
PASS Study Report

Active substance Aclidinium bromide

Product reference D6560R00004

Version number 1.0



Date 06 June 2019

Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure

RTI Health Solutions has obtained from the CPRD a waiver for the masking of cells with less than 5 events for regulatory submission reports (29 Oct 2018)

This version of the report cannot be disseminated beyond the regulatory reviewers. Small cell counts need to be suppressed if the regulators wish to publish or disseminate in the interest of transparency. All small cell counts < 5 in this report have been highlighted clearly with a note that these would have to be redacted if shared outside the regulatory environment.

Marketing Authorisation Holder(s)

Marketing authorisation holder(s)	AstraZeneca AB SE-151 85 Södertälje Sweden
MAH contact person	 Regulatory Affairs Director E-mail: 

GENUAIR™ is a trademark of the AstraZeneca group of companies. EKLIRA™, BRETARIS™, DUAKLIR™, and BRIMICA™ are trademarks of Almirall S.A.

This document contains trade secrets and confidential commercial information, disclosure of which is prohibited without providing advance notice to AstraZeneca and opportunity to object.

Approved by:



Principal Investigator, Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD

16 JUNE 2019
Date

PASS INFORMATION

Title	Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure
Version identifier of the final study report	1.0
Date of last version of the final study report	06 June 2019
EU PAS register number	ENCEPP/SDPP/13616; 23 May 2014
Active substance	Aclidinium bromide (ATC code: R03BB05) Aclidinium bromide/formoterol fumarate dihydrate (ATC code: R03AL05)
Medicinal product	Eklira® Genuair®/Bretaris® Genuair® Duaklir® Genuair®/Brimica® Genuair®
Product reference	Eklira® Genuair®: H0002211 Bretaris® Genuair®: H0002706 Duaklir® Genuair®: H0003745 Brimica® Genuair®: H0003969
Procedure number	Eklira® Genuair®: EMEA/H/C/002211 Bretaris® Genuair®: EMEA/H/C/002706 Duaklir® Genuair®: EMEA/H/C/003745 Brimica® Genuair®: EMEA/H/C/003969
Marketing authorisation holder(s)	AstraZeneca AB SE-151 85 Södertälje Sweden
Joint PASS	No
Research question and objectives	The overall objective of this post-authorisation safety study (PASS) is to evaluate the potential cardiovascular safety concerns and all-cause mortality described in the risk management plan for aclidinium bromide, through sequential, cohort substudies for each endpoint of interest. Specific objectives are as follows:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To compare the risk of congestive heart failure; acute myocardial infarction, including community coronary heart disease death; stroke; and all-cause mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) initiating treatment with aclidinium bromide (monotherapy; concomitant with formoterol not in fixed-dose combination; and fixed-dose combination with formoterol, when available) and other inhaled COPD medications with the risk in patients with COPD initiating treatment with long-acting beta2-agonists (LABAs). • To compare the risk of congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, stroke, and all-cause mortality in patients with COPD initiating treatment with aclidinium bromide (monotherapy; concomitant with formoterol not in fixed-dose combination; and fixed-dose combination with formoterol, when available) with the risk in patients with COPD initiating other inhaled treatments for COPD. • To evaluate the effect of duration of each of the study medications on the risk of each individual endpoint. <p>When the fixed-dose combination of aclidinium/formoterol becomes available, new users will be included in the cohort for evaluation. A new additional endpoint of cardiac arrhythmias will be evaluated for this cohort.</p> <p>This report addresses the congestive heart failure component of the PASS programme among users of aclidinium (monotherapy or concomitant with formoterol not in fixed-dose combination). This report also includes results of the descriptive analyses of the incidence rates of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and stroke and recalculation of the sample size for these study endpoints, per the PASS protocol milestones.</p>
Country(-ies) of study	United Kingdom: the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)
Author	Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD, RTI Health Solutions [REDACTED] MD, MPH, RTI Health Solutions

APPROVAL PAGE: RTI HEALTH SOLUTIONS

Project Title: Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure

Authors: Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD, and [REDACTED] MD, MPH,
FISPE

Report version: 1.0

Date: 06 June 2019

The following people have reviewed the report and give their approval:

[REDACTED]

Susana Perez-Gutthann, MD, MPH, PhD, FISPE, FRCP
Vice President and Global Head of Epidemiology
RTI Health Solutions

16 JUNE 2019

Date

APPROVAL PAGE: ASTRAZENECA—CLINICAL LEAD

Project Title: Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure

Authors: Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD, and [REDACTED] MD, MPH,
FISPE

Report version: 1.0

Date: 06 June 2019

The following people have reviewed the report and give their approval:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] MD
Group Director, Physician, Global Clinical Lead, Eklira
& Duaklir Franchise

June 14th 2018
Date

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

APPROVAL PAGE: ASTRAZENECA—QPPV

Project Title: Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure

Authors: Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD and [REDACTED] MD, MPH,
FISPE

Report version: 1.0

Date: 06 June 2019

The following people have reviewed the report and give their approval:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
AstraZeneca Deputy EU QPPV
as delegated by [REDACTED]
AstraZeneca EU QPPV

14th June 2019

Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PASS INFORMATION	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	7
LIST OF APPENDICES	9
LIST OF TABLES	9
LIST OF FIGURES	9
1 ABSTRACT	11
2 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	15
3 INVESTIGATORS	16
4 OTHER RESPONSIBLE PARTIES.....	17
5 MILESTONES	17
6 RATIONALE AND BACKGROUND.....	18
7 RESEARCH QUESTION AND OBJECTIVES	20
8 AMENDMENTS AND UPDATES.....	20
9 RESEARCH METHODS.....	20
9.1 Study design	20
9.2 Setting	21
9.3 Subjects	21
9.3.1 Inclusion criteria	21
9.3.2 Exclusion criteria	22
9.4 Variables.....	24
9.4.1 Exposures	24
9.4.2 Study endpoints	25
9.4.3 Confounding factors.....	28
9.5 Data sources and measurement.....	30
9.6 Bias	31
9.7 Study size	31
9.8 Data transformation	32
9.9 Statistical methods	32
9.9.1 Main summary measures.....	32
9.9.2 Main statistical methods.....	33
9.9.3 Missing values	35
9.9.4 Sensitivity analysis.....	36
9.9.5 Amendments to the statistical analysis plan.....	36
9.10 Quality control.....	36
10 RESULTS.....	37

10.1	Participants	37
10.2	Descriptive data	39
10.2.1	Baseline characteristics by study medication	39
10.2.2	COPD severity	42
10.2.3	Medical history at the start date	42
10.2.4	Charlson Comorbidity Index	46
10.2.5	Use of study medications before the start date	46
10.2.6	Use of other medications before the start date	48
10.2.7	Use of health care resources before the start date	50
10.2.8	Summary of baseline characteristics	53
10.3	Outcome data	53
10.3.1	Hospitalisations for heart failure	53
10.3.2	Acute myocardial infarction and stroke events	58
10.3.3	Univariate association of risk factors with first ever hospitalisation for heart failure	58
10.4	Main results	59
10.4.1	Incidence rates and incidence rate ratios of first hospitalisation for heart failure for current, recent and past use of study medications versus LABA	59
10.4.2	Risk of congestive heart failure versus acclidinium	60
10.4.3	Current single and current multiple use	61
10.4.4	Duration of use	62
10.4.5	Subgroup analysis	64
10.4.6	Sensitivity analysis	68
10.5	Other analyses	69
10.6	Adverse events/adverse reactions	71
11	DISCUSSION	72
11.1	Key results	72
11.2	Limitations	72
11.3	Interpretation	74
12	GENERALISABILITY	75
13	OTHER INFORMATION	75
14	CONCLUSION	75
15	REFERENCES	75

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	List of stand-alone documents.....	79
Appendix B	ICD-10 codes and terms used to define the study endpoints	80
Appendix C	Supplemental table.....	82

LIST OF TABLES

Abstract Table 1.	Risk of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure associated with current use of the study medications versus current use of LABA	13
Table 1.	Classification of COPD severity using the GOLD 2016 definition	30
Table 2.	Approximate number of patients with COPD exposed to aclidinium bromide for 1 year to have a 0.80 probability of detecting a relative risk of 1.5, 2, 2.5, and 3 (original study size calculations)	32
Table 3.	Cohort attrition for new users of aclidinium bromide and other study medications.....	38
Table 4.	Distribution of demographic and lifestyle habits at the start date by study medication	40
Table 5.	Medical history at any time before the start date by study medication	44
Table 6.	Prior use of respiratory medications within 12 months before the start date ^a by study medication	48
Table 8.	Distribution of health care resource utilisation within 12 months before the start date ^a by study medication.....	51
Table 9.	Age and sex distribution of potential cases.....	55
Table 10.	Electronic algorithm identification: source of potential cases identified and questionnaires received	57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Overview of study design and eligibility criteria for the study cohorts.....	23
Figure 2.	Distribution of COPD severity by study medication	42
Figure 3.	Distribution of the Charlson Comorbidity Index score at the start date, by study medication.....	46
Figure 4.	Prior use of study medications, by study medication	47
Figure 5.	Validation of potential cases of heart failure in the CPRD	54

Figure 6.	Adjusted incidence rate ratio of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure (primary endpoint) for current, recent, and past use of the study medications versus LABA	60
Figure 7.	Adjusted incidence rate ratio of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure (primary endpoint) for current use of the study medications versus acclidinium.....	61
Figure 8.	Adjusted incidence rate ratios of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure (primary endpoint) for current single and current multiple use of study medications versus current single use of LABA	62
Figure 9.	Adjusted incidence rate ratio of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure (primary endpoint) by short and long duration of current use of study medications versus current short and long duration of use of LABA	63
Figure 10.	Subgroup and sensitivity analysis: current use of study medications versus current use of LABA.....	65
Figure 11.	Distribution of propensity scores among users of LABA and users of acclidinium.....	69

1 ABSTRACT

Title: Aclidinium Bromide Post-Authorisation Safety Study to Evaluate the Risk of Cardiovascular Endpoints: Congestive Heart Failure

Version: 1.0, 06 June 2019

Authors: Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD, and [REDACTED] MD, MPH

Keywords: aclidinium bromide; LAMA; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; congestive heart failure; database study

Rationale and background: Aclidinium bromide is an inhaled long-acting anticholinergic (LAMA) approved in Europe in 2012 as maintenance bronchodilator treatment to relieve symptoms in adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). A series of substudies have been planned to evaluate potential cardiovascular safety concerns identified in the aclidinium risk management plan, to address cardiovascular concerns associated with LAMA use. The first substudy did not observe an increased risk of all-cause mortality associated with the use of aclidinium. The second of these substudies focuses on the risk of congestive heart failure. This report also includes the descriptive analysis of incidence rates of AMI and stroke in order to evaluate future substudies and address the need to recalculate the study size for those endpoints.

Research question and objectives: (1) To compare the risk of congestive heart failure, defined as first ever hospitalisation for heart failure among patients without prior hospitalisation for heart failure (primary endpoint), in patients with COPD initiating treatment with aclidinium bromide and other selected COPD medications with the risk in patients with COPD initiating treatment with long-acting beta2-agonists (LABA). An additional analysis was added to evaluate the risk of first hospitalisation for heart failure during the study period among patients with or without prior hospitalisation for heart failure (secondary endpoint). (2) To compare the risk of congestive heart failure between use of aclidinium and use of each of the selected COPD medications. (3) To evaluate the effect of duration of use.

Study design: Non-interventional database cohort study of patients with COPD aged 40 years or older starting (new users) treatment with aclidinium, tiotropium, other LAMA (glycopyrronium or umeclidinium), LAMA/LABA, LABA/inhaled corticosteroids (LABA/ICS), or LABA.

Setting: The General Practitioner Online Database (GOLD) of the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) in the United Kingdom, from September 2012 through June 2017. For a subset of practices where linkage was available, the study also included information from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) and the Hospital Episode Statistics database (HES).

Subjects and study size, including dropouts: A total of 6,896 new users of aclidinium were identified; 5,696 met eligibility criteria (82.6%); 1,200 of those (21.1%) had exclusion criteria; 4,493 (65.2%) were included in the analysis of the secondary endpoint; an additional 143 of those had a prior hospitalisation for heart failure (3.2%). After all of these exclusions, 4,350 (63.1%) were included in the assessment of the primary endpoint for aclidinium.

Variables and data sources: Exposure to the study medications was ascertained through prescription information recorded in the CPRD. Hospitalisations for heart failure were identified through primary and secondary discharge diagnoses in HES for linked practices and through primary care codes for heart failure associated with hospitalisation codes in the CPRD GOLD for unlinked practices. All events were validated through questionnaires sent to the general practitioners and through review of the recorded clinical information. The main risk factors evaluated included age, sex, smoking, COPD severity, comorbidity, comedications, and utilisation of health care resources. Crude incidence rates for hospitalisation for heart failure were estimated for current use of each study medication. Crude and adjusted incidence rate ratios (IRRs) were estimated for current, recent, and past use of each study medication versus current use of LABA. Risks were also estimated for current single use and current multiple use and by duration of current use of the study medications. Several subgroup and sensitivity analyses were conducted.

Results: The study included 4,350 new users of aclidinium, 23,405 new users of tiotropium, 6,977 new users of other LAMA, 3,132 new users of LAMA/LABA, 26,093 new users of LABA/ICS, and 5,678 new users of LABA without prior history of hospitalisation for heart failure. Depending on the study medication, the number of new users was between 3.2% and 5.3% higher for the evaluation of the secondary endpoint, i.e., including patients with or without prior history of hospitalisation for heart failure. The distributions of age, sex, race, current smoking, body mass index (BMI), alcohol abuse, and deprivation index were similar across the study medications. Severity category “D” (the most severe category) was more frequent in users of aclidinium (35.4%) and users of other LAMA (30.9%) than in users of other medications.

Out of 2,283 first ever hospitalisations for heart failure identified within study period, 656 (55.8%) could be validated, and of those, 434 (66.2%) were confirmed. Positive predictive values (PPVs) differed between cases identified through hospital primary discharge diagnosis (PPV = 95.1%), secondary discharge diagnosis (PPV = 48.0%), or through a primary care code for heart failure (Read code) plus a record for hospitalisation in the CPRD GOLD primary care database (PPV = 82.8%). The main analysis and most of the analyses included all cases identified through HES primary discharge diagnosis or through the CPRD GOLD plus only confirmed cases that were identified through HES secondary discharge diagnosis.

Crude incidence rates per 1,000 person-years of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure, during current use, were 9.5 for aclidinium, 7.9 for other LAMA, 8.3 for LAMA/LABA, 6.9 for LABA,

7.6 for tiotropium, and 7.3 for LABA/ICS. The adjusted IRR for first ever hospitalisation for heart failure was around 1 for all study drugs when compared with current use of LABA (Abstract Table 1); therefore, no substantial differences were seen between medication groups.

Abstract Table 1. Risk of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure associated with current use of the study medications versus current use of LABA

Current use	Number of events	Person-years	Crude incidence rate per 1,000 PY (95% CI)	Crude incidence rate ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted ^a incidence rate ratio (95% CI)
LABA	30	4,339	6.91 (4.66-9.87)	1.0 (REF)	1.0 (REF)
Aclidinium	36	3,783	9.52 (6.66-13.17)	1.38 (0.85-2.23)	0.90 (0.53-1.53)
Tiotropium	186	24,490	7.59 (6.54-8.77)	1.10 (0.75-1.62)	1.02 (0.69-1.51)
Other LAMA	40	5,036	7.94 (5.67-10.82)	1.15 (0.72-1.84)	0.86 (0.50-1.47)
LAMA/LABA	13	1,571	8.27 (4.41-14.15)	1.20 (0.62-2.29)	1.09 (0.41-2.92)
LABA/ICS	213	29,036	7.34 (6.38-8.39)	1.06 (0.72-1.55)	1.01 (0.69, 1.48)

CI = confidence interval; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; LABA = long-acting beta2-agonist; LAMA = long-acting anticholinergic; PY = person-years; REF = reference category.

Note: The number of events and person-years for current use of LABA (reference category) differed across the study medications. Current users of both LABA and the specific study medication of interest were excluded from each corresponding analysis.

^a All the models were adjusted by age, sex, COPD severity, prior outpatient diagnosis of congestive heart failure, diuretic use, ICS use, asthma, and calendar year at start date, unless one of these variables was used for stratification.

Adjusted IRRs for current single and current multiple use of each study medication were similar to those observed for current use that included single and multiple use of the study medications, except for LAMA/LABA, where the IRRs were higher for current single use. The adjusted IRR was 0.60 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.25-1.45) for current single use of aclidinium and 0.75 (95% CI, 0.38-1.48) for current multiple use of aclidinium. Results from the analysis including those with a first hospitalisation for heart failure during the study period (secondary endpoint) did not differ from the results among patients without prior hospitalisation for heart failure.

When compared with aclidinium, there was no increased risk of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure comparing current use of each study medication. Adjusted IRRs versus current use of aclidinium were 0.93 (95% CI, 0.64-1.35) for tiotropium, 0.84 (95% CI, 0.53-1.35) for other LAMA, 0.73 (95% CI, 0.34-1.56) for LAMA/LABA, 0.89 (95% CI, 0.62-1.28) for LABA/ICS, and 1.11 (95% CI, 0.65-1.90) for LABA.

No increased risk of first ever hospitalisation for heart failure was observed for short or long duration of current use of any of the study medications compared with current short or long use of LABA, respectively.

The study results were consistent across subgroup analyses. In general, IRRs were similar across subgroups with some variations. No association with first ever hospitalisation for heart failure was observed between use of any study medication compared with LABA for any of the subgroups. For some subgroups, precision of effect estimates was low.

The results were also consistent across sensitivity analysis using an alternative definition of duration of exposure, analyses restricted to patients with linkage to HES and ONS, analyses restricted to confirmed cases only, and analysis using propensity score stratification.

Incidence rates (per 1,000 person-years) of AMI ranged from 10.21 for acclidinium to 5.60 for LABA. Incidence rates (per 1,000 person-years) of stroke ranged from 6.05 for LABA/ICS to 3.54 for LABA.

Discussion:

Overall, results from this study indicate that the use of acclidinium, tiotropium, other LAMA, LAMA/LABA, or LABA/ICS is not associated with an increased risk of congestive heart failure compared with the use of LABA.

The incidence rates of AMI and stroke were lower than those obtained from the literature in the original protocol. Based on study size recalculation, it is estimated that by the start of data collection for the AMI and stroke substudy (first semester 2020), there will be enough acclidinium users to discard an IRR with the upper limit of the 95% CI below 1.5 for AMI and below 2 for stroke.

Results from the next substudies in the acclidinium cardiovascular post-authorisation safety study (PASS) programme on stroke, acute myocardial infarction, and arrhythmias will provide more information on the cardiovascular safety of acclidinium and other COPD medications.

Marketing Authorisation Holder(s)

AstraZeneca AB
SE-151 85 Södertälje
Sweden

Name and affiliation of principal investigator

Cristina Rebordosa, MD, PhD; Director, Epidemiology
[REDACTED] MD, MPH, FISPE; Director, Epidemiology
RTI Health Solutions—Barcelona
Av. Diagonal 605 9-1
08028 Barcelona, Spain