c14462719-01

© Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

1. ABSTRACT

| Name of company: | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingree Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | lient: | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| Title of study: | Evaluation of p drug utilisation | potential off label use of dabigatran e a study in Cegedim France, Denmark | etexilate in Europe: A and CPRD UK |
| | 20 August 201 | RTI Hea | lth Solutions |
| Kovwords. | Dabigatran O/ | ACs drug utilisation off-label atrial | fibrillation |
| Rationale and background: | Dabigatran etexilate (Pradaxa) is an oral anticoagulant that was approved in Europe in 2008 for the primary prevention of venous thromboembolic events in adult patients who have undergone elective total hip or knee replacement surgery. In August 2011, dabigatran etexilate was also approved for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in adult patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation (SPAF) with one or more risk factors [P11-09429]. A third indication for treatment of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) and secondary prevention of recurrent DVT and PE in adults has been in place since June 2014. | | |
| | Under a regula Medicines Age 2012) for a mu potential off-la fibrillation indi label updates a conditions. The endorsed by the (CHMP) on 24 | a regulatory pharmacovigilance commitment, the European ines Agency (EMA) endorsed a study protocol (V2.0, dated 8 March for a multidatabase drug utilisation study (DUS) to evaluate the ial off-label use of dabigatran etexilate outside of the atrial tion indication. The protocol was revised to include several Pradaxa updates and changes in target countries based on final reimbursement ions. The revised study protocol, V4.0 dated 19 May 2014, was update by the EMA Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use P) on 24 July 2014. | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingree Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | dient: | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| Research question | The main object | ctives of this study were the followin | ıg: |
| and objectives: | To estimate the proportion of off-label use in new users of dabigatran etexilate according to the electronically recorded clinical indication or generated proxies for indication, as available in each database. To describe the characteristics of new users of dabigatran etexilate, including dose, demographics, clinical indication, morbidity, and use of other medications prior to the first captured prescription, stratified by usage sub-group: on- or off-label use. | | |
| Study design: | Descriptive, observational, multinational, European cross-sectional study of new users of dabigatran etexilate that characterised on- and off-label status and other medical characteristics at the time of the first captured dabigatran etexilate prescription. | | |
| Setting: | The study was implemented using data collected in three data sources and countries: | | |
| | • Cegedim Strategic Data Longitudinal Patient Database (CSD-LPD) in France | | |
| | • National | Health Databases in Denmark | |
| | Clinical I (UK) | Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) | in the United Kingdom |
| Subjects and study size, including dropouts: | The study population included new users of dabigatran etexilate in the studyperiod. New users were defined as those patients who initiated treatmentwith dabigatran etexilate during the study period and who had not used itduring the previous year. The index date was defined as the date on whicheach identified new user received the first prescription (index prescription)for dabigatran etexilate.Patients who received a new prescription of dabigatran etexilate were | | |
| | required to meet the following criteria, as ascertained from each of the data sources: have at least 1 year of enrolment in the electronic data source and had not been prescribed dabigatran etexilate during the 1-year period prior to the index date. A minimum of 1 year prior to the index date was considered the "baseline period." | | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | The estimated study size for each country-specific data source was approximately 5,000 new users of dabigatran etexilate. The study period for France covered the time period since approval of the SPAF indication in 01 August 2011 to 30 June 2014. New users were identified from panels of cardiologists and general practitioners (GPs). | | |
| | Because there is no possibility to link patients across panels, all the resu were stratified by panel. The study period for Denmark covered the time period since approval of the SPAF indication in 01 August 2011 to 30 November 2013. The study period for the UK covered the time perio since approval of the SPAF indication in 01 August 2011 to 30 August 2015. | | |
| Variables and data sources: | The main outcome, which is the primary outcome of the study, was the proportion of potential off-label use estimated among new users of dabigatran etexilate in each of the data sources. No additional secondary outcomes were defined. No interim analyses were planned or conducted. New users were characterised at the index prescription, including comorbidities and comedications. In France, this characterisation was stratified according to the type of physician that had issued the prescription (cardiologist or GP). | | |
| | The definition of off-label use of oral dabigatran etexilate was based on use for a disease or medical condition other than the labelled indications, as described and documented in the data source used in the respective countries, taking into account the changes in the label within the study period. | | |
| | For the French label indication [VTE] after hip embolism in at For the prevent specifically, the December 2011 define off-labe | r the French, Danish, and UK components of this study, the approved bel indications until June 2014 (prevention of venous thromboembolism TE] after hip or knee surgery and prevention of stroke and systemic abolism in atrial fibrillation) were applied to define the on-label group. In the prevention of stroke/systemic embolism in atrial fibrillation ecifically, the list of risk factors to be considered was modified in ecember 2013, and this was taken into account in France and the UK to fine off-label use from that time forward. | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | A new indication for treatment of DVT and PE and secondary prevention recurrent DVT and PE in adults has been in place since 03 June 2014. For the French study component and the CPRD, no patients with an index dat | | |
| | Diagnoses asso identified with indications. IC in each data so | beciated with potential off-label use of dabigatran were algorithms and used as proxies to identify potential clinical D-10 codes ¹ and codes from the specific dictionaries in place burce were used in creating the algorithms. | |
| | Definitions and | l levels of on-label and off-label use | |
| | Two levels of of off-label group | on-label use were investigated to det | ermine the potential |
| | • The first main cod fibrillation | level included a broad definition of de(s) for the approved clinical indication. | on-label use based on the tions, e.g., atrial |
| | • The second definition condition heart dise based on | second level, as a subset of the first level, is a more restrictive nition of on-label use that excluded patients that may have had ditions for which the medication is not indicated, e.g., valvular t disease or low-risk patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation, ed on the information included in the database. | |
| | In a third level, use were used recommendation populations. | , the dose strength of the index prese to further classify on- and off-label v ons for the labelled indications, inclu | ription and duration of use according to uding use in special |
| | Contraindicati | ons | |
| | The proportion applicable at the | of new users of dabigatran etexilate he time of the index date was describ | with contraindications ed. |

¹ ICD-10 = International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision.

BI Study Number 1160.144

Boehringer Ingelheim

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingree Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | lient: | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| Results: | France (CSD-I | LPD) | |
| | During the study period (August 2011 through June 2014), we identified 1,706 new users of dabigatran etexilate from the cardiologist panel and 2,813 from the GP panel. The mean age (SD) was 75.5 (10.0) years and 74.0 (10.4) years, respectively. There were more men than women in the cardiologist panel (825 men [57.9%], 599 women [42.1%]) and in the GP panel (1,541 men [54.8%], 1,272 women [45.2%]). | | |
| | Among new users of dabigatran etexilate, the diagnosis of atrial fibrillation was present in the electronic records in 76% of the cardiologist group and 65% of the GP group. Atrial fibrillation was classified as non-valvular among 74% (cardiologist) and 64% (GP) of the total new dabigatran etexilate users. None (cardiologist) and 1% (GP) of new dabigatran etexilate users had a recorded diagnosis of hip or knee replacement. No patients with an index date during June 2014 had a recorded indication of VTE treatment/secondary prevention. | | |
| | etexilate users. None (cardiologist) and 1% (GP) of new dabigatran etexilate users had a recorded diagnosis of hip or knee replacement. No patients with an index date during June 2014 had a recorded indication of VTE treatment/secondary prevention. Among patients whose first prescription was written by a cardiologist, the prevalence of potential off-label use was estimated to be 24.1% (95% confidence interval [CI], 22.1%-26.1%) using the broad definition and 37.5% (95% CI, 35.2%-39.8%) using the restrictive definition. For GPs, the prevalence of potential off-label use was estimated to be 34.0% (95% CI, 32.3%-35.8%) with the broad definition and 44.1% (95% CI, 42.2%- 45.9%) with the restrictive definition. All new users were adults aged 18 years or older. Therefore, no paediatric patients were identified as using dabigatran etexilate off-label. Under the broad definition, among potential off-label users, the most frequently recorded diagnoses associated with the potential off-label use of dabigatran etexilate were atrial flutter (11.9%, cardiologist panel; 6.6%, GP panel) and other arrhythmias or cardioversion (18.0%, cardiologist panel; 27.6%, GP panel). In 36.7% (cardiologist group) and 38.9% (GP group) of the potential off-label users, no diagnoses potentially related to the | | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingree Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | lient: | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | Denmark (Nati | ional Health Databases) | |
| | During the stud identified 28,6 71.8 (10.9) yea (13,567 [47.4% | dy period (August 2011 through Nov 19 new users of dabigatran etexilate. ars, and there were more men (15,052 [6]). | vember 2013), we The mean (SD) age was 2 [52.6%]) than women |
| | Among new us was present in fibrillation (97 24% of new us | sers of dabigatran etexilate, the diagnosis of atrial fibrillation 59%, and 57% were classified as having non-valvular atrial % of those with a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation). Overall, sers had a recorded diagnosis of hip or knee replacement. | |
| | The estimated p 16.6%-17.5%) 29.7%) using the dabigatran etext | prevalence of potential off-label use using the broad definition and 29.1% he restrictive definition. Three paedi kilate prescriptions were identified. | was 17.1% (95% CI, 6 (95% CI, 28.4%- atric patients with |
| | The most frequ use of dabigatr in any site (16. (10.5%), and st only 0.1% of p flutter without use (52.6%), no be identified. | quently recorded diagnoses associated with potential off-label atran etexilate were general prophylaxis/treatment of thrombus 6.3%), anticoagulation for heart valve replacement or stent stroke and transient ischaemic attack (TIA) (7.8%); whereas potential off-label users had a recorded diagnosis of atrial ut atrial fibrillation. In a majority of cases of potential off-labe no potential indication for the use of dabigatran etexilate cou | |
| UK (CPRD) | | | |
| | During the stud 3,435 new user Statistics [HES overall mean (S proportion of n | study period (August 2011 through August 2015) we ident users of dabigatran etexilate (2,150 linkable to Hospital Ep HES] [62.6%] and 1,285 not linkable to HES [37.4%]). The an (SD) age was 73.7 (11.3) years, and there was a higher of men (1,926 [56.1%]) than women (1,509 [43.9%]). | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | Among new us was present in patients, 83.5% as non-valvular 81.9% in non-l diagnosis of hij 3.5% in the non VTE treatment HES-linkable p analyses confir had a recorded Under the broa use was 7.9% (HES-linkable p patients. Under potential off-la (95% CI, 15.8% 23.2%-28.1%) dabigatran etex Overall, the mo potential off-la thrombus in an treatment/secon potential off-la atrial fibrillatio dabigatran etex <i>Pooled analyse</i> Per the protocor model. The con dabigatran etex definition and 3 definition. How for all analyses with caution. | sers of dabigatran etexilate, the diagr the records of 87% of the new users 6 in non-linkable patients). Atrial fib r among 84% of new users (84.6% in inkable patients). Overall, 4% of new p or knee replacement (5.0% in the I n-linkable group), and 1.2% had a re /secondary prevention starting in Jul patients, 1.5% in non-linkable patien med that no patients with an index of indication of VTE treatment/second d definition, the estimated prevalence (95% CI, 7.0%-8.8%) overall, 5.7% (95% catients, and 11.5% (95% CI, 9.8%-1 r the restrictive definition, the estimated bel use was 20.5% (95% CI, 19.1%- %-19.1%) in HES-linkable patients, at in non-linkable patients. Only one p cilate prescription was identified. ost frequently recorded diagnoses as bel use of the drug were general pro- y site (16.3%), stroke and TIA (16.3 ndary prevention of VTE (8.5%), wh bel users had a recorded diagnosis o on. In 47% of cases, no potential indi- cilate could be identified. 23 ol, the results were combined in a po- mbined estimated prevalence of pote- cilate was 18.2% (95% CI, 8.9%-27.1 30.3% (95% CI, 21.1%-39.6%) under wever, heterogeneity across studies v a), suggesting that the pooled results | hosis of atrial fibrillation (88.3% in HES-linkable rillation was classified in HES-linkable patients, w users had a recorded HES-linkable group, corded indication of by 2014 (1.0% in ts). Additional (post hoc) late during June 2014 ary prevention. the of potential off-label (95% CI, 4.7%-6.7%) in 13.4%) in non-linkable ated prevalence of 21.9%) overall, 17.4% and 25.6% (95% CI, aediatric patient with a sociated with the phylaxis/treatment of %), and hereas only 3% of f atrial flutter without cation for the use of oled, random-effects ntial off-label use of 5%) under the broad er the restrictive was very high ($I^2 \approx 100\%$ should be interpreted |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | 1 | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| Discussion: | Study design | I | 1 |
| | The study used health information recorded in population-based databases that collect and record data on a regular basis, thereby minimising bias related to differential reporting of prescriptions or impacts of contacts with patients and health care professionals. Studies evaluating data already collected may be the most efficient and accurate way to assess potential off-label use. | | |
| | However, it must be acknowledged that underrecording or misclassification of clinical indications and risk factors is a potential issue for all data sources. It is important to consider that any prevalence estimation of potential off-label use is highly dependent on the databases and the source and completeness of information. Therefore, for this type of research (evaluating potential off-label use), very detailed information on clinical conditions is of utmost importance. | | |
| | The sources of information for clinical conditions were highly variable across countries/data sources, and this is likely to have been the major driver of the different prevalences between study populations. Also, prescriptions in the hospital setting that are not continued after discharge are not captured in any of the data sources. | | |
| | Since this was a cross-sectional study, the capture of follow-up prescriptions for dabigatran etexilate or other comedications of interest based on the observation period of 90 (or 120) days after index date was very limited. | | |
| | The following | sections contain more details regard | ing the different |
| | France (CSD-1 | LPD) | |
| Limitations | | , | |
| | Lack of linkage duplicate patier | ge. Individual patients in each panel cannot be linked, and ients cannot be identified. | |
| | Limited inform episodes are no conditions that indications for same panel are may be missing | information available. Diagnosis and procedural codes for hosp s are not available in this data source. Also, physicians record o ns that concern their day-to-day medical practice. Regarding ons for prescriptions, only diagnoses made by physicians withir nel are available, so the indication information for some patient missing. As a result, off-label use might have been overestimat | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | Finally, prescriptions available in the medical record are only those issued for each patient by the physician in the panel. Comedications taken by the patient and prescribed by other physicians in a different panel or outside the panels are not recorded. Potential for indication and other data to be incorrectly recorded in the data sources. Recording the indication (diagnosis) for each prescribed treatment is mandatory in the Cegedim software, but there may be errors, and the physician is free to enter (or not) any other associated diagnosis (according to an in-house thesaurus list) | | |
| | Potential for incomplete/missing data. No individual patient identifiers are available in CSD-LPD. Therefore, physicians cannot be reached to provide information for missing data. | | |
| | The diagnosis of the cardiologist based on diagn indications mig | of atrial fibrillation was present in the records for 75.9% of st group and 64.9% of the GP group. Although algorithms noses, procedures, and treatments were developed, some ght have not been identified due to unrecorded information. | |
| | The 11% difference across the two panels is reassuring about the appropriateness of using information collected from cardiologists in addition to GPs, as they provide complementary information. However, duplicate patients are possible because some of the prescriptions might have been initiated by the cardiologist, with follow-up prescriptions issued by the GP. Recording of information was likely differential across panels, with cardiovascular conditions more frequently recorded by general practitioners. | | |
| | Only a few patients with hip or knee replacement were identified. Because of the characteristics of the panels, this indication was probably not well captured in CSD-LPD. | | |
| | As highlighted above, among the conditions frequently associa potential off-label use, 12% (cardiologist group) and 7% (GP g diagnosis of atrial flutter. These findings need to be interpreted when considering these patients to constitute potential off-labe because many of these patients may have had atrial fibrillation with atrial flutter, or some initially labelled as "atrial flutter" m converted into atrial fibrillation at some point. Also, a number with atrial fibrillation might have been coded as "cardioversion LPD. Thus, it is possible that a non-negligible proportion of th | | ntly associated with d 7% (GP group) had a e interpreted with caution tial off-label users fibrillation combined al flutter" may have o, a number of patients ardioversion" in CSD- ortion of these patients |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingree Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | dient: | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | were actually o | on-label users, at least under the broa | d definition. |
| | Denmark (Nati | ional Health Databases) | |
| | Limitations | | |
| | • Diagnosis and procedural codes are available for hospital episodes and for hospital-ambulatory care episodes. However, data on clinic conditions that are managed mainly by primary care physicians are likely to have been missed, potentially overestimating off-label use | | |
| | • The potential for incomplete/missing data exists. The national registers in Denmark do not capture clinical data in detail. Most of information corresponds to diagnosis or procedural codes and data drugs. | | |
| | Recordin indication procedura potential indication | g of the indication is not available in n can be derived only by using proxi al codes and medications). This may misclassification/underdetection of a ns. | h the registers, and es (diagnosis and have resulted in the some treatment |
| | • No data a the Danis | available on prescribed daily dose or sh National Prescription Registry. | length of supply from |
| | In Denmark, the prevalence of potential off-label use of dabigatran etexilate using the broad definition was 17.0%. Generally, a limitation of the algorithm used in the Danish component is the fact that it relies on hospital diagnoses in order to identify on-label indications. While it can be assumed that this captures all patients undergoing a hip or knee replacement procedure in the Danish registers, the same may not be the case for patients with atrial fibrillation due to three potential factors: | | |
| | Lack of data from the primary care sector. For patients with atrial fibrillation who are treated only in the primary care sector, the indication would not be captured by the Danish registries. | | |
| | Delay of registration of the atrial fibrillation diagnosis in the secondary sector (e.g., patients who initiaited treatment in the primary care sector an only afterwards were referred to the hospital) | | osis in the secondary primary care sector and |
| | Coding errors/omission leading to no recorded atrial fibrillation diagnosis despite the patient presenting to the hospital with this condition | | |
| Recordin informati drugs. Recordin indication procedur potential indication No data a the Danis In Denmark, th using the broad algorithm used diagnoses in or that this captur procedure in th with atrial fibri Lack of data fr fibrillation who would not be c Delay of regist sector (e.g., pa only afterwards Coding errors/despite the pati | | g of the indication is not available in n can be derived only by using proxi- al codes and medications). This may misclassification/underdetection of a ns. available on prescribed daily dose or sh National Prescription Registry. he prevalence of potential off-label u d definition was 17.0%. Generally, a in the Danish component is the fact rder to identify on-label indications. es all patients undergoing a hip or kn the Danish registers, the same may no illation due to three potential factors: om the primary care sector. For patie o are treated only in the primary care aptured by the Danish registries. ration of the atrial fibrillation diagno- tients who initiaited treatment in the s were referred to the hospital) omission leading to no recorded atria- tent presenting to the hospital with the tors may have led to overestimation | a the registers, and es (diagnosis and have resulted in the some treatment length of supply from se of dabigatran etexila limitation of the that it relies on hospita While it can be assume nee replacement t be the case for patien ents with atrial e sector, the indication osis in the secondary primary care sector an al fibrillation diagnosis his condition of off-label use. |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | UK (CPRD) | I | <u>.</u> |
| | Limitations HES link in the CP | age was not available for a significa PRD (37.4%). | nt proportion of patients |
| | Prescript by specia | ions for dabigatran etexilate issued i lists are not captured. | n the hospital setting or |
| | • Potential the data s checks w Therefore | for indication and other data to be incorrectly recorded in ources. There is no process within the CPRD software that hether a diagnosis is correctly linked to a prescription. e, misclassification/underrecording cannot be ruled out. | |
| Potential relevant may be p to HES | | for incomplete/missing data. The re clinical data and tests generated duri poor. This is particularly relevant amo | cording by GPs of ng hospital admissions ong patients not linkable |
| | • Use of R codes ma | ead codes, which includes thousands by have not been captured by the fina | s of codes. Some relevant al algorithms. |
| | The UK compo- under both defi- information, it fibrillation case CPRD was the included hospi- were linkable to of <i>acute</i> dabigat than purely pri- prevalence of p CPRD patients CPRD are like information, ar HES-linkable p | component showed the lowest prevalence of potential off-label use oth definitions. Because CPRD includes detailed primary care tion, it is likely to have led to the accurate detection of atrial on cases. Consistent with this, the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in vas the highest of the three components. Because CPRD also I hospital diagnostic information in a large portion of patients (63% kable to HES), it may have had a higher sensitivity for the detection dabigatran etexilate indications (hip or knee replacement surgery) rely primary care databases. Consistent with this, the lowest nee of potential off-label use was estimated among HES-linkable batients. The estimates of on-label and off-label use derived from the likely to be based on the most complete patient history tion, and therefore be the most accurate, particularly those for kable patients. | |
| | Pooled analyse | <i>2S</i> | |
| The very high quantified by t in each of the | | heterogeneity observed across studie he I^2 statistic suggests that the focus ndividual study populations rather the | es and partially should be on the results nan on the pooled |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| | estimates. | | <u></u> |
| | Potential off-la | bel indications for dabigatran etexil | late |
| | The clinical diagnoses most frequently associated with the potential off-label use of dabigatran etexilate varied across study populations. In CSD-LPD (France), a large portion of potential off-label users had record diagnoses of atrial flutter and other arrhythmias/cardioversion, but in CPI and Denmark the frequency of those diagnoses was marginal, with the mapotential off-label uses of the drug being prophylaxis/treatment of thrombotic processes and stroke/TIA. These differences may be the consequence of differences in coding practices, as well as in the data available in each of the data sources. | | |
| | Clinical profile etexilate | users of dabigatran | |
| | Differences between potential on-label and off-label users in the frequency of recorded clinical features varied slightly across the three study populations. The differences were more marked in CPRD and more subtle in CSD-LPD. | | |
| | Conclusion | | |
| | In all countries, atrial fibrillation was the most frequently recorded potential indication among new users of dabigatran etexilate. The prevalence of recorded hip or knee replacement surgery varied markedly across populations, probably as a consequence of differences in the sources of data used. Under a broad definition of on-label use, estimates of potential off-label use of dabigatran etexilate ranged from 5.7% in HES-linkable patients in CPRD (UK) to 34% in the French CSD-LPD general practitioner panel. Under a more restrictive definition, potential off-label use ranged from 17.4% in HES-linkable patients in CPRD (UK) to 44.1% in the French CSD-LPD general practitioner panel. Differences in the clinical profiles of potential on-label and off-label new users of dabigatran etexilate, as well as in the distribution of conditions associated with potential off-label use, varied across populations. | | |
| | It is important to keep in mind the inherent limitations of each of the data sources when interpreting the results, most of which may have led to some overestimation of off-label use of dabigatran etexilate—particularly in CSD-LPD. The high proportion of potential off-label users in which no | | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Name of company: | | | | | |
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: | | |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | | | |
| | reason for use of anticoagulant therapy could be identified suggests under- recording of clinical indications in many patients. In this context, because dabigatran etexilate may be used for the treatment of both <i>acute</i> and <i>chronic</i> conditions (such as after hip or knee replacement surgery and atrial fibrillation, respectively), CPRD is likely to provide the most accurate estimates of potential off-label use of the drug, particularly among patients with HES-linkable data. On the other hand, for France and Denmark, the data need to be interpreted cautiously because of the limited information available. These databases would be strengthened for this type of research if data for hospital episodes (in France) or for primary care episodes (in Denmark) were available. | | | | |
| | Due to the limitations in the availability of some of the data, both the broad and restrictive definitions of on-label and off-label use should be considered to better understand the potential off-label use of the drug in clinical practice. | | | | |
| | The marketing continue to dist educational ma in European co evaluating the completed in F measure impos 2011, consists prescriber guid separate docum communications. health care pro prescribed and labelling. | authorisation holder informs that it has committed to tribute and further improve the distribution of the iterials (Prescriber Guide (PG) and Patient Alert Card (PAC)) puntries in which Pradaxa is marketed as a result of a study effectiveness of this risk minimization measure which was bebruary 2016. The educational material, a risk minimization and by EMA together with the SPAF approval in August of one prescriber guide per indication (DVT/PE and SPAF les as one document and the pVTEp prescriber guide as a nent), a patient alert card applying to all indications, a n plan applying to all indications, and the SmPC applying to Specifically, the distribution of the PG and PAC to the viders is believed to support that Pradaxa is appropriately used in accordance with the approved indications and | | | |
| Marketing Authorisation Holder(s): | Boehringer Ingelheim | | | | |
| Names and affiliations of principal | , RTI Health Solutions (RTI-HS) RTI-HS RTI-HS | | | | |

c14462719-01

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished medicinal product: Pradaxa ® | | | |
| Name of active ingredient: Dabigatran etexilate (ATC: B01AE07) | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 15 December 2016 | 1160.144 | 1.0 | |
| investigators: | RTI-HS RTI-HS CSD-LPD, France CSD-LPD, France University of Southern Denmark (SDU), Denmark SDU, Denmark SDU, Denmark | | |