

Item	Sub-item	Description	Origin of information	Maturity level grade	Maturity level criteria and definitions	Rationale	
0	Data base identification						
	Country	the Netherlands		N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Data Access Provider	Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL)			N/A		
	Organisation type	Quality institute for oncological and palliative research and practice. National, regional, or municipal public founding	<a href="https://iknl.nl/en/about-iknl">https://iknl.nl/en/about-iknl</a>				
1	Rationale and scope for the RWD source creation	Primary purpose for which data are collected	The main goal of the Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL) is to reduce the impact of cancer, from the personal to the societal level. With the Netherlands Cancer Registry (NCR) as its core activity, IKNL enables health care professionals, researchers, policy makers and others to reflect on cancer and on palliative care. Together with care professionals, researchers, patients, and policy makers we translate data into valuable insights to improve oncological and palliative care.	<a href="https://iknl.nl/en/about-iknl">https://iknl.nl/en/about-iknl</a>	1	L1 if information is available as free text and/or online link(s)	Relevant for all DQ dimensions (reliability, extensiveness, coherence and timeliness) as it provides a general understanding of the strengths and limitations of an RWD source.  Knowing the triggers would ease the understanding of the content and motivations behind the data.
		Criteria for the selection of the data being collected or integrated	The NCR compiles clinical data of all individuals newly diagnosed with cancer in the Netherlands. Hospital inpatient care, hospital outpatient care.	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner).  Provided by DEAP		L2 if information is available using standardised templates to make information easy to digest and interpret (the EMA recommends to check this tool as reference: REQuEST Tool and its vision paper [Internet]. EUnethTA. 2019. Available from: 721 <a href="https://www.eunetha.eu/request-tool-and-its-vision-paper/">https://www.eunetha.eu/request-tool-and-its-vision-paper/</a> .	

	What triggers a record in the database	<p>Having performed a biopsy through PALGA (the national pathology database) Having a cancer diagnosis in LBZ</p> <p><b>Event triggering registration of a person in the data source:</b> having performed a biopsy through PALGA (the national pathology database) and having a cancer diagnosis in LBZ</p> <p><b>Event triggering de-registration of a person in the data source:</b> Persons are de-registered when they request this (they work with an opt-out system so everyone is included, and everyone can request to be taken out of the database), when they emigrate or die.</p> <p><b>Event triggering creation of a record in the data source:</b> A group of data managers daily screen for new information of the patients registered in the data source. Persons (or actually tumors) are triggered as described in "event triggering registration of a person in the data source". We then register the relevant data for this person (tumor) after a set amount of time (typically 6-12 months after diagnosis). When the person develops another primary tumor, they go through the same process for that new tumor.</p> <p>Registration of patients is typically done about a year after the incidence date (the exact lag depends on the type of cancer). The vital status of patients is checked once per year.</p>	<p>DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner).</p> <p>Provided by DEAP</p>		<p>L3 if the information is provided as Metadata (machine readable), including standard formats, clear definitions and potentially some quality information</p>		
	Publications describing this RWD	Not found PubMed, Google free search					
II	Data collection or recording process	<p>Description of data provider (geographical and organizational setting, nature of the data - reported by patients, HCP, etc)</p> <p>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) recording</p> <p>How SOPs are implemented and monitored</p>	<p>IKNL is a knowledge institute that is mostly government funded (by the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport)</p> <p>Data is collected by well-trained data managers using coding manuals. The data entry application performs checks on the data that is entered, automatic checks are done on the database, as well as manual checks of random samples. A group of data managers is responsible for data quality and researchers in the organization can flag potential quality issues.</p> <p>Data managers receive regular training. See also 'SOPs recording'. SOPs are kept up to date by discussing the items in the IKNL tumor boards, they are implemented in daily practice by regular training of the data managers (there is a training program during onboarding and frequent data managers meetings thereafter, data managers are also informed about changes in the SOPs by email. The most recent version of the SOPs are available online and are used during registration. Quality checks also include cross checks by direct colleagues.</p>	<p>DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP</p> <p>DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP</p> <p>Provided by DEAP</p>	1	<p>L1 if information is available as free text and/or online link(s)</p> <p>L2 if information is available using standardised templates to make information easy to digest and interpret, and also standard vocabularies are available</p>	<p>Essential to understand extensiveness and to assess reliability (that can be affected by errors or biases in the collection process). Also, essential to evaluate SOP for data collection or recording practices that may impact coherence (e.g., where "curation at source" is involved and provide hard constraints for timeliness).</p>

		Key data elements captured (are they always recorded, are they optional, is there a planned coverage over time, ...)	Disease information, rare diseases, prescriptions of medicines, indication for use, procedures, clinical measurements, patient-reported outcomes, unique identifier persons, diagnostic code, medicinal product information, quality of life measurements, sociodemographic information (age, gender). These are items grouped by: patient, tumor and treatment. I removed the PROMs/QoL as they are only captured on project basis. Coverage over time: there is a planned delay of 9 months delay (as data managers only have to access the EHR once per patient to capture the primary treatment plan), but in practice this is 1 to 2 years. Note: NCR only covers the primary plan, there is no information on follow-up (like PFS or secondary treatment -> I see this is addressed in row 31). The day of death is known by linkage to CBS. TNM recorded	Provided by DEAP (Always recorded: overview on 240918-itemset-long.pdf Unfortunately only in Dutch. )		L3 if additionally SOPs specify KPIs to monitor	
III	The selection of RWD sources and their onboarding (Applies to RWD sources that integrate or repurpose other RWD sources)	Criteria to accept or exclude a datasource	NA		N/A	L1 if information about selection criteria or DQ performance is available as free text and/or online link(s)	When data are provided by a data aggregator, ensure that all the available evidence related to systems and processes potentially affecting DQ (extensiveness and reliability especially) can be followed. Provide information of impact on both reliability and evidence (as well as other dimensions if relative constraints are formulated in inclusion/exclusion (I/E) criteria)
		Is there a DQ assessment for data sources onboarded?	NA			L2 if a structure checklist and dataset version control are available L3 is only aspirational. NA	
		If yes: does it follow any specific framework? Is there an assessment checklist? Are datasets versions traceable?	NA				
IV	The data management infrastructure	List of systems used to manage the RWD (either for data collection, recording, processing, etc)	Data is collected manually from the EMR systems of the hospitals by data managers and entered into a database. IKNL uses its own application for this (RANK). The changes in the database are loaded into a datawarehouse (DWH) every night. The DWH keep a history of the registration, performs transformations on the data, etc.	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP	1	L1 if information is available as free text and/or online link(s)	Essential for reliability regarding data alterations resulting from system accidents, software errors or malicious intervention.
		Software testing and software quality control in place	RANK is developed and maintained by the in-house Software Development department. They perform testing and quality control as well. The same applies to the DWH. This is based on a commercial application (from Microsoft).	Provided by DEAP		L2 if the hardware or software implementation complies with recognised quality standards that can be reported	
		Measures to prevent accidental physical data alterations (e.g.: backups, redundant systems, checksums)	A history of the data is kept in a DWH. Backups are made as well each day.	Provided by DEAP		L3 NA	
V	Data management and governance	Data management principles being followed (e.g., GCP, ISO, FAIR, etc)	There are ongoing activities to make the NCR more FAIR. For example through introduction/use of (more) international standards (such as ATC, ICHI, SNOMED-CT).	Provided by DEAP	1	L1 if information is available as free text and/or online link(s)	Data management and governance impact reliability, as well as all quality dimensions for metadata.
		Data management processes in place (DQ controls, KPIs, SOPs, etc)	Data is collected by well-trained data managers using coding manuals. The data entry application performs checks on the data that is entered, automatic checks are done on the database, as well as manual checks of random samples. A group of data managers is responsible for data quality and researchers in the organization can flag potential quality issues.	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP		L2 if standard best practices are being used and a direct impact on DQ is reported. There are SOPs and data management processes that adhere to the standards. The representation of metadata follows FAIR standards	

	Measures to prevent data alterations by unauthorised parties (cybersecurity)	IKNL has an IT department that is responsible for cyber security. There are also Information Security Officers that monitor this.	Provided by DEAP				
	Auditing and DQ improvement procedures in place	IKNL is NEN-7510 certified. Quarterly internal audits are performed, as well as regular external audits. There is also a working group responsible for DQ. They perform checks on the data. Researchers can also signal potential DQ issues.	Provided by DEAP		<i>L3 if data management and governance is implemented in the data platforms 'Digital Quality Measures' (DQMs) so that reports of performance and deviations are automated. Submitted metadata are generated "by design". Basically, if everything in L2 is automatised and generated by default</i>		
VI	Data manipulation steps	Frequency of data updates	The data in the NCR (i.e. the data in the DWH) is updated daily (by overnight loading of the changes in the RANK database). Disease diagnosis: daily through PALGA (the national pathology database); remaining patients (those that do not receive a biopsy) are found by a yearly coupling with LBZ ( <a href="https://www.dhd.nl/producten-diensten/registratie-data/ontdek-de-mogelijkheden-van-de-lbz">https://www.dhd.nl/producten-diensten/registratie-data/ontdek-de-mogelijkheden-van-de-lbz</a> ). Other data: 6 months-1 year (depending on tumor type) after identification of a new primary tumor, a datamanager collects additional data around diagnosis and treatment from the EHRs of the hospitals where the patient was diagnosed and treated. The data in the OMOP-CDM is updated a few times per year.	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP	1	<i>L1 if free-text information, links or publications are available reporting all the mentioned features</i>	<i>Impacts reliability both in terms of accuracy (possible errors) and precision (i.e., the degree of approximation by which data represents reality). Essential to ensure traceability of information. Also impacts coherence and potentially timeliness.</i>
	Data transformations performed, data mapping steps, data cleaning	Data is registered manually by highly trained data managers so data that is entered into the NCR is already of high quality so cleaning is not required. Data transformations performed in the DWH are mainly creation of new variables (derived from existing NCR variable) and handling of changes of variables (or definition of variables) over time. A team consisting of data base administrators and experts on the NCR data do this in close collaboration with the clinical experts (tumor teams).	Provided by DEAP			<i>L2 if Tests performed follow some standard or shared set of tests, that can be re-used across RWD sources. Key performance indicators (KPIs) for data cleaning (e.g., data duplications, mislabelling, etc.) are provided. Data mapping tables and algorithms are described with a standard characterisation of their performance. Lists of L3 if information about data onboarding is directly provided by the platform, e.g.: * Transaction logs are available including deviations and actions that required manual intervention Actual data transformation code is accessible and verifiable.</i>	
	Information about loss of precision during data manipulation steps	In general, there are no manipulation steps that cause loss of precision. There may be loss of precision (loss of information) in the creation of specific variables. However, the original variables are also part of the NCR.	Provided by DEAP				
	Lineage information (e.g., justification of data manipulation, track of changes and versions)	A history of the DWH is maintained. Data manipulation that is performed by scripts has an accompanying justification.	Provided by DEAP				
VII	Data augmentation steps (e.g., imputation or linkage)	Is any augmentation happening in this datasource?	There are no data augmentation steps.	Provided by DEAP	1	<i>L1 if free-text information, links or publications are available reporting all the mentioned features</i>	<i>Data augmentation steps impact accuracy (reliability) and extensiveness. We consider here data transformations that produce new information subject to reliability issues: e.g.: imputation of missing values, or extraction of codes via natural language processing.</i>
	If yes, which are the methods applied	N/A				<i>L2 if algorithms are published and their performance documented. Information on L3 if an automatised process for data linkage/mapping exists</i>	
	If yes, which algorithms and assumptions applied	N/A					
	If yes, which is the error rate when conducting the augmentation	N/A					

VIII	Known quality issues and independent QA assessment of the RWD source	Known DQ issues (e.g., poor overall completeness in Q3 2020 due to COVID-19)	Cause of death not included. Comorbidity and cardiac events. Inclusion of only first line treatments. No data set has all information about a patient, you always need to make choices about what to collect. There is no cause of death and only first line treatment is registered. There is no registration of side effects and data about comorbidities is very incomplete. There are other minor quality issues in variables (sometimes variables are only registered in certain regions, or registration was not mandatory in certain time periods so the data is incomplete). The department that handles data request knows these issues and they are communicated with the person that requests the data if this affects their research. There is no single overview of these DQ issues. NO information on recurrences	Provided by DEAP	1	L1 if free-text information, links or publications are available reporting all the mentioned features	Explicit description of known DQ issues, as well as external validation performed (all dimensions affected)	
		Validation studies and publications resulting from this EWD source	Possibility of data validation	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner).  Provided by DEAP				L2 if standard procedures are set for external/internal validation of the data  L3 if the mechanism provided includes notification of automatically detected DQ issues
IX	The RWD source representation	Description of data model or models used (OMOP, FHIR, ...)	OMOP, ETL completed. IKNL uses its own data model for the NCR. Data deliveries to researchers are usually done as a csv file with accompanying data dictionary. Part of the NCR is also available in the OMOP-CDM. The data in the OMOP-CDM is updated a few times per year.	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner).  Provided by DEAP	3	L1 if free-text information, links or publications are available reporting all the mentioned features	Descriptive of the intended coherence DQ of a dataset and its metadata.	
		Data ontology (dictionaries and vocabularies) being used, and if in standard formats that allow mapping across different languages (e.g., UMLS)	ASD	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner).  Provided by DEAP				L2 if the description refers to a model such as OMOP, I2B2, FHIR, others, or an extension of them. Data dictionaries are standard (and if non-standard, justified why)  L3 if a standard CDM is used, the datasource has been mapped to one or more than one CDM, and if data dictionaries are provided using standard formats that facilitate the mappings across different vocabularies and across languages
X	The RWD source declared Service Level Agreements (SLA)	Guaranteed frequency of updates and incident response time (e.g., corrections in case of errors)	Updates of the data are daily and occur in-house. Any issues with this can (and will) be handled immediately (during work hours).	Provided by DEAP	2	L1 if free-text information and links are available reporting all the mentioned features	Descriptive of guaranteed timeliness and possible variations of extensiveness/reliability provided.	
		Processes and resources accompanying the data, such as documentation, training materials or help desk contact	Data deliveries are accompanied by a data dictionary. Data request are handled by a specialist at IKNL. They are available for additional questions about the data. There is also a general e-mail address for these questions.	Provided by DEAP				L2 if details of established data processes by the provider are available
		Possibility to collect additional data if needed	Additional data can be collected by the data managers if there is additional funding available. There is a fee involved with this.	Provided by DEAP				L3 if SLA compliance is assessed and reported automatically
XI	The RWD source licensing and restrictions	Data use agreements that may limit data use or access (consent, limitations of use), accessibility policies, licensing constraints, standard policies of use, data retention	Access to data through an application form <a href="https://iknl.nl/en/ncr/apply-for-data">https://iknl.nl/en/ncr/apply-for-data</a>	<a href="https://iknl.nl/en/ncr-data">https://iknl.nl/en/ncr-data</a>	1	L1 if free-text information and links are available reporting all the mentioned features	Descriptive of aspects that can limit extensiveness and coherence in downstream data aggregations.	

					L2 if policies and licensing are standardised to a broad range of RWD L3 NA		
XII	Feedback	Is there a data ecosystem in place so that quality assessment by data consumers can provide feedback to improve the data collection and production process, thus allowing a continuous monitoring and improvement of DQ?	A group of data managers is responsible for data quality and researchers in the organization can flag potential quality issues.	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP/ DEAP	I	L1 if a person of contact is provided for Q&A  L2 if the contact provided allows tracking of issues and follow-up L3 if the mechanism provided includes notification of automatically detected DQ issues	Descriptive of feedback mechanisms in place to improve all aspects of DQ

Dimension	Sub-dimension	Metrics	Description	Origin of information
Timeliness	Currency	How often is the database updated (i.e., frequency of updates)	NCR updates are daily. However, data is registered 6-12 months after diagnosis so there is a lag there. <u>Vital status is indeed checked once per year.</u>	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP/ DAP
		The time gap between the latest available data and date when data is delivered to user. (i.e. how up-to-date data are when it reach the user)	1 to 2 years, as data managers only have access to EHR once per patient to capture the primary treatment plan	Provided by DEAP
		The time elapsed from when a user requests the data to when they actually receive it	~2 months	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP/ DAP
		Median time (years) between first and last available records for unique individuals	0.7 years	<a href="https://catalogues.ema.europa.eu/node/952/quantitative-descriptors">https://catalogues.ema.europa.eu/node/952/quantitative-descriptors</a>
Extensiveness	Coverage	Percentage of a target population present in a database	>95% coverage of the total population in The Netherlands. >= 18 y Population size: 3,677,269	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP
	Completeness	% of subjects in the data with a recorded birth date	100%	Provided by DEAP
		% of subjects in the data, irrespective of vital status, that have a recorded date of death	A date of death is recorded for 100% of individuals who are known to have died	Provided by DEAP
		% of subjects in the data with a record of sex	100%	Provided by DEAP
		% of subjects in the data who had an event with a code for the event	100%	Provided by DEAP
		% of subjects in the data who had a prescription/dispensing with a recorded code for the medicine	99.27% of registered chemotherapies have an ATC code. 0.73% of registered chemotherapies are either coded as "intensive chemotherapy" (the majority, mainly for hematology) or "trial medication" (for all cancer patients in the past 5 years)	Provided by DEAP
% of subjects in the data who got vaccinated with a recorded code for the vaccine	None	Provided by DEAP		
Reliability	Accuracy	The population distribution in the data source aligns with that of the country	As NCR is a disease registry, it reflects only the population affected by colorectal cancer, rather than the general population of the country. Yearly participants to the registry: -2019: 1,574,506 -2020: 1,338,052 -2021: 1,632,493	<a href="https://iknl.nl/getmedia/046b1a45-b673-4117-9320-9fd8e1823bd6/Monitor_darmkanker-2021-UK-definitieve-versie.pdf">https://iknl.nl/getmedia/046b1a45-b673-4117-9320-9fd8e1823bd6/Monitor_darmkanker-2021-UK-definitieve-versie.pdf</a>  <a href="https://www.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2021-10/Monitor_bevolkingsonderzoek_darmkanker_2020_eng.pdf">https://www.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2021-10/Monitor_bevolkingsonderzoek_darmkanker_2020_eng.pdf</a>
		Records of diagnostics, exposures or medical observations that do not agree with common expectations and knowledge or feasible ranges (e.g., pregnancy records in males, a human with 4 arms, systolic pressure higher than 250mmHg, etc)	Requested to DEAP and unable to provide	
		Records of healthcare events (diagnoses, prescriptions, admissions, etc) with logical inconsistencies (e.g., and admission occurs after death)	Requested to DEAP and unable to provide	
		Variables that are based in imputation, derivation or inference (e.g., end of treatment date is derived from treatment start date and treatment cycle length)	Requested to DEAP and unable to provide	
	Precision	Exposures codes precision level, including medicines and vaccines (e.g., active principle, therapeutic group, ...)	Active principle (ATC level 5 codes)	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP
		Precision of date of birth (e.g., day, month, year)	Age at diagnosis, the NCR contains date of birth (day, month, year), but this is generally not shared in a data request, instead age at diagnosis is shared, for example	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP/ DAP
		Precision of date of death (e.g., day, month, year)	Day, month, year	Provided by DEAP
		Precision of date of the event/diagnosis (e.g., day, month, year)	Day, month, year; but usually not shared in a data request, instead interval since diagnosis (or a different interval) is shared	Provided by DEAP
	Traceability	Precision of date of the exposure (e.g., day, month, year)	Day, month, year	Provided by DEAP
		Provenance of event records	EMR. Death, so that is from CBS (it is retrieved from the EHR as well if known, but will be checked during linkage with CBS)	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP
	Provenance of medicines/vaccines records	EMR	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP	
Coherence	Format coherence	For dates, formatting constraint being followed	Requested to DEAP and unable to provide	
		For sex, formatting constraint being followed	Requested to DEAP and unable to provide	
	Relational coherence	% of records with the Person ID in the PERSONS table	100%	Provided by DEAP
	Semantic coherence - to determine whether the database uses a Uniqueness	For EVENTS definitions, codelists/data dictionaries being employed according to external standards For EXPOSURES, codelists/data dictionaries being employed according to external standards Number of records flagged as potential duplicates	Indication: ICD-O; Procedures vocabulary: own vocabulary; Diagnosis/medical event vocabulary: ICD-O Stage: TNM Prescription: ATC level 5, own vocabulary;  NO but if one patients gets two different tumors, they would get two entries in the NCR and therefore there are more records in the source data. These two tumors will be connected to the same single patient.	DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP/ DAP DARWIN: "2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP

Scientific research question :								
What is the hazard ratio (HR) of time to death in patients with primary stage 4 non-small-cell lung cancer with a PD-L1 expression of <1% receiving dual immunotherapy (nivolumab + ipilimumab)+ chemotherapy versus pembrolizumab + chemotherapy, regardless of treatment discontinuation or treatment switch?								
Design elements	Operationalization of definitions	Data elements for valid capture of variables	Criticality of the quality of the element	Extensiveness assessment (if applicable)	Reliability assessment (if applicable)	Coherence assessment (if applicable)	Timeliness assessment (if applicable)	Origin of information
Study population	<b>Inclusion criteria</b>							
	age >18	Date of birth	High	100% of individuals have available date of birth	As the format is not known, precision can not be evaluated. Low impact in the study?		Since 1989 OMOP-CDM since 1992. Daily updates	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP
	Historically confirmed squamous or non-squamous cell lung cancer	Pathology database and diagnosis code ICD-O-3	High	100% of individuals have available information	Once year all cancer dx are reviewed to identify cancer patients that did not have a biopsy and pathology finding.		Since 1989 OMOP-CDM since 1992. Daily updates	
	Primary stage IIIb or 4 NSCLC	TNM	High	Recorded	6% missing. TNM is reliable as Data is collected by well-trained data managers using coding manuals. The data entry application performs checks on the data that is entered, automatic checks are done on the database, as well as manual checks of random samples. A group of data managers is responsible for data quality and researchers in the organization can flag potential quality issues.	No formal consistent assessment has been made against Dx codes or imaging	Since 1989 OMOP-CDM since 1992. Daily updates	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DAP. <a href="https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/">https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/</a>
	PDL1 expression <1%	Lab data	High	Recorded	No missing data			<a href="https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/">https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/</a>
	ECOG performance score 0 or 1	ECOG score	High	Recorded	15% missing			<a href="https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/">https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/</a>
	No actionable genetic mutation	genetic mutation	Low	Actionable genetic aberrations are recorded when relevant, provided testing was performed.				<a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35461050/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35461050/</a>
	<b>Exclusion criteria</b>							
	Previous systemic anti-cancer treatment-autoimmune disease or severe infectious disease (e.g. HIV)		High	Only first line treatments.	Previous-anticancer treatment can be detected from previous patient records in the NCR			
	A previous other malignancy		High		Can be detected from previous patient records in the NCR			
Treatment/exposure	Nivolumab (360 mg IV/3 wk) + ipilimumab (1 mg/kg IV/ 6 wk) + 2x histology-based, platinum doublet chemotherapy (IV/ 3wk) Chemotherapy: Carboplatin (area under the concentration-time curve [AUC] 6) plus paclitaxel (200 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) or nab-paclitaxel (100 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) on days 1, 8, and 15 (SCLC). Carboplatin (AUC 5 or 6) plus pemetrexed (500 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) or cisplatin (75 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) plus pemetrexed (500 mg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (NSCLC).	Hospital prescription	High	Prescription first line treatment, dose not registered				DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP
Comparator group (if applicable)	Pembrolizumab (200 mg IV/3wk) for max 35 cycles + 4x chemotherapy (IV/3wk). Chemotherapy: The choice of chemotherapy is on the discretion of the treating physician	Hospital prescription	High	Prescription first line treatment, dose not registered				
Key endpoint(s)	Time to Death (from any cause)	Date of death	High	A date of death is recorded for 100% of individuals who are known to have died	Vital status checked once per year. As the date of death is registered it will be possible to calculate.		Vital status checked once per year	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP
Confounders	Performance status (ECOG)	ECOG score	Low		Missing in 15% of cases			
	Histology (squamous, non-squamous)	Pathology	Low		Pathology database (PALGA). Some cancer patients did not have a biopsy and pathology			
	Age >18	Date of birth	Low	100% of individuals have available information	As the format is not known, precision can not be evaluated. Low impact in the study? Population age groups recorded.		Since 1989 OMOP-CDM since 1992. Daily updates	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP
	Sex	Sex	Low	100% of individuals have available information	No information on gender classification			Provided by DEAP

	Site of metastasis	Site of metastasis	Low		Metastases site at diagnosis not at follow-up			
	Stage IIIB of IV	TNM	Low	TNM is missing for the 6% of subjects	TNM is reliable as Data is collected by well-trained data managers using coding manuals. The data entry application performs checks on the data that is entered, automatic checks are done on the database, as well as manual checks of random samples. A group of data managers is responsible for data quality and researchers in the organization can flag potential quality issues.	No formal consistent assessment has been made against Dx codes or imaging	Since 1989 OMOP-CDM since 1992. Daily updates	DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP. <a href="https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/">https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11484730/</a>
Intercurrent events	Treatment discontinuation	Stop date	Low	100% of individuals have available information				DARWIN:"2024_IKNL.xlsx (for the EMA catalogue) and DARWIN.pdf (for the onboarding as a data partner). Provided by DEAP
	Anti cancer treatment swith	only first line treatment	Low	100% of individuals have available information	As only first line treatment is recorded it won't be possible to differentiate discontinuation than switch			
Follow-up time needed per patient in the study	min 3 years-max 5 years	Follow-up until date of death or date of emigration	High				The median length of follow-up per patient is approximately 9 months	
Minimum time in the data source for lookback assessment	Unspecified	Unspecified	Low				The median length of follow-up per patient is approximately 9 months	

	Estimated sample size: 244 (1:1 ratio of saline and mRNA Covid-19 vaccine, thus 122 per group)			NCR includes 5,000 patients with stage IV NSCLC and 1,000 with stage III NSCLC. Since 2021, 100 patients have been treated with nivolumab + ipilimumab, compared to 3,000 patients receiving pembrolizumab. The sample size for pembrolizumab is adequate, while the size for nivolumab + ipilimumab could be limited.			<a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37833206/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37833206/</a>	38% PDL1 <1% and 74% stage III/IV and 37% (PDL1 <1% and stage III/IV)
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

Case study	RWD source	Sample size estimation from the hypothetical trial protocol	Feasibility assessment (yes/yes, with limitations/no)	Rationale for the feasibility assessment	Limitations identified during the feasibility assessment and categorisation	Description of potential impact of the identified limitations on the study results
2 (Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus pembrolizumab in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer)	NCR	The estimated sample consists of approximately 244 participants (1:1 ratio of nivolumab + ipilimumab + chemotherapy and pembrolizumab + chemotherapy, thus 122 per group). NCR includes 5,000 patients with stage IV NSCLC and 1,000 with stage III NSCLC. Since 2021, ~100 patients have been treated with nivolumab + ipilimumab, compared to 3,000 patients receiving pembrolizumab. The sample size for pembrolizumab is adequate, while the size for nivolumab + ipilimumab could be limited.	Yes	Elements with high criticality are in their majority available, but some of them have limitations. The time elapsed from when a user requests the data to when they actually receive it is 2 months. Data recency is ~12 months before extraction, reasonably enough for the research question. Sample size is achievable.	<p><u>Potentially major:</u> The median length of follow-up per patient is approximately 9 months</p> <p><u>Potentially major:</u> 15% ECOG missing</p> <p><u>Potentially major:</u> Difficult to detect previous systemic anti-cancer treatment, autoimmune disease or severe infectious disease (e.g., HIV)</p> <p><u>Minor:</u> Only prescription of first line of treatment (if stage changes a treatment is considered as a new first line); it won't be possible to differentiate discontinuation from switch.</p> <p><u>Minor:</u> TNM reliable, but 6% have missing the specific stage</p> <p><u>Minor:</u> Some cancer patients do not have a biopsy and pathology, but might be picked by diagnostic code</p> <p><u>Minor:</u> Data is registered 6-12 months after diagnosis so there is a lag</p>	Although the median follow-up time in the NCR is 9 months, this includes patients with all types of cancer with different survival durations. However, this variation is likely non-differential, meaning it is not expected to bias the results in favour of or against any particular cancer group. If the patients included in the study have a longer survival time, the registry will allow for the follow-up required by protocol. Missing ECOG data may prevent us from including some subjects. Previous cancer or anti-cancer treatments can be detected from the patient's previous records in the registry. The history of autoimmune disease or severe infections cannot be detected, but we believe that this fact is already implicit in the physician's decision to treat the patient. This should be taken into account in the interpretation of the results.