapy. To enable assessment of safety endpoints of special interest including rare events and endpoints with long latency periods, Pfizer will implement a post-approval, active surveillance study of tofacitinib-exposed patients using actively collected prospective data in BSRBR-RA. Long term morbidity and mortality event-tracking of these cohorts over 7 years is an appropriate method for evaluating the risk associated with these treatments.

There is an increased risk of premature mortality, serious infection and lymphoproliferative malignancy in patients with RA and other connective tissue diseases, independent of the treatment they have received.²¹ Thus, the patients on newly approved therapies without a well-established record of safety are already at increased background risk of premature mortality, infection and malignancy. Additionally, following the result of the June 2021

signal evaluation procedure (EPITT 19382) to assess the increased incidence rate of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and malignancies excluding non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) in patients treated with tofacitinib for rheumatoid arthritis (RA), lung cancer has been added as a study endpoint to this protocol (myocardial infarction (MI) and lymphoproliferative malignancy were already included as study endpoints prior to the signal evaluation). It is therefore fundamentally important to describe the occurrence of these events among patients treated with newly approved therapies and among patients who remained on “conventional” therapy or received a different targeted agent.

This non-interventional, active surveillance study, embedded within the BSRBR register, is designated as a PASS and is conducted by Pfizer as a Category 3 commitment to the European Medicines Agency (EMA).

Serious Infections

The risk of infections among RA patients depends on the environmental distribution of the organism of interest, inherent patient characteristics and treatment for RA. Persons with RA ≥ 65 years of age are found to be at increased risk of serious infections relative to those < 65 years of age in both clinical trial and observational data.^{5,10} The mechanism by which infection risk is increased in RA patients is likely to be multifactorial. In addition to the underlying disease (RA), therapies used to treat the disease have suppressive effects on the immune system. For example, TNFi may affect host defense against infection since TNF mediates inflammation and modulates cellular immune response. Tofacitinib inhibits cytokines that are integral to lymphocyte activation, proliferation, and function, and inhibition of their signaling may thus result in modulation of multiple aspects of the immune response.

Risk of infections is reportedly higher among TNFi-treated patients than those on DMARDs,^{4,8,10,24} however studies looking at TNFi-treated cohorts over time have shown that rates of serious infection decline over time.^{3,28} The decline may reflect a change in the risk profile of the population as a result of at-risk patients switching therapies, reduced co-administration of corticosteroids, in addition to any impact of TNFi therapy on overall health.²⁸

Tuberculosis (TB) is the most common opportunistic infection (OI) in the RA population, with risks approximating 10-20 times that of the general population, likely due in part to RA therapy.^{2,6,7}

Studies comparing the background risk of herpes zoster in RA and general population cohorts have been inconsistent, with some showing no increased risk and some showing modestly elevated risk.^{15,27,30,33}

Serious infections, including tuberculosis and herpes zoster are important identified risks for RA patients taking tofacitinib.

Malignancies

Certain types of cancers may occur in higher frequency in patients with RA, regardless of the treatment modality, including Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, leukemia, myeloma, and lung cancer.^{22,27} In addition, malignancies, including lymphomas, are a concern with all therapeutic agents that treat RA by modulation of the immune system.

Due to the immunosuppressive properties of approved RA therapies, researchers have investigated the risk of lymphopoietic and hematopoietic cancers in men and women with RA. It is not clear whether the risk of lymphoma in RA patients is increased further by methotrexate (MTX) or TNFi agents, although initial reports from large epidemiological studies have not found an increased risk among TNFi treated patients.¹⁹

Malignancy is an important potential risk for patients taking tofacitinib for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. As part of the June 2021 signal procedure (EPITT No. 19832), lung cancer and lymphoma were categorized as important identified risks for tofacitinib.

Cardiovascular Disease

Patients with RA have higher rates of CVD than the general population.²³ The body of published evidence for increased risk of serious CV events among RA patients is more extensive than the published information on lipid patterns; the extent to which adverse lipid profiles contribute to increased CV risk in patients with RA is unclear.

CV risk is an important potential risk for patients taking tofacitinib for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. In 2019, venous thromboembolism (VTE) was determined to be an important identified risk for tofacitinib. In January 2020, as a result of a reassessment of the benefit-risk of tofacitinib, the European Commission (EC) approved several revisions to the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC), including addition of VTE as an important identified risk associated with the use of tofacitinib. As part of the June 2021 signal procedure (EPITT No. 19382), MI and MACE were categorized as important identified risks for tofacitinib.

Other Safety Events of Interest

The BSRBR-RA register collects data on other safety events of interest in the RA population including central nervous system (CNS) events, fractures, pregnancy and mortality. These events will also be analyzed to identify new safety signals.

8. RESEARCH QUESTION AND OBJECTIVES

This study asks what are the rates of safety events of special interest in RA patients treated with tofacitinib in relation to other new advanced targeted therapies.

Objectives:

To evaluate the rates of serious infections, malignancy (overall, excluding NMSC), subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung cancer, CV, MACE, MI and other specified

outcomes, including fractures, among patients with RA in an existing UK-based register who initiate tofacitinib. Rates will also be estimated among existing cohorts of patients treated with TNFi therapies to provide context for rates observed on tofacitinib. No a priori hypotheses will be tested in this descriptive study. Pending feasibility, rates of malignancy (overall, excluding NMSC), lung cancer, subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, serious infection, CV events, MACE, and MI, and other event rates, including fractures, will be compared between tofacitinib-treated RA patients and the comparator cohorts using methods that adjust for sex, age, year of treatment start, treatment history, disease severity, comorbidities, and other potential confounders. In response to the June 2021 signal evaluation procedure, subtypes of lymphoproliferative malignancy, lung cancer, and MACE have been added as study endpoints (MI and lymphoproliferative malignancy (overall) were already included as a study endpoint). Further, rates of events, including serious infections, MACE, MI and malignancies excluding NMSC, will be estimated in elderly patients aged 65 years and older.

9. RESEARCH METHODS

9.1. Study Design

This is an active surveillance study using existing data within the existing British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for RA (BSRBR-RA), an ongoing prospective observational cohort study started in 2001, which has the primary aim to study the safety of new therapies for RA during routine post-marketed clinical use.

This study will estimate the incidence rates of safety events of interest among patients starting tofacitinib. Rates will also be estimated among (1) an existing cohort of patients starting originator TNFi (ADA, ETA and INF) since 2010 and (2) an existing historic cohort of patients with active RA who had not started a targeted therapy (recruited between 2002 and 2008) but are on csDMARD. No hypotheses will be tested. Data capture and follow-up methods are the same for all cohorts within the BSRBR-RA. Pending adequate sample size to permit adjustment for important variables for comparative analyses, multivariate statistical methods adjusting for potential confounders will be determined a priori and documented in a statistical analysis plan (SAP).

9.2. Setting

The BSRBR-RA was established in 2001 to study the safety of biologic therapies in RA patients living in the UK. For the first 7-8 years the main focus was on the study of the safety profile of the first three TNFi agents (ie, ADA, ETA and INF) as a class and as individual therapies. With the exception of the risk of developing tuberculosis, data within the BSRBR-RA has not demonstrated any clear differences in AE profile between these agents. At the time the register was established, the most appropriate comparison group for these three TNFi agents was patients with active RA receiving treatment with csDMARDs. The register remains a relevant resource for studying the safety profile of new biologic, biosimilar and other targeted therapies as they receive National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) approval and are used in real-world practice where patients have more diverse clinical background and comorbidities than a typical clinical trial population.

Unique features of BSRBR-RA include recruitment and collection of data from parallel comparison groups of patients consisting of (i) those with active RA who were treated with csDMARDs, and (ii) those with active RA who are biologic naïve treated with TNFi, a high proportion of recruited patients in the UK (>80%), and linkage with national mortality and malignancy registries.⁹ Several studies have been conducted using data from the BSRBR-RA including work regarding risks of infections,¹⁰ and malignancies.^{19,25} All patients within the BSRBR-RA provided informed and signed consent for participation (Study Reference 00/8/053).

External validity, ie, generalizability to RA patients who are not enrolled in the register, is maximised by encouraging physicians to enrol each and every patient meeting inclusion criteria, regardless of their baseline demographic or clinical characteristics or treatment history.

Within the BSRBR-RA there are 2 comparator cohorts. These represent cohorts of patients exposed to agents which have been studied:

1. The first is a cohort of patients with prevalent active RA (guide DAS >4.2) on csDMARD recruited between 2001 and 2008 for whom follow-up data are already available. No new data are being collected for this cohort as the 7-year follow-up period since last subject first visit has been surpassed. This cohort consists of patients who were either being treated before the advent of approved targeted therapies for RA or for whom biologics were not needed or desired. Some of these patients will have subsequently progressed to a biologic or other new therapy, been lost to follow up or died. For the purpose of analysis (see below), their follow-up will be censored at the time of the first of these events, thus they will only contribute patient months of follow-up to the first cohort up to the first event. Patients initially enrolled in the first cohort who later initiate a targeted therapy are eligible for subsequent enrolment in the corresponding cohort, eg, biologic or tofacitinib.
2. The second is a cohort of TNFi-exposed patients with active RA registered within 6 months of starting ADA, ETA or INF as their first biologic. Recruitment to this cohort started in 2010 and is ongoing. Patients enrolled in the TNFi-cohort who later initiate tofacitinib are eligible for subsequent enrolment in the tofacitinib-exposed cohort. Per national prescribing restrictions, patients will not be prescribed TNFi and tofacitinib concurrently. All comparisons will be made with the overall TNFi class rather than individual therapies.

The greatest concern in using the BSRBR-RA cohorts is the potential lack of comparability between the newly approved therapy, ie, tofacitinib, and the comparison cohorts in relation to their underlying risk of endpoint development. If there is a significant imbalance between key confounders between the groups then this could reduce the validity of comparisons. The key confounders to be measured at baseline include details of disease severity, including symptom duration, current health assessment questionnaire (HAQ), current significant comorbidities and relevant previous therapies. Analyses undertaken to date comparing the established TNFi cohorts with the csDMARD group have not revealed any serious imbalance that cannot be adjusted for in subsequent analyses.¹⁹

Study Population

The active surveillance population includes rheumatoid arthritis patients already enrolled in the register who met the criteria for the cohorts as defined in [Section 9.3.2](#) as well as patients with rheumatoid arthritis, newly treated with tofacitinib following EMA approval and UK launch of the product (fully available January 2018) and registered with the BSRBR-RA. Over 3800 biologic-naïve and 2100 biologic-exposed patients are currently enrolled in the register. Some tofacitinib-exposed patients will be newly enrolled in the register, having been recruited to the BSRBR-RA within 6 months of their first dose. Others will already have been enrolled in the BSRBR-RA as prior biologic disease modifying antirheumatic drug (bDMARD) initiators, but will switch to tofacitinib during follow up. Key clinical data at time of switch are requested. For patients enrolled during the 6 month period after initiation, the baseline date will be reported as the drug start date rather than the date of

registration. The first post-baseline visit occurs at 6 months after tofacitinib initiation, regardless of date of enrolment.

9.2.1. Inclusion Criteria

Patients must meet all of the following inclusion criteria to be eligible for inclusion in the study:

9.2.1.1. Inclusion Criteria: Tofacitinib-Exposed Cohort

- Eligible for BSRBR-RA.
- Initiation of tofacitinib, regardless of prior therapy (within 6 months of register enrolment).

9.2.1.2. Inclusion Criteria: bDMARD-Exposed Cohort

- Eligible for BSRBR-RA.
- Initiation of ADA, ETA or INF as their first bDMARD within 6 months of register enrolment.

9.2.1.3. Inclusion Criteria: bDMARD-Naïve Cohort

- Eligible for BSRBR-RA.
- Active RA (guide DAS >4.2 (to ensure comparable disease activity to tofacitinib and bDMARD initiators).
- Prevalent use of csDMARD (prevalent use) without bDMARD or tofacitinib.
- Enrolled in register between 2001-2008.

9.2.2. Exclusion Criteria

There are no exclusion criteria for this study.

9.2.3. Index Date

The index date for the tofacitinib cohort is the date the first tofacitinib dose was taken. Similarly, the date for the second comparator cohort (TNFi-exposed) corresponds to the date of the first dose of ETA, ADA, or INF, which ever therapy was taken first. For the first comparator cohort, the index date is the date of entry into the register. This cohort is based on prevalent active RA treated with csDMARD.

9.2.4. Risk Window

Within each cohort each patient will be evaluated for safety events of interest and accrue person-time from the cohort index date until the first occurrence of the event of interest, initiation of biologic (comparator cohort 1), discontinuation of biologic (comparator cohort 2), discontinuation of tofacitinib (tofacitinib-exposed cohort), death, loss to follow up, exit

from the register or after 7 years of follow up. Differences in duration of therapy will be examined (similar to censoring patterns). Interim reports will not censor the existing comparison cohorts to match the tofacitinib cohort. The final report will censor all patients at 7 years after the first tofacitinib exposed patient enters the register. Follow-up will be uniquely determined for each safety endpoint of interest.

Some outcomes of interest in this study are thought to potentially occur at a higher rate while on drug, but that increased risk subsides after the drug is discontinued (ie, serious infections, herpes zoster, CV events, gastrointestinal (GI) perforation, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML)).¹ Those events will be evaluated over a risk window that includes time from drug initiation until 90 days after end of treatment. When a patient initiates a new therapy within the 90-day extension, the time and events during the overlapping period will be assigned to both treatments. The 90-day extension period is implemented in part to accommodate ongoing exposure to treatments with longer half-lives, and in part to ensure that any subclinical or undiagnosed illness at time of end of treatment is captured. As an additional sensitivity analysis for MACE, MI, serious infection, VTE, herpes zoster, PML, and gastrointestinal perforation events with a 90-day extension period applied after treatment discontinuation, if a new medication is started during the 90-day window after discontinuation of a previous medication, initiation of the new medication will stop the 90-day risk window, and any event prior to the new medication start will be assigned to the discontinued medication. Similarly, as part of the primary analysis, for malignancy, excluding NMSC, and all-cause mortality events, a 90-day risk window will be applied to the censoring at switch approach (i.e., if a malignancy or death occurs in first 90 days after a patient has switched to a different therapy, follow-up time and the event will be attributed to prior therapy and not current therapy).

For non-melanoma skin cancers (NMSC), lung cancer, lymphoproliferative malignancy, and malignancies excluding NMSC, and all-cause mortality, the manifestation of which is expected to be delayed relative to the time of exposure, the outcomes will be evaluated using two different approaches, a once exposed always at risk approach as the primary analysis and a censor at switch approach as a secondary analysis. PML rates will also be described using this approach.

The primary analysis will assume a once exposed always at risk paradigm, as is frequently used in study of malignancy risk due to bDMARDs.^{18,19,31,28} Under this approach, follow up for each cohort continues from the cohort index date until the first of a malignancy event, loss to follow up, death or end of study. Follow up for each exposure cohort continues after switching to a new drug or discontinuation of treatment. This approach maximizes follow up time and the ability to capture long latency events, ie, events that occur or are detected years after exposure. Under this approach, events will be double-counted if a patient indexed to bDMARD switches to tofacitinib and a malignancy occurs subsequent to tofacitinib exposure. That is, the event will be assigned to both the bDMARD and the tofacitinib

¹ The potential mechanism for increased PML risk is poorly understood. PML will be evaluated using both on drug and once-exposed always at risk approaches.

exposure cohorts as will the corresponding person years since index to the respective cohorts. Because tofacitinib is expected to be used as a later line therapy, switching is expected to be non-random with most tofacitinib patients having been included in the bDMARD cohort prior to initiation of tofacitinib. In such cases, the bDMARD rate will have more associated person-years and thus a relatively lower rate than the corresponding rate in the tofacitinib cohort.

Using this primary analytic approach, if neither tofacitinib nor bDMARDs cause an increased risk of malignancy both exposure cohort rates will reflect the background rates of malignancy from the time of index to the end of the study period and the comparative effect measure will indicate no difference in rates. If tofacitinib does cause an increased rate of malignancy, which is the effect we are most interested in detecting, a relatively higher rate will be observed in the tofacitinib exposed cohort. The once exposed always at risk approach is therefore able to detect an increased rate given the non-random switching expected to occur given use of bDMARDs prior to tofacitinib and is consistent with previous studies evaluating the risk of individual biologics.^{18,19,31,28} Additional analyses will be conducted to evaluate potential confounders and the impact of different latency assumptions as will be described in the SAP. Sensitivity analyses will be conducted that restrict the bDMARD comparator cohort to patients who were never exposed to tofacitinib or other non-biologic advanced therapies and compare the characteristics of those bDMARD patients ever and never exposed to tofacitinib.

Secondary analyses that censor follow up time after a switch to a different treatment class will also be performed. Among patients indexed to a bDMARD cohort, follow up will begin at index and continue until the first of an event, switch to tofacitinib or other non-biologic advanced systemic therapy, loss to follow up, death, or study end date. Similarly, for tofacitinib, follow up will begin at index and continue until the first of an event, switch to a non-JAK inhibitor-based advanced systemic therapy, loss to follow up, death or study end date. While this approach eliminates the problem of double counting, it may not allow sufficient follow up time to allow for latent effects or detection and decreases the number of events included reducing the statistical power to detect a higher risk of malignancy in tofacitinib treated patients. However, under an assumption of no latency or a very short latent period as in an aggressive tumor promoter, this approach would detect an increased risk of disease on tofacitinib relative to the risk due to bDMARDs.

Of note, several studies compared a once-exposed approach to a time on drug and other approaches and found similar rates of malignancy using an on-drug and ever-exposed approach.^{18,19,31}

The schematic below provides examples of patterns of event and treatment patterns to illustrate resulting contribution to rate calculation in the once exposed always at risk and censoring at switch analytic models:

*: bDMARD index date.

~: year on bDMARD.

^: tofacitinib index date.

-: year on tofacitinib.

O: discontinuation of advanced systemic therapies.

=: year not on systemic therapy.

X: event.

Treatment/Event pattern	Once-exposed always at risk		Censoring at Switch	
	bDMARD rate contribution (events/person years)	Tofacitinib rate contribution (events/person years)	bDMARD rate contribution (events/person years)	Tofacitinib rate contribution (events/person years)
* ~ ~ ~ ^ - - X	1/5	1/2	0/3	1/2
* ~ ~ ~ X	1/3	0/0	1/3	0/0
^ - - - O = = = X	0/0	1/6	0/0	1/6 ^a
* ~ ~ ~ ^ - - - ~ ~ ~ X	1/9	1/6	0/3	0/3
^ - - - ~ ~ ~ X	0/0 ^b	1/7	0/0 ^b	0/4

a. Patients continue to be followed after index exposure discontinuation if they do not initiate another systemic therapy in a different class.

b. Patients are ineligible for bDMARD cohort index after tofacitinib index.

Note: if an event does not occur, person time will be allocated to rate denominator as described in table without corresponding event.

Patients switching therapies are eligible to move between cohorts if inclusion/exclusion criteria are met.

9.3. Variables

9.3.1. Baseline Data

Baseline data are derived from BSRBR information reported by the recruiting clinician (or patient where noted), using a standardised form:

1. Diagnosis (including the presence or absence of those features listed in 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for RA);
2. Age at treatment start, gender, year of recalled symptom onset, year of diagnosis;
3. Ethnicity (patient form);
4. Previous drug history of immunosuppressive csDMARDs and biologics, biosimilar or other new advanced therapy, including duration of therapy recorded as start month/year;

5. Co-morbidity (e.g., history of diabetes mellitus, history of MI, history of hypertension, and ever for malignancy events (i.e., history of malignancies excluding NMSC, and specifically history of NMSC, history of lymphoma and history of lung cancer)) (ie, <http://bsrbr.org/hospitals/data-collection/>);
6. All current therapy;
7. Findings necessary to calculate the DAS 28;
8. HAQ and EuroQol Five Dimensions Questionnaire (EQ-5D);
9. Height, weight, single blood pressure (BP) measurement at baseline;
10. Oral steroid use (baseline and ever exposure);
11. Smoking history (current, past, never – patient form);
12. Current working status (patient form); and
13. Vaccination.

To facilitate the evaluation of the primary endpoint of VTE, the following VTE risk factors will be evaluated at baseline and/or, for some risk factors, within specific time periods prior to index date as specified below:

- Age
- Previous VTE (*if feasible*)
- Undergoing major surgery from date of hospital admission to one month after date of discharge (*if feasible*)
- MI within previous 3 months prior to index date (defined in [Section 9.2.3](#))
- Heart failure
- Ever use at baseline of combined hormonal contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy (*if feasible*)
- Malignancy
- Diabetes
- Hypertension
- Inpatient care because of RA (i.e., RA as main diagnostic listing; from date of admission to date after discharge)

To facilitate the evaluation of the safety endpoints of MI and MACE, the following CV risk factors will also be evaluated at baseline:

- Age (patients ≥ 65 years versus < 65 years)
- History of diabetes
- History of hypertension
- History of previous MI
- History of coronary heart disease
- History of stable angina pectoris

9.3.2. Endpoints

The BSRBR-RA is an existing, efficient data collection system for evaluating a range of safety outcomes associated with therapies used to treat RA including cancers,^{19,25} cardiovascular events,¹² and serious infections.¹⁰ The endpoints collected in BSRBR-RA are events associated with RA itself and therapies used to treat moderate-to-severe disease. The endpoints of interest are listed below:

1. Aplastic Anaemia, Pancytopenia, Serious Neutropenia;
2. Cerebrovascular Accident;
3. Death;
4. Demyelination, Optic Neuritis;
5. Fractures;
6. Hepatitis B Reactivation;
7. Malignancy (overall, excluding NMSC);
8. Lymphoproliferative Malignancy (overall and independently by subtype, including non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, and chronic lymphatic leukemia);
9. Lung Cancer;
10. NMSC;
11. Myocardial Infarction/Acute Coronary Syndrome;
12. Major Adverse Cardiac Events (MACE)
13. Pregnancy;

14. Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML);
15. Pulmonary Embolism;
16. Serious Congestive Heart Failure;
17. Serious Infusion/Immunologic Reaction;
18. Serious Hypersensitivity Reaction;
19. Serious Infection;
20. Herpes Zoster;
21. Serious Hepatic Dysfunction/Failure;
22. Serious Lower Gastrointestinal Ulcer/Bleed/Perforation;
23. Serious Lupus/ Lupus-Like Illness;
24. Serious Skin Reaction (eg, Stevens Johnson syndrome, erythema multiforme, toxic epidermal necrosis);
25. Serious Haemorrhage;
26. Tuberculosis;
27. Venous thromboembolic events (pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis);
28. All-cause mortality.

Given the age-dependent rate events of interest, analyses will be conducted in elderly patients aged ≥ 65 years.

9.4. Data Sources

Baseline

BSRBR is the source of core baseline data, including patient demographics and disease characteristics collected by the recruiting clinician, using a standardised form. In addition, some BSRBR personal and medical information reflect data obtained directly from each patient recruited (eg, on smoking history, alcohol consumption, and work status).

Follow up

BSRBR data are the source of information on anti-rheumatic treatment, updated every 6 months/year. This includes continuation on drug and dates and reasons for stopping, with details of any change in dose and commencement of any new co-therapy. Clinical information to permit calculation of the DAS 28 is also collected.

BSRBR data include reports from patients contacted every 6 months for the first three years of their follow up period and asked to complete a patient diary which includes data about hospital admissions and new hospital referrals. Data collection instruments are distributed by post to patients and their physicians according to schedule. One attempt is made to follow-up non-responders. Non-responders at one follow up point are (unless further follow up is refused) contacted again at the next follow up point and all follow-up data since the last completed study follow-up is requested. Patients lost to follow up continue to be followed for death and cancer endpoints through the respective registers.

Endpoints

BSRBR data include reports of serious morbidity, either by subject or enrolling physician during regularly scheduled assessments, and the referring physician is immediately contacted by the BSRBR-RA and asked to provide further details, where available. For specific morbidities of interest certain specific details are requested. All serious morbidities reported to BSRBR are coded by a trained nurse using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA).

BSRBR uses the UK national death and cancer registers allow the “flagging” of individuals such that if they die or are entered in a cancer register, the BSRBR-RA learns of the event and obtain death certificate details or cancer register details. By law, deaths due to natural causes are to be registered within 5 days. However, the lag-time between cancer incidence and registration in the national cancer database takes longer depending on confirmatory diagnoses, tests, national cancer register requirements and local resources. BSRBR-RA downloads which patients have been flagged for incident cancer or death once per year based on personal identifiers.

9.5. Study Size

This active surveillance descriptive study is not intended to test a pre-specified statistical hypothesis therefore no minimum sample size is required. The study enrolment goal is 500 patients in the tofacitinib arm, but success depends largely on use of tofacitinib in UK. Enrolment will not be capped at 500 but continue throughout the study period.

While the primary objective of the protocols is active surveillance, conducting quantitative, confounding controlled comparisons will depend on having a sufficient sample.

Table 1 and Table 2 below describe the power to detect a 2-fold difference in event rates between tofacitinib-initiators and bDMARD-initiators assuming the following:

- $\alpha=0.05$;
- 3 different bDMARD-treated patient population sizes (reflecting roughly range of EU registers): $n=11100$, $n=5050$, $n=1650$;
- 4 different tofacitinib-treated patient population sizes: $n=100$, $n=250$, $n=500$, $n=1000$;

- Estimated rates on bDMARD of 30/1000 person years (PY) (eg, serious infection), 10/1000 PY (eg, malignancy excluding NMSC), and 6/1000 PY (eg, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE)) based on previous analysis with registers (Pfizer, internal data);
- 7-year study period;
- Constant rate of accrual;
- 5% annual loss to follow up among tofacitinib-treated patients.

Additionally, Table 1 assumes a 0% annual rate of switching off tofacitinib, as would be true for a drug with very high persistence or for an analysis following the once exposed always at risk paradigm. Table 2 assumes a 30% annual rate of switching from tofacitinib to a bDMARD over the study period, as previously demonstrated in the EU for bDMARDs in Italy.¹⁰

For an event with a rate of 30/1000 PY, such as serious infections, 250 patients would allow sufficient power to detect a 2-fold difference in rates between tofacitinib and bDMARD-exposed patients assuming very high persistence (Table 1), while 500 tofacitinib exposed patients would be nearly sufficient if 30% of tofacitinib treated patients switched off of tofacitinib annually.

For an event with a rate of 10 cases per 1000 PY, such as malignancy excluding NMSC, a sample of 500 patients approaches 80% power in a medium (n=5050) to large (n=11,100) register when patient time continues to accrue after drug discontinuation (Table 1). It will be a challenge to achieve sufficient power in a register with fewer bDMARD exposed patients. Nonetheless, replication of a similar trend in an underpowered sample could be locally informative.

For an endpoint with an event rate of 6/1000 PY, such as MACE, even assuming high persistence (Table 1) a sample size of 1000 tofacitinib patients within a registry with more than 5000 bDMARD patients would be required to make well-powered comparison. In a scenario with a 30% annual rate of switching off of tofacitinib, 1000 tofacitinib treated patients and 11100 bDMARD patients would only provide 40% power to detect a 2-fold difference (Table 2).

Prior to conducting any analyses, a feasibility assessment will be conducted to determine the approximate power of planned comparative analyses.

Table 1. The Power To Detect A Two-Fold Difference In Risk Among Tofacitinib Exposed Patients Compared With bDMARD-Treated Register Patients Given Different Assumed Sample Sizes, alpha = 0.05, 5-Year Study With Uniform Accrual, 5% Loss To Follow Up Per Year In Tofacitinib Arm

Number of tofacitinib exposed patients	~11100 bDMARD-treated patients	~5050 bDMARD-treated patients	~1650 bDMARD-treated patients
bDMARD rate ~30/1000 PY (eg, serious infections)			
100	0.46	0.45	0.44
250	0.92	0.91	0.88
500	1.00	1.00	0.99
1000	1.00	1.00	1.00
bDMARD rate ~10/1000 PY (eg, malignancy)			
100	0.11	0.12	0.12
250	0.38	0.38	0.36
500	0.75	0.73	0.66
1000	0.98	0.96	0.89
bDMARD rate ~6/1000 PY (eg, MACE)			
100	0.06	0.06	0.06
250	0.20	0.20	0.20
500	0.47	0.46	0.41
1000	0.83	0.79	0.68

Table 2. The Power To Detect A Two-Fold Difference In Risk Among Tofacitinib Exposed Patients Compared With bDMARD-Treated Register Patients Given Different Assumed Sample Sizes, alpha = 0.05, 5-Year Study With Uniform Accrual, 5% Loss To Follow Up Per Year In Tofacitinib Arm

Number of tofacitinib exposed patients	~11100 bDMARD-treated patients	~5050 bDMARD-treated patients	~1650 bDMARD-treated patients
bDMARD rate ~30/1000 PY (eg, serious infections)			
100	0.18	0.18	0.18
250	0.50	0.49	0.46
500	0.84	0.82	0.75
1000	0.99	0.98	0.93
bDMARD rate ~10/1000 PY (eg, malignancy)			
100	0.06	0.06	0.06
250	0.16	0.16	0.15
500	0.33	0.32	0.30
1000	0.64	0.60	0.50
bDMARD rate ~6/1000 PY (eg, MACE)			
100	0.04	0.04	0.04
250	0.09	0.09	0.09
500	0.19	0.19	0.18
1000	0.40	0.38	0.32

Based on the first 12 months of enrolment of tofacitinib-exposed patients in BSRBR, 110 patients are projected to be enrolled in the first 24 months, allowing at least 5 years follow up by the end of the planned study period, assuming the initial rate remains constant over the period.

9.6. Data Management

In BSRBR-RA data are collected via the hospital (at 6 monthly intervals for three years and annually thereafter) and patient questionnaires (at 6 monthly intervals for three years). Patient and physician assessments are sent via post to the study team at the University of Manchester who then enter the data into the study database.

9.7. Data Analysis

All statistical analyses will be performed by BSRBR using Stata. The semi-annual analyses will consist of comparisons in baseline status between the individuals in the different cohorts. All serious and non-serious safety events of interest will be provided.

The initial analyses will consist of descriptive comparisons of baseline status and crude event rates between the different cohorts.

Analyses will be undertaken at 6 monthly intervals following the initial analyses and will include recruitment details, baseline characteristics and crude event rates. Semi-annual reports are delivered in July and January. Such analyses can act as a guide to the ultimate levels of recruitment and length of follow-up required. The need for continued recruitment and follow-up can only be taken in light of results from such analyses.

The final analysis of endpoints will provide the rates of events overall and in subgroups defined by baseline characteristics.

The feasibility of conducting a final comparative study will be evaluated at 7-years of follow up based on statistical power and suitable overlap in patient populations in the exposure groups. Any final comparative report will adjust for differences in severity of disease and other confounders will be completed using appropriate multivariate, propensity score matching, or inverse probability weighting methods. For these analyses, the exposure cohorts will be analyzed overall, previous biologics use and monotherapy and combination therapy with concomitant conventional synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (csDMARDs). Increased risk of malignancy (excluding NMSC), MACE, MI, serious infection, VTE, herpes zoster, PML, and gastrointestinal perforation events, as well as an increase in mortality, in patients treated with a combination therapy with MTX specifically will be described, if sample sizes are sufficient, in the interim and final reports. These and potentially other agreed upon strata will be determined a priori and included in SAP filed with Sponsor. The general analytic approach will be descriptive and include rates of events of interest within stratified treatment cohorts. Data will be presented as number of events, crude and age/sex-standardized incidence rates. Such analyses will be performed by and at the direction of BSRBR. The approved SAP will also describe the a priori determined common set of MedDRA codes and MedDRA version to define serious infections, GI perforations, herpes zoster, fractures, and CV events (eg, MACE). The codes will be harmonised with other registers conducting similar analysis. A draft set of MedDRA codes is included in Appendix 1. Any such comparisons will be made with the overall TNFi class rather than individual therapies. The SAP may modify the plans outlined in the protocol; any major modifications of primary endpoint definitions or their analyses would be reflected in a protocol amendment.

For lymphoproliferative malignancy, incidence rates will be stratified by lymphoproliferative malignancy subtypes; not limited but including non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), Hodgkin lymphoma, chronic lymphatic leukemia. Similarly, CV event rates will be stratified by type of event (e.g. myocardial infarction (MI), MACE, serious congestive heart failure). Further, for the outcomes of MI and MACE, incidence rates of the safety events of interest will be stratified by patients with ≥ 1 CV risk factors versus no CV risk factors. The rates of safety events of interest, including infections, MACE, MI, and malignancies excluding NMSC, will also be evaluated within the elderly aged ≥ 65 years. Descriptive data will be presented in the interim reports. At study completion, all descriptive and comparative data analyses will be presented in the final report.

If feasible, stratified analyses to estimate the incidence rates for VTE stratified by time periods defined by the changes in the SmPC for tofacitinib use in patients with VTE risk

factors will also be conducted (i.e., time period prior to 31 January 2020 vs. time period after 31 January 2020). Additionally, if feasible, stratification of the incidence rates for malignancy excluding NMSC, lung cancer, lymphoproliferative malignancy, MACE and MI by time periods defined by changes in the SmPC for use in patients with malignancy and CV risk factors will be conducted (i.e. time period after June 2021).

Decisions as to the timing of scientific publications are made independently by the British Society of Rheumatology (BSR) and the academic teams who oversee the BSRBR-RA. A Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) has been established by the BSR. The DMEC is independent of the principal investigators and also of any of the pharmaceutical companies involved, and has the power to request interim analyses and advise on the timing and nature of any publications. The DMEC includes at least one epidemiologist and one statistician.

Meta-analytic methods that attempt to combine the results of this study with results from other participating European registers will be used to summarize the findings across studies. A quantitative meta-analysis would permit an estimate of an average effect across the studies with more statistical power than the individual studies, provided a formal evaluation did not reveal substantial heterogeneity. Meta-analysis may reveal between-study heterogeneity such that a subset of more comparable studies could be included in a single estimate. Heterogeneity may be expected, for example due to differences in local prescribing practices, patient populations, competing risks, and prevalence of comorbidities and risk factors. Such heterogeneity would exist even if the coding for endpoint definitions and reporting could be harmonized across registers. In the presence of such heterogeneity, pooling across the registers is not informative as the generalizability of such an estimate is unknown. Pending feasibility of comparative analysis, meta-analytic methods will be determined a priori and described in an approved SAP.

Detailed methodology for summary and statistical analyses of data collected in this study will be documented in a statistical analysis plan (SAP), which will be dated, filed and maintained by the sponsor. The SAP may modify the plans outlined in the protocol; any major modifications of primary endpoint definitions or their analyses would be reflected in a protocol amendment.

9.8. Quality Control

Data used in this study are secondary use of data collected as part of the existing BSRBR, which has established quality control practices.

9.9. Limitations of the Research Methods

This study is designed to assess the safety of tofacitinib within the clinical practice setting utilizing the BSRBR-RA, a well-established UK-based rheumatology register. Despite the strengths of the register, data must be evaluated in light of their limitations. For example, consistent with most observational studies, the possibility of channeling biases, endpoint misclassification, residual confounding and generalizability are of concern when comparing event rates.

As a new therapy in the EU RA treatment armamentarium, it is possible that patients treated with tofacitinib will represent those with the most severe cases of disease, longer disease duration, history of multiple failed RA therapies and physical comorbidities that place patients at increased risk for safety events of interest events. Biases resulting from channeling may present as increased rates of safety events of interest. Comparison to internal comparators may illuminate such channeling. Stratification on key indicators of disease severity, patient characteristics and past therapies can be done for contextualization. Trend analyses may be conducted to evaluate rates over time.

The RA treatment landscape has evolved over time with the introduction of new therapies, treatment recommendations, and approaches to managing these events. The rates of events of interest and their distribution among patient-types may have changed over time. The comparators in this study are not contemporaneous to tofacitinib treated patients. Analysis will be unable to identify or control for any changes in rates due to changes in the treatment landscape.

Certain patient characteristics to help define baseline risk are not captured or are subject to high levels of missing and may limit data interpretation; such characteristics include alcohol consumption, history of comorbidities (e.g. serious or opportunistic infections, herpes zoster, fractures), characteristics which may influence VTE outcomes (e.g. previous VTE, previous major surgery, current or recent use of combined hormonal contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy within 3 months of index date (likely to be missing in database), inherited coagulation disorders), or characteristics which may influence CV risk (e.g. history of coronary artery procedures).

Additionally, stratified analyses by time periods after January 2020 will be limited to the RA patients receiving tofacitinib as the registry is no longer recruiting new patients receiving TNF and DMARD therapy regimens. Event misclassification is of particular concern within the observational setting due to less stringent monitoring relative to clinical trials. While the BSRBR-RA has an established system to identify and capture endpoint data, it is not feasible in such an observational study to verify all events via source documentation.

This study will include patient followed for a period of 7-years after the first tofacitinib patient is enrolled in the register. Conclusions may not be generalizable outside of the 7-year period since initiation of therapy.

9.10. Other Aspects

Not applicable.

10. PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

10.1. Patient Information

This study involves data that exist in anonymized structured format and contain no patient personal information.

All parties will ensure protection of patient personal data and will not include patient names or any other personal identifiable data on any sponsor forms, reports, publications, or in any

other disclosures, except where required by laws. In case of data transfer, Pfizer will maintain high standards of confidentiality and protection of patient personal data.

The tofacitinib PASS will use fully anonymized data from the existing BSRBR-RA, therefore patient consent is not applicable.

10.2. Patient Consent

As this study involves anonymized structured data, which according to applicable legal requirements do not contain data subject to privacy laws, obtaining informed consent from patients by Pfizer is not required.

10.3. Patient Withdrawal

Not applicable; planned analyses use data from secondary data sources that do not include patient identifiers.

10.4. Institutional Review Board (IRB)/Independent Ethics Committee (IEC)

There must be prospective approval of the study protocol, protocol amendments, and other relevant documents (eg, informed consent forms if applicable) from the relevant IRBs/IECs. All correspondence with the IRB/IEC must be retained. Copies of IRB/IEC approvals must be forwarded to Pfizer.

The analyses for the tofacitinib PASS will be completed using fully anonymised data. The data will not contain any patient identification information (eg, name), except for a unique number assigned for the purpose of linking files.

The BSRBR-RA protocols are approved by the North West 5 Research Ethics Committee (REC 00/8/053 with most recent approval amendment (#27) approval date of 06-Dec-2018).

10.5. Ethical Conduct of the Study

The study will be conducted in accordance with legal and regulatory requirements, as well as with scientific purpose, value and rigor and follow generally accepted research practices described in Guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices (GPP) issued by the International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology (ISPE), EMA, European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCePP) Guide on Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology.

11. MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE EVENTS/ADVERSE REACTIONS

This study involves data that exist as structured data by the time of study start.

In these data sources, individual patient data are not retrieved or validated, and it is not possible to link (ie, identify a potential association between) a particular product and medical event for any individual. Thus, the minimum criteria for reporting an AE (ie, identifiable patient, identifiable reporter, a suspect product, and event) cannot be met.

12. PLANS FOR DISSEMINATING AND COMMUNICATING STUDY RESULTS

In the event of any prohibition or restriction imposed (eg, clinical hold) by an applicable competent authority in any area of the world, or if the investigator party responsible for collecting data from the participant is aware of any new information which might influence the evaluation of the benefits and risks of a Pfizer product, Pfizer should be informed immediately.

Summary reports will be generated by BSRBR and provided every 6 months to Pfizer; each 6-monthly report will provide cumulative data and reporting period data. Interim reports summarizing the patient characteristics and crude event rates will be submitted to EMA to reflect 2, 4 and 6 years of the study period. A final dataset, to include 7 years of follow up, will be the basis for a final report to be submitted to EMA. The final report will be included in Risk Management Plan (RMP) updates as appropriate. Data may be used in regulatory communications external to the UK for contextualization purposes. Manuscripts based on specific endpoints of interest may be developed for external publication purposes.

COMMUNICATION OF ISSUES

In the event of any prohibition or restriction imposed (eg, clinical hold) by an applicable Competent Authority in any area of the world, or if the party responsible for collecting data from the participant is aware of any new information which might influence the evaluation of the benefits and risks of a Pfizer product, Pfizer should be informed immediately.

13. REFERENCES

1. Alamanos Y, Drosos AA. Epidemiology of adult rheumatoid arthritis. *AutoimmunRev* 2005;4 (3):130-6.
2. Arkema EV, et al. Are patients with rheumatoid arthritis still at an increased risk of tuberculosis and what is the role of biological treatments? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2015;74:1212–1217.
3. Askling J, Foreb CM, Brandt L, et al. Time-dependent increase in risk of hospitalisation with infection among Swedish RA patients treated with TNF antagonists. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* 2007;66(10):1339-44.
4. Atzeni F, Sarzi-Puttini P, Botsios C, et al. Long-term anti-TNF therapy and the risk of serious infections in a cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: comparison of adalimumab, etanercept and infliximab in the GISEA registry. *Autoimmun Rev* 2012; 12(2):225-9.
5. Bathon JM, Fleischmann RM, van der Heijde DM, et al. Safety and efficacy of etanercept treatment in elderly subjects with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2006; 33:234-43.
6. Brassard P, Lowe AM, Bernatsky S, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis, its treatments, and the risk of tuberculosis in Quebec, Canada. *Arthritis and Rheum* 2009; 61(3):300-4.
7. Carmona L, Gomez-Reino JJ, Rodriguez-Valverde V, et al. Effectiveness of recommendations to prevent reactivation of latent tuberculosis infection in patients treated with tumor necrosis factor antagonists. *Arthritis and Rheum* 2005; 52(6):1766-72.
8. Carmona L, Descalzo MA, Perez-Pampin E, et al. All-cause and cause-specific mortality in rheumatoid arthritis are not greater than expected when treated with tumour necrosis factor antagonists. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007; 66(7):880-5.
9. Elkayam O, Pavelka K. Biologic registries in rheumatology: lessons learned and expectations for the future. *Autoimmun Rev* 2012;12(2): 329-36.
10. Esposti L, Favalli EG, et al. Persistence, switch rates, drug consumption and costs of biological treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: an observational study in Italy. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res.* 2016 Dec 21;9:9-17.
11. Galloway JB, Hyrich KL, Mercer LK, et al. Anti-TNF therapy is associated with an increased risk of serious infections in patients with rheumatoid arthritis especially in the first 6 months of treatment: updated results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register with special emphasis on risks in the elderly. *Rheumatology*, 2011; 50(1): 124-31.

12. Ljung L, Simard JF, Jacobsson L. et al Treatment with tumor necrosis factor inhibitors and the risk of acute coronary syndromes in early rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 64: 42-52. doi:10.1002/art.30654.
13. Low AS, Lunt M, Mercer LK, et al. Association between Ischemic Stroke and Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitor Therapy in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016;68(6):1337-1345.
14. Low AS, Symmons DP, Lunt M, et al. Relationship between exposure to tumour necrosis factor inhibitor therapy and incidence and severity of myocardial infarction in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*, 2017;76(4):654-660.
15. McDonald JR, Zeringue AL, Caplan L, et al. Herpes zoster risk factors in a national cohort of veterans with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48(10) May 15:1364-71.
16. McInnes IB, Schett G. The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365(23):2205-19.
17. Meissner Y, Richter A, Manger B, et al Serious adverse events and the risk of stroke in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results from the German RABBIT cohort *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2017;76:1583-1590.
18. Mercer LK, Lunt M, Low ALS, et al Risk of solid cancer in patients exposed to anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2015;74:1087-1093.
19. Mercer LK, Galloway JB, Lunt M, et al. Risk of lymphoma in patients exposed to antitumour necrosis factor therapy: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register for Rheumatoid Arthritis *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:497–503.
20. Meyer DM, Jesson MI, Li XO, et al. Anti-inflammatory activity and neutrophil reductions mediated by the JAK1/JAK3 inhibitor, CP-690,550, in rat adjuvant-induced arthritis. *J Inflamm* 2010; 7:41.
21. Michaud K, Wolfe F. Comorbidities in rheumatoid arthritis. *Best Pract and Res Clin Rheumatol*. 2007 Oct;21(5):885-906.
22. Parikh-Patel A, Allen M, Cress R, White RH. Risk of cancer among rheumatoid arthritis patients in California. *Cancer Causes Control : CCC*. 2009;20(6):1001-1010. doi:10.1007/s10552-009-9298-y.
23. Peters MJ, Nielen MM, Raterman HG, et al. Increased cardiovascular disease in patients with inflammatory arthritis in primary care: a crosssectional observation. *J Rheumatol* 2009; 36(9):1866-8.

24. Salliot C, Gossec L, Ruysen-Witrand A, et al. Infections during tumour necrosis factor-alpha blocker therapy for rheumatic diseases in daily practice: a systematic retrospective study of 709 patients. *Rheumatology* 2007; 46:327-334.
25. Silva-Fernandez L, Lunt M, Kearsley-Fleet L, et al. The incidence of cancer in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and a prior malignancy who receive TNF inhibitors or rituximab: results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register-Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Rheumatology* 2016;55(11):2033-2039.
26. Smitten AL, Choi HK, Hochberg MC, et al. The risk of herpes zoster in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in the United States and the United Kingdom. *Arthritis and Rheum* 2007; 57(8):1431-8.
27. Smitten AL, Simon TA, Hochberg MC, et al. A meta-analysis of the incidence of malignancy in adult patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Res and Ther* 2008, 10:R45 (doi:10.1186/ar2404).
28. Strangfeld A, Hierse F, Rau R, et al. Risk of incident or recurrent malignancies among patients with rheumatoid arthritis exposed to biologic therapy in the German biologics register RABBIT. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2010;12(1):R5.
29. Strangfeld A, Eveslage M, Schneider M, et al. Treatment benefit or survival of the fittest: what drives the time-dependent decrease in serious infection rates under TNF inhibition and what does this imply for the individual patient? *Ann Rheum Dis* 2011; 70(11):1914-20.
30. Veetil BM, Myasoedova E, Matteson EL, et al. Incidence and time trends of herpes zoster in rheumatoid arthritis: a population-based cohort study. *Arthritis Care Res* 2013; 65(6):854-61.
31. Wadström H, Frisell T, Askling J, for the Anti-Rheumatic Therapy in Sweden (ARTIS) Study Group. Malignant Neoplasms in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Treated With Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors, Tocilizumab, Abatacept, or Rituximab in Clinical Practice: A Nationwide Cohort Study From Sweden. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(11):1605–1612.
32. Walker JG, and Smith MD. The Jak-STAT pathway in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol*, 2005;32(9):1650-3.
33. Wolfe F, Michaud K, Chakravarty EF. Rates and predictors of herpes zoster in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and non-inflammatory musculoskeletal disorders. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006; 45(11):1370-5.

14. LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. The Power To Detect A Two-Fold Difference In Risk Among Tofacitinib Exposed Patients Compared With bDMARD-Treated Register Patients Given Different Assumed Sample Sizes, alpha = 0.05, 5-Year Study With Uniform Accrual, 5% Loss To Follow Up Per Year In Tofacitinib Arm.....28

Table 2. The Power To Detect A Two-Fold Difference In Risk Among Tofacitinib Exposed Patients Compared With bDMARD-Treated Register Patients Given Different Assumed Sample Sizes, alpha = 0.05, 5-Year Study With Uniform Accrual, 5% Loss To Follow Up Per Year In Tofacitinib Arm.....29

15. LIST OF FIGURES

Not applicable.

ANNEX 1. LIST OF STAND ALONE DOCUMENTS

Not applicable.

ANNEX 2. ENCEPP CHECKLIST FOR STUDY PROTOCOLS

Study title: An Active Surveillance, Post-Authorization Safety Study (PASS) of Serious Infection, Malignancy, Cardiovascular (CV) and Other Safety Events of Interest among Patients Treated with Tofacitinib for Moderately to Severely Active Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) within the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register-Rheumatoid Arthritis (BSRBR-RA)

EU PAS Register® number: EUPAS31126
Study reference number (if applicable): A3921312

<u>Section 1: Milestones</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
1.1 Does the protocol specify timelines for				
1.1.1 Start of data collection ²	☒	☐	☐	6
1.1.2 End of data collection ³	☒	☐	☐	6
1.1.3 Progress report(s)	☐	☐	☒	
1.1.4 Interim report(s)	☒	☐	☐	6
1.1.5 Registration in the EU PAS Register®	☒	☐	☐	6
1.1.6 Final report of study results.	☒	☐	☐	6

Comments:

Secondary database study

<u>Section 2: Research question</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain:	☐	☐	☒	8
2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the risk management plan, an emerging safety issue)	☒	☐	☐	8
2.1.2 The objective(s) of the study?	☒	☐	☐	8
2.1.3 The target population? (i.e. population or subgroup to whom the study results are intended to be generalised)	☒	☐	☐	8
2.1.4 Which hypothesis(-es) is (are) to be tested?	☐	☐	☒	8

² Date from which information on the first study is first recorded in the study dataset or, in the case of secondary use of data, the date from which data extraction starts.

³ Date from which the analytical dataset is completely available.

<u>Section 2: Research question</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
2.1.5 If applicable, that there is no <i>a priori</i> hypothesis?	☒	☐	☐	8

Comments:

--

<u>Section 3: Study design</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
3.1 Is the study design described? (e.g. cohort, case-control, cross-sectional, other design)	☒	☐	☐	9.1
3.2 Does the protocol specify whether the study is based on primary, secondary or combined data collection?	☒	☐	☐	9.1
3.3 Does the protocol specify measures of occurrence? (e.g., rate, risk, prevalence)	☒	☐	☐	9.1
3.4 Does the protocol specify measure(s) of association? (e.g. risk, odds ratio, excess risk, rate ratio, hazard ratio, risk/rate difference, number needed to harm (NNH))	☐	☐	☒	
3.5 Does the protocol describe the approach for the collection and reporting of adverse events/adverse reactions? (e.g. adverse events that will not be collected in case of primary data collection)	☐	☐	☒	

Comments:

No measure of association will be determined in this descriptive study.

This is a secondary database study using structured data, no reporting of adverse events is required for this protocol.

<u>Section 4: Source and study populations</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
4.1 Is the source population described?	☒	☐	☐	9.2
4.2 Is the planned study population defined in terms of:				
4.2.1 Study time period	☒	☐	☐	9.2
4.2.2 Age and sex	☒	☐	☐	9.2
4.2.3 Country of origin	☒	☐	☐	9.2
4.2.4 Disease/indication	☒	☐	☐	9.2
4.2.5 Duration of follow-up	☒	☐	☐	9.4
4.3 Does the protocol define how the study population will be sampled from the source population? (e.g. event or inclusion/exclusion criteria)	☒	☐	☐	9.2

Comments:

--

Section 5: Exposure definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
5.1 Does the protocol describe how the study exposure is defined and measured? (e.g. operational details for defining and categorising exposure, measurement of dose and duration of drug exposure)	☒	☐	☐	9.2
5.2 Does the protocol address the validity of the exposure measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, use of validation sub-study)	☐	☐	☒	
5.3 Is exposure categorised according to time windows?	☐	☐	☒	
5.4 Is intensity of exposure addressed? (e.g. dose, duration)	☐	☐	☒	
5.5 Is exposure categorised based on biological mechanism of action and taking into account the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the drug?	☐	☐	☒	
5.6 Is (are) (an) appropriate comparator(s) identified?	☒	☐	☐	9.2

Comments:

Exposure is assumed after index until report of discontinuation during risk window for interim reports. Final study SAP will describe methods for accounting for exposure.
--

Section 6: Outcome definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
6.1 Does the protocol specify the primary and secondary (if applicable) outcome(s) to be investigated?	☒	☐	☐	9.3.2
6.2 Does the protocol describe how the outcomes are defined and measured?	☒	☐	☐	Appendix 1
6.3 Does the protocol address the validity of outcome measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, use of validation sub-study)	☒	☐	☐	Appendix 1
6.4 Does the protocol describe specific outcomes relevant for Health Technology Assessment? (e.g. HRQoL, QALYs, DALYS, health care services utilisation, burden of disease or treatment, compliance, disease management)	☐	☐	☒	

Comments:

--

<u>Section 7: Bias</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
7.1 Does the protocol address ways to measure confounding? (e.g. confounding by indication)	☒	☐	☐	9.1
7.2 Does the protocol address selection bias? (e.g. healthy user/adherer bias)	☒	☐	☐	9.2
7.3 Does the protocol address information bias? (e.g. misclassification of exposure and outcomes, time-related bias)	☒	☐	☐	9.2

Comments:

Interim reports are crude analyses, final study analyses will be determined by SAP.

<u>Section 8: Effect measure modification</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
8.1 Does the protocol address effect modifiers? (e.g. collection of data on known effect modifiers, sub-group analyses, anticipated direction of effect)	☐	☒	☐	

Comments:

<u>Section 9: Data sources</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
9.1 Does the protocol describe the data source(s) used in the study for the ascertainment of:				
9.1.1 Exposure? (e.g. pharmacy dispensing, general practice prescribing, claims data, self-report, face-to-face interview)	☒	☐	☐	9.4
9.1.2 Outcomes? (e.g. clinical records, laboratory markers or values, claims data, self-report, patient interview including scales and questionnaires, vital statistics)	☒	☐	☐	9.4
9.1.3 Covariates and other characteristics?	☒	☐	☐	9.4
9.2 Does the protocol describe the information available from the data source(s) on:				
9.2.1 Exposure? (e.g. date of dispensing, drug quantity, dose, number of days of supply prescription, daily dosage, prescriber)	☐	☒	☐	
9.2.2 Outcomes? (e.g. date of occurrence, multiple event, severity measures related to event)	☒	☐	☐	9.4
9.2.3 Covariates and other characteristics? (e.g. age, sex, clinical and drug use history, co-morbidity, co-medications, lifestyle)	☒	☐	☐	9.4
9.3 Is a coding system described for:				
9.3.1 Exposure? (e.g. WHO Drug Dictionary, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System)	☐	☒	☐	

<u>Section 9: Data sources</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
9.3.2 Outcomes? (e.g. International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA))	☒	☐	☐	Appendix 1
9.3.3 Covariates and other characteristics?	☒	☐	☐	Appendix 1
9.4 Is a linkage method between data sources described? (e.g. based on a unique identifier or other)	☐	☐	☒	

Comments:

--

<u>Section 10: Analysis plan</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
10.1 Are the statistical methods and the reason for their choice described?	☒	☐	☐	9.7
10.2 Is study size and/or statistical precision estimated?	☐	☐	☒	
10.3 Are descriptive analyses included?	☒	☐	☐	9.7
10.4 Are stratified analyses included?	☒	☐	☐	9.7
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for analytic control of confounding?	☐	☒	☐	
10.6 Does the plan describe methods for analytic control of outcome misclassification?	☐	☒	☐	
10.7 Does the plan describe methods for handling missing data?	☐	☐	☒	
10.8 Are relevant sensitivity analyses described?	☒	☐	☐	9.2.4

Comments:

This is a descriptive study. SAP to govern final adjusted analyses pending feasibility.

<u>Section 11: Data management and quality control</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
11.1 Does the protocol provide information on data storage? (e.g. software and IT environment, database maintenance and anti-fraud protection, archiving)	☒	☐	☐	9.6
11.2 Are methods of quality assurance described?	☒	☐	☐	9.8
11.3 Is there a system in place for independent review of study results?	☒	☐	☐	9.8

Comments:

--

<u>Section 12: Limitations</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
12.1 Does the protocol discuss the impact on the study results of:				
12.1.1 Selection bias?	☒	☐	☐	9.9
12.1.2 Information bias?	☒	☐	☐	9.9
12.1.3 Residual/unmeasured confounding? (e.g. anticipated direction and magnitude of such biases, validation sub-study, use of validation and external data, analytical methods).	☒	☐	☐	9.9
12.2 Does the protocol discuss study feasibility? (e.g. study size, anticipated exposure uptake, duration of follow-up in a cohort study, patient recruitment, precision of the estimates)	☒	☐	☐	8.0, 9.5, 9.7

Comments:

<u>Section 13: Ethical/data protection issues</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
13.1 Have requirements of Ethics Committee/ Institutional Review Board been described?	☒	☐	☐	10.5
13.2 Has any outcome of an ethical review procedure been addressed?	☐	☐	☒	
13.3 Have data protection requirements been described?	☐	☒	☐	

Comments:

<u>Section 14: Amendments and deviations</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
14.1 Does the protocol include a section to document amendments and deviations?	☒	☐	☐	5

Comments:

<u>Section 15: Plans for communication of study results</u>	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
15.1 Are plans described for communicating study results (e.g. to regulatory authorities)?	☒	☐	☐	12
15.2 Are plans described for disseminating study results externally, including publication?	☒	☐	☐	12

Comments:

Name of the main author of the
protocol:

Michelle Iannacone

Date: 09/10/2021

Signature: _____



ANNEX 3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

See Appendix 1.

Appendix 1. ICD and MedDRA Codes For Select Safety Endpoints

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
Event	Operationalization	Validation ICD	Operationalization (Final list TBD based on reported endpoints)
Serious infections	Hospitalizations in the Patient Register listing as main diagnosis ICD10-codes below. If main diagnosis is RA, contributory diagnoses are also considered. A00-B99 (excluding A33 and A50), D73.3, E32.1, G00-G02, G04.2, G05-G07, H00.0, H44.0, H60.0-H60.3, H66-H67, H70, I30.1, I40.0, J00-J22, J32, J34.0, J36, J39.0-J39.1, J44.0, J85, J86, K04.4, K04.6, K04.7, K10.2, K11.3, K12.2, K14.0, K57.0, K57.2, K57.4, K57.8, K61, K63.0, K65.0, K65.1, K65.2, K65.9, L00-L08, L30.3, M00-M01, M46.2-M46.5, M60.0, M65.0, M71.0, M71.1, M72.6, M86, N13.6, N15.1, N15.9, N30.0 N30.8, N34.0, N41.2, N43.1, N45.2, N45.3, N45.4, N48.2, N61, N70, N73, N75.1.	This algorithm has not been specifically validated in ARTIS, but the register itself is subject to strict quality assurance routines and has been validated several times. Refs: Ludvigsson et al. External Review and Validation of the Swedish National Inpatient Register, BMC Public Health, 2011 (11):450. http://www.socialstyrelsen.se/register/halsodataregister/patientregistret/inenglish .	Hospitalization and/or use of parenteral antibiotics + MedDRA Infections and Infestations SOC 10021881.

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
HZ reactivation	Hospitalizations in the Patient Register listing as main diagnosis ICD10-codes B00 and B02. If main diagnosis is RA, contributory diagnoses are also considered.	The algorithm used to identify this endpoint in ARTIS has not been validated and is expected to only identify the most severe cases.	10019974 Herpes zoster, 10019983 Herpes zoster ophthalmic, 10030865 Ophthalmic herpes zoster, 10058428 Herpes zoster multi-dermatomal, 10063491 Herpes zoster oticus, 10065038 Herpes zoster disseminated, 10065119 Necrotising herpetic retinopathy, 10072210 Genital herpes zoster, 10074241 Varicella zoster gastritis, 10074245 Herpes zoster pharyngitis, 10074248 Herpes zoster meningoencephalitis, 10074253 Herpes zoster necrotising retinopathy, 10074254 Varicella zoster pneumonia, 10074254 Varicella zoster pneumonia, 10074259 Herpes zoster meningitis, 10074297 Herpes zoster cutaneous disseminated.

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
CV risk	Major Acute Cardiovascular Events (MACE), combines MI, stroke, and fatal cardiovascular events: I00-I99 as main cause of death, or I20.0, I21, I60-I64 as diagnosis in in- or outpatient care.	See Serious Infections ‘Outcome’ was defined as any first-ever ACS event, which in turn was defined as a primary discharge diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris, or as acute myocardial infarction being the underlying cause of death. For discharge diagnoses, the date of admission to hospital was considered the event date. This outcome definition has previously been validated in a Swedish early RA cohort, with a positive predictive value of 95% [15]. In addition, a regional validation study of hospitalized acute MI and stroke found positive predictive values of 96% and 94% respectively, in the period 1977 to 1987. Lindblad et al. Validity of register data on acute myocardial infarction and acute stroke. Scandinavian Journal of Public health 1993; 21 (1):3-9.	Fatal and non-fatal 10000891 Acute myocardial infarction; 10006147 Brain stem infarction; 10006148 Brain stem ischaemia; 10008034 Cerebellar infarction; 10008088 Cerebral artery embolism; 10008120 Cerebral ischaemia; 10008190 Cerebrovascular accident; 10014498 Embolic stroke; 10019005 Haemorrhagic cerebral infarction; 10019016 Haemorrhagic stroke; 10024033 Lateral medullary syndrome; 10028596 Myocardial infarction; 10028602 Myocardial necrosis; 10033697 Papillary muscle infarction; 10043647 Thrombotic stroke; 10049768 Silent myocardial infarction; 10051078 Lacunar infarction; 10055677 Haemorrhagic transformation stroke; 10056237 Migrainous infarction; 10059613 Stroke in evolution; 10060839 Embolic cerebral infarction; 10060840 Ischaemic cerebral infarction; 10061256 Ischaemic stroke; 10062573 Brain stem thrombosis; 10064961 Thalamic infarction; 10066591 Post procedural stroke; 10066592 Post procedural myocardial infarction; 10067167 Cerebellar embolism; 10067347 Thrombotic cerebral infarction; 10067462 Millard-Gubler syndrome; 10068621 Cerebellar ischaemia; 10068644 Brain stem stroke; 10069020 Basal ganglia infarction; 10070671 Cerebral septic infarct; 10070754 Inner ear infarction; 10071043 Basal ganglia stroke; 10071260 Carotid angioplasty; 10073945 Perinatal stroke; 10074422 Brain stem embolism; Fatal only 10002886 Aortic aneurysm rupture; 10003173 Arterial rupture; 10003210 Arteriosclerosis; 10003212 Arteriosclerosis moenckeberg-type;;10006145 Brain stem haemorrhage;;10007522 Cardiac asthma; 10007554 Cardiac failure; 10007556 Cardiac failure acute; 10007558 Cardiac failure chronic; 10007559 Cardiac failure congestive; 10007559 Cardiac failure congestive; 10007560 Cardiac failure high output; 10007625 Cardiogenic shock; 10007684 Carotid arterial embolus; 10007686 Carotid artery aneurysm; 10007688 Carotid artery thrombosis; 10008023 Cerebellar artery thrombosis; 10008030 Cerebellar haemorrhage;

	ARTIS	BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
		10008076 Cerebral aneurysm ruptured syphilitic; 10008086 Cerebral arteriovenous malformation haemorrhagic; 10008089 Cerebral artery occlusion; 10008092 Cerebral artery thrombosis; 10008111 Cerebral haemorrhage; 10008118 Cerebral infarction; 10008132 Cerebral thrombosis; 10018985 Haemorrhage intracranial; 10022758 Intracranial aneurysm; 10022840 Intraventricular haemorrhage; 10022841 Intraventricular haemorrhage neonatal; 10024119 Left ventricular failure; 10024242 Leriche syndrome; 10034476 Pericardial haemorrhage; 10036511 Precerebral artery occlusion; 10039163 Right ventricular failure; 10039330 Ruptured cerebral aneurysm; 10042316 Subarachnoid haemorrhage; 10042434 Sudden death; 10047279 Ventricle rupture; 10048380 Aneurysm ruptured; 10048761 Atrial rupture; 10049418 Sudden cardiac death; 10049993 Cardiac death; 10050403 Carotid artery dissection; 10051093 Cardiopulmonary failure; 10051328 Carotid aneurysm rupture; 10052019 Femoral artery occlusion; 10053633 Cerebellar artery occlusion; 10053649 Vascular rupture; 10053949 Vascular pseudoaneurysm ruptured; 10055803 Haemorrhage coronary artery; 10058178 Aortic occlusion; 10060874 Aortic rupture; 10060953 Ventricular failure; 10060964 Arterial haemorrhage; 10062585 Peripheral arterial occlusive disease; 10062599 Arterial occlusive disease; 10063081 Acute left ventricular failure; 10063082 Acute right ventricular failure ; 10063083 Chronic left ventricular failure; 10063084 Chronic right ventricular failure; 10064595 Haemorrhagic arteriovenous malformation; 10064601 Iliac artery occlusion; 10065441 Venous haemorrhage; 10065558 Aortic arteriosclerosis; 10067057 Basal ganglia haemorrhage; 10067116 Carotid arteriosclerosis; 10068119 Aortic dissection rupture; 10068119 Aortic dissection rupture; 10068230 Cardiorenal syndrome; 10069694 Brachiocephalic artery occlusion; 10069695 Subclavian artery occlusion; 10069696 Coeliac artery occlusion; 10071716 Vertebral artery dissection; 10072043 Central nervous system haemorrhage; 10072789 Iliac artery rupture; 10073565 Intracranial artery dissection; 10073565 Intracranial

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
			artery dissection; 10073681 Epidural haemorrhage; 10075449 Brachiocephalic arteriosclerosis; 10076203 Radiation associated cardiac failure;

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
GI perforation	Hospitalizations in the Patient Register listing ICD10-codes: K22.3, K25.1, K25.2, K25.5, K25.6, K26.1, K26.2, K26.5, K26.6, K27.1, K27.2, K27.5, K27.6, K28.1, K28.2, K28.5, K28.6, K31.6, K35.0, K35.1, K57.0, K57.2, K57.4, K57.8, K63.0, K63.1, K63.2.	See Serious Infections ; Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2011 Nov;20(11):1150-8. doi: 10.1002/pds.2215. Epub 2011 Aug 27. Validation of ICD-9-CM codes to identify gastrointestinal perforation events in administrative claims data among hospitalized rheumatoid arthritis patients.	10000099 Abdominal wall abscess; 10000285 Abscess intestinal; 10000582 Acquired tracheo-oesophageal fistula; 10002156 Anal fistula; 10002157 Anal fistula excision; 10002248 Anastomotic ulcer perforation; 10002924 Aorto-duodenal fistula; 10003012 Appendicitis perforated; 10009995 Colonic fistula; 10013536 Diverticular fistula; 10013538 Diverticulitis; 10013541 Diverticulitis intestinal haemorrhagic; 10013828 Duodenal fistula; 10013832 Duodenal perforation; 10013849 Duodenal ulcer perforation; 10013850 Duodenal ulcer perforation, nonobstructive; 10017815 Gastric perforation; 10017835 Gastric ulcer perforation; 10017836 Gastric ulcer perforation, obstructive; 10017866 Gastritis haemorrhagic; 10017877 Gastrointestinal fistula; 10017954 Gastrointestinal gangrene; 10017955 Gastrointestinal haemorrhage; 10018001 Gastrointestinal perforation; 10021305 Ileal perforation; 10021310 Ileal ulcer perforation; 10022647 Intestinal fistula; 10022694 Intestinal perforation; 10023174 Jejunal perforation; 10023178 Jejunal ulcer perforation; 10023804 Large intestine perforation; 10030181 Oesophageal perforation; 10034354 Peptic ulcer perforation; 10034358 Peptic ulcer perforation, obstructive; 10034397 Perforated peptic ulcer oversewing; 10034649 Peritoneal abscess; 10034674 Peritonitis; 10038073 Rectal perforation; 10038975 Retroperitoneal abscess; 10041103 Small intestinal perforation; 10046274 Upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage; 10048946 Anal abscess; 10048947 Rectal abscess; 10049583 Douglas' abscess; 10049764 Appendiceal abscess; 10050362 Anovulvar fistula; 10050953 Lower gastrointestinal haemorrhage; 10051425 Enterocutaneous fistula; 10052211 Oesophageal rupture; 10052457 Perineal abscess; 10052488 Oesophageal ulcer perforation; 10052814 Perirectal abscess; 10052931 Colon fistula repair; 10052991 Intestinal fistula repair; 10053267 Rectal fistula repair; 10056086 Paraoesophageal abscess; 10056346 Anastomotic haemorrhage; 10056991 Enterocolonic fistula; 10056992 Oesophagobronchial

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
			fistula; 10058381 Oesophageal fistula repair; 10059175 Intestinal haemorrhage; 10060921 Abdominal abscess; 10061248 Intestinal ulcer perforation; 10061249 Intra-abdominal haemorrhage; 10061820 Diverticular perforation; 10061975 Gastrointestinal ulcer perforation; 10062065 Perforated ulcer; 10062070 Peritonitis bacterial; 10062570 Enterovesical fistula; 10065713 Gastric fistula; 10065879 Gastrointestinal anastomotic leak; 10066870 Aorto-oesophageal fistula; 10066892 Rectourethral fistula; 10067091 Gastrophleural fistula; 10068792 Gastrosplenic fistula; 10071647 Infectious peritonitis.
PML	Hospitalizations in the Patient Register listing ICD10-codes: A81.2.	See Serious Infections .	TBD based on reported events.
NMSC	Identified through the Cancer register as all malignancies with ICD-O/2 code C44, and all basal cell cancers recoded in the register's subcomponent on basal cell cancers Alt: all invasive NMSC, identified as non-benign ICD-O/2 code C44, and no basal cell cancers.	About 99% of cancers have been morphologically verified. Reporting of incident cancers (including invasive malignancies as well as cancer in situ) is mandatory and semi automated, resulting in an estimated coverage greater than 95%.	10004146 Basal cell carcinoma; 10004178 Basosquamous carcinoma; 10004179 Basosquamous carcinoma of skin; 10006059 Bowen's disease; 10007390 Carcinoma in situ of skin; 10064055 Lip squamous cell carcinoma; 10063693 Malignant neoplasm of eyelid; 10040808 Skin cancer; 10055115 Skin cancer metastatic 10041834 Squamous cell carcinoma of skin.
Malignancy	All invasive malignancies recorded in the cancer register, excluding NMSC.	See NMSC.	Malignant or unspecified tumours (SMQ).
Lung Cancer	Identified through the Cancer Register. ICD-7: 162.1		High level terms (HLT): 10038723 Respiratory tract and pleural neoplasms malignant cell type unspecified, not elsewhere classifiable (NEC); 10024973 Lower respiratory tract neoplasms. Low level term (LLT): 10023292 Kaposi's sarcoma, lung; Exclude preferred terms (PT): 10043515 throat cancer; 10004280 benign lung neoplasm; 10061002 benign respiratory tract neoplasm, 10052247 bronchial neoplasm benign; 10014654

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
			endobronchial lipoma; 10081106 sclerosing pneumocytoma.
Lymphoma	Identified through the Cancer Register. All non-Hodgkin Lymphoma: ICD-7: 200,202 All Hodgkin Lymphoma: ICD-7: 201 Chronic lymphocytic leukemia: ICD-7: 204.1	About 99% of cancers have been morphologically verified. Reporting of incident cancers (including invasive malignancies as well as cancer in situ) is mandatory and semi-automated, resulting in an estimated coverage greater than 95%	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma 10029547; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma metastatic 10071535; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma recurrent 10029600; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma refractory 10029601; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma stage I 10029602; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma stage II 10029603; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma stage III 10029604; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma stage IV 10029605; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma transformed recurrent 10061871; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive 10063908; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive recurrent 10029609; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive refractory 10029610; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive stage I 10029611; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive stage II 10029612; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive stage III 10029613; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology aggressive stage IV 10029614; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology indolent 10065856; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology indolent stage I 10029622; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology indolent stage II 10029623; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology indolent stage III 10029624; Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma unspecified histology indolent stage IV 10029625; Hodgkin's disease 10020206; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage I site unspecified 10020208; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage I subdiaphragm 10020209; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage I supradiaphragm 10020210; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage II site unspecified 10020211; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage II subdiaphragm 10020212; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion stage II supradiaphragm 10020213; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion type recurrent 10020215; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion type

	ARTIS	BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
		refractory 10020216; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion type stage III 10020217; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion type stage IV 10020218; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte depletion type stage unspecified 10020219; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage I site unspec 10020220; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage I subdiaphragm 10020221; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage I supradiaphragm 10020222; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage II site unspec 10020223; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage II subdiaphragm 10020224; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance stage II supradiaphragm 10020225; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance type recurrent 10020227; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance type refractory 10020228; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance type stage III 10020229; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance type stage IV 10020230; Hodgkin's disease lymphocyte predominance type stage unspecified 10020231; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity recurrent 10020233; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity refractory 10020234; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage I site unspecified 10020235; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage I subdiaphragmatic 10020236; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage I supradiaphragmatic 10020237; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage II subdiaphragmatic 10020238; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage II supradiaphragmatic 10020239; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage III 10020240; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage IV 10020241; Hodgkin's disease mixed cellularity stage unspecified 10020242; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis 10020244; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis recurrent 10020245; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis refractory 10020246; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis stage I 10073535; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis stage II 10073534; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis stage III 10020252; Hodgkin's disease nodular sclerosis stage IV 10020253; Hodgkin's disease recurrent 10020266; Hodgkin's disease refractory 10020267;

	ARTIS		BIOBADASER, BSRBR, RABBIT
			Hodgkin's disease stage I 10020268; Hodgkin's disease stage II 10020269; Hodgkin's disease stage III 10020270; Hodgkin's disease stage IV 10061597; Hodgkin's disease unclassifiable 10020271; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia 10008958; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (in remission) 10008959; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia recurrent 10008961; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia refractory 10008962; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia stage 0 10008963; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia stage 1 10008964; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia stage 2 10008965; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia stage 3 10008966; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia stage 4 10008967; Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia transformation 10058717;
Fractures	Identified in patient register, in- or outpatient component. Skull/face: S02 Neck: S12 Ribs/chest: S22 Lumbar spine/pelvis: S32 Shoulder/humerus: S42 Forearm: S52 Wrist/hand: S62 Femur: S72 Ankle/wrist: S82 Foot: S92 Fractures on multiple body parts: T02 Location of fracture not defined in detail: T08, T10, T12, T14.2.	The positive predictive value (PPV) for fractures in the Swedish NPR is extremely high: a validation of 647 patient charts the PPV of fracture in Swedish patient records was 1.00. There is high accuracy for both a diagnosis of hip fracture and a fracture of any type in the Swedish Patient Register	HLGT Bone and joint injuries (Primary Path) • Exclude all PTs within HLT Bone and joint injuries NEC • Exclude the following individual PTs from other HLTs: Bone fissure, Cuboid syndrome, Fractured delayed union, Fracture infection, Fracture nonunion, Joint dislocation, Joint dislocation pathological, Metaphyseal corner fracture, Pathological fracture, Pseudoarthrosis, Pseudofracture, Anterior labroligamentous periosteal sleeve avulsion lesion, Bankart lesion, Fracture of clavicle due to birth trauma, Radial head dislocation, Scapulothoracic disassociation, Dislocation of vertebra, Intervertebral disc injury, Spinal fusion fracture, Costal cartilage fracture, Costochondral separation, Dislocation of the sternum. HLGT Fractures (Primary Path) • Exclude all PTs within HLT Fracture complications • Exclude the following individual PTs from other HLTs: Bone fissure, Metaphyseal corner fracture, Pathological fracture, Pseudofracture, Fracture of clavicle due to birth trauma, Scapulothoracic disassociation, Spinal fusion fracture, Costal cartilage fracture.

Document Approval Record

Document Name:

Final_A3921312_Amended Protocol_BSRBR PASS_9.10.2021_clean_QC complete_Version 2.0

Document Title:

Final_A3921312_Amended Protocol_BSRBR PASS_9.10.2021_clean_QC complete_Version 2.0

Signed By:

Date(GMT)

Signing Capacity

Campbell, Ulka

10-Sep-2021 20:16:56

Final Approval

De Bernardi, Barbara

12-Sep-2021 20:17:30

EUQPPV Approval