

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

Document Number:	<document number=""></document>
BI Study No.:	1237-0078
BI Investigational Product(s):	Not applicable
Title:	Comparative effectiveness of triple therapy in COPD: A new-user cohort study
Title for lay people:	Comparative effectiveness of triple therapy in COPD
Date of last version of protocol:	23 April 2018
EU PAS Register No: only applicable for PASS	tbd [Subsequent versions of the protocol should mention on the title page "EU PAS Register No:" with the registration number.]
Marketing authorization holder(s):	Boehringer Ingelheim GmbH Binger Straße 173 55216 Ingelheim am Rhein Germany
Author/Responsible Parties/BI contact person:	Authors/Responsible parties: Professor Dr. Samy Suissa Director, Centre for Clinical Epidemiology McGill University Jewish General Hospital 3755 Cote Ste-Catherine Montreal, Québec, Canada H3T 1E2 Tel: +1 (514) 340-7593 Fax: +1 (514) 340-7564 BI contact person: Dr. Laura Wallace Department of Global Epidemiology Binger Straße 173 55216 Ingelheim am Rhein Germany Tel.: +49 (6132) 77-97021 Fax: +49 (6132) 72-97021 E-mail: laura.wallace@boehringer-ingelheim.com
Country(-ies) of study:	United Kingdom
EU-QPPV: only applicable for PASS Signature of EU-QPPV: only applicable for PASS	Second draft [The signature of the EU-QPPV is provided electronically.]

Boehringer Ingelheim

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

Page 2 of 34

1237-0078 < Document Number>
Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

Version and Date: Version 1.0, April 23, 2018					
	Page 1 of 34				
0 0	Proprietary confidential information International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies. All rights reserved. or in part - be passed on, reproduced, published or otherwise used without prior written permission				

1237-0078 **Cocument Number>**

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

PROTOCOL ABSTRACT

Name of company:			Roohringer	
Boehringer Ingelheim			Boehringer Ingelheim	
Name of product: Spiriva			Č	
Name of active ingredie Tiotropium bromide	nt:			
Protocol date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:	
22 March 2018	1237-0078	1.1	Version 1.0, April 23, 2018	
	lheim International Gmb	v confidential information H or one or more of its affiliated comp reproduced, published or otherwise used with		
Title of study:	Comparative effectiven	ess of combination therapies in COF	'D	
Team member Epidemiology:	Laura Wallace and Jukk	xa Montonen		
Project team:	Professor Dr. Pierre Ernst Dr. Anne Gonzales			
Rationale and background:	Professor Dr. Pierre Ernst Dr. Anne Gonzales Ms Sophie Dell'Aniello tionale and The treatment of COPD involves multiple therapies, including long-acting			
Research question and objectives:	from the study will be published in the scientific literature. To assess the effectiveness of maintenance treatment of COPD with the LABA-LAMA-ICS combination with a LABA-LAMA combination on the risk of COPD exacerbation and the safety on the incidence of community acquired pneumonia.			
Study design:	Incident new-user coho the two comparison gro	ort design with high-dimensional pro oups.	pensity scores to match	

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

Name of company:			Dock sin gon		
Boehringer Ingelheim			Boehringer Ingelheim		
Name of product: Spiriva					
Name of active ingredient: Tiotropium bromide					
Trouopium bronnuc					
Protocol date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:		
22 March 2018	1237-0078	1.1	Version 1.0, April 23, 2018		
© 2018 Boehringer Inge This document may not - in	lheim International GmbH	confidential information H or one or more of its affiliated compreproduced, published or otherwise used with	panies. All rights reserved.		
Population:	who received at least or 2002 until 31 Decembe and decrease the likelih include patients 55 year	nsist of all patients in the CPRD with the prescription for a LABA, LAMA or 2016. To increase the likelihood or good of including misdiagnosed asthers of age or older on the date of this had a diagnosis of asthma at any time	, or an ICS from 1 January f a diagnosis of COPD ma patients, we will only initial prescription and		
	their first concurrent proon the same day or in a high-dimensional proper initiate treatment with I the same day (cohort enhistory information priouse and the measurement one year from the cohort	ort will first be defined as the memberscriptions of a LAMA and LABA (single inhaler (cohort entry date). The ensity score matched with members LABA-LAMA-ICS combination as the try date). Subjects will have at least or to the cohort entry date to allow that of baseline covariates. Subjects were entry date, the date of death, 31 Defice, whichever occurs first.	(no ICS) as two inhalers These patients will be of the base cohort who three or two inhalers on t one year of medical the identification of new will be followed for up to		
Study data source:		cal Practice Research Datalink (CPl Episodes Statistics (HES) database			
Expected study size:					
Main criteria for inclusion:	LABA, LAMA and ICS combination, on the same	acting bronchodilators, LABA and LAMA on the same date or of CS, either as a fixed-dose combination (LABA-ICS) or free same date between January 2002 and December 2016. O prior to first maintenance inhaler and age ≥ 55 years at forst			
Main criteria for exclusion:	-Less than one year of r treatment initiation (stu -Asthma diagnosis prio	• •	the date of combined		
Comparison groups:		AMA and ICS compared to initiating g exposure up to one year for the as-			
Expected duration of exposure:	One year for all outcome	es			

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE P	PAGE	1
PROTO	COL ABSTRACT	3
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF	F TABLES	7
LIST OF	F FIGURES	8
1.	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS	9
2.	RESPONSIBLE PARTIES	
3.	AMENDMENTS AND UPDATES	
4.	MILESTONES	
5.	RATIONALE AND BACKGROUND	
6.	RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES	14
7.	RESEARCH METHODS	15
7. 1	STUDY DESIGN	15
7.2	SETTING	15
7.3	SUBJECTS	15
7.4	VARIABLES	16
7.4.1	EXPOSURES	
7.4.2	OUTCOME(S)	
7.4.2.1	PRIMARY OUTCOME(S)	
7.4.2.2	SECONDARY OUTCOME(S)	
7.4.2.3	FURTHER OUTCOME(S)	
7.4.3	COVARIATES	
7.5.5	DATA SOURCES	
7.6	BIAS	
7.0 7.7	STUDY SIZE	
7.8	DATA MANAGEMENT	
7.8 7.9	DATA MANAGEMENT	
7.9.1	MAIN ANALYSIS	
7.9.1 7.9.2	FURTHER ANALYSIS	
7.9.2 7.10	OUALITY CONTROL	
	LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH METHODS	
7.11		
7.12	OTHER ASPECTS	
8.	PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS	22
9.	MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE	
	EVENTS/ADVERSE REACTIONS	23
10.	PLANS FOR DISSEMINATING AND COMMUNICATING STU	IDV
10.		
	RESULTS	
11.	REFERENCES	25
11.1	PUBLISHED REFERENCES	25

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

D	4	N.T		L.	
<docum< td=""><td>ent</td><td>IN</td><td>um</td><td>De</td><td>\mathbf{r}</td></docum<>	ent	IN	um	De	\mathbf{r}

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

11.2	UNPUBLISHED REFERENCES	26
12.	FUNDING	26
13.	ANNEX	27
ANNEX	1: LIST OF STAND-ALONE DOCUMENTS	27
ANNEX	2: ENCEPP CHECKLIST FOR STUDY PROTOCOLS	28
ANNEX	3: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	34
	3.1: DEFINITION OF STUDY EXPOSURES	
	3.2: DEFINITIONS OF STUDY OUTCOMES	
	3.3: DEFINITIONS OF STUDY COVARIATES	
	3.4: STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	
	3.5: READ CODES FOR COHORT DEFINITION Error! Bo defined.	
14.	GENERAL WRITING INSTRUCTIONS ERROR! BOOKN DEFINED.	MARK NOT

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

1237-0078 **Cocument Number>**

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

LIST OF TABLES

There are not tables included in the document

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

LIST OF FIGURES

1237-0078

There are not figures included in the document

1237-0078 **Cocument Number>**

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

1. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS ---

AE Adverse Event

BI Boehringer Ingelheim

CI Confidence Interval

COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CPRD Clinical Practice Research Datalink

ICS Inhaled corticosteroids

IR Incidence Rate

LABA Long-acting beta2-agonist

N Number

PS Propensity score

PSTAT Project Statistician

PY Person-years at risk

RR Rate Ratio

TM Epi Team Member Epidemiology

UTS Up-to-standard

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

2. RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Professor Dr. Samy Suissa (principal investigator)
Professor of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Medicine
Director, Centre for Clinical Epidemiology
McGill University
Jewish General Hospital
3755 Cote Ste-Catherine
Montreal, Québec, Canada H3T 1E2

Tel: 514-340-7593 Fax: 514-340-7564

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

3. AMENDMENTS AND UPDATES

There are currently no amendments to the protocol.

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

4. MILESTONES

Milestone	<u>Planned date</u>
Start of data collection: Data extraction and coding	January 2018
End of data collection:	Not applicable. This study is an observational study based on existing data.
Study progress report(s) as referred in Article 107m(5) of Directive 2001/83/EC:	tbd
Interim report(s) of study results:	Preliminary results: September 2018
Registration in the EU PAS register	tbd
Final report of study results:	December 2018

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

5. RATIONALE AND BACKGROUND

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality throughout the world [P07-11503]. It has recently risen to become the third leading cause of death in the US [R13-1383; Minion et al. 2010]. Long-acting bronchodilator medications, that include long-acting beta₂-agonists (LABAs) and the long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMAs) such as the anticholinergic tiotropium, have become central maintenance therapy to the management of COPD, with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) added with increasing severity[P07-11503].

As a result, the treatment of COPD increasingly involves using several of these drugs, with some of their combinations now formulated into single inhalers. The use of ICS has, however, increased disproportionately with respect to COPD treatment guidelines and may be inappropriate in a subset of patients, with new evidence suggesting that patients can be safely weaned off ICS. [P15-12888; P16-12287] Indeed, the large WISDOM trial observed no difference in the risk of moderate or severe exacerbations between patients who discontinued ICS and those who continued receiving ICS, while the OPTIMO study also observed no deterioration of lung function, symptoms, and exacerbation rate after withdrawal [P14-13477; P14-13078]. Moreover, discontinuation of ICS has been associated with a reduction in the risk of pneumonia [P15-13167].

Recently, several single-inhaler triple therapies involving a LABA-LAMA-ICS combination were developed and tested for effectiveness in randomized trials. The TRILOGY and TRINITY trials compared a single-inhaler triple therapy with a LABA-LAMA-ICS and a LAMA respectively and found greater benefit with triple therapy. The TRIBUTE trial and ongoing IMPACT trial compare also triple therapy with a dual long-acting bronchodilator regimen LABA-LAMA. These trials, however, have methodological issues related to the deleterious effect of withdrawal of maintenance treatment at randomization, the use of run-in periods and the truncated follow-up at treatment discontinuation. Furthermore, some of these trials included patients already using triple therapy into the trial. Moreover, the trials represent a limited view of the patients who could potentially use these treatments, with several exclusion criteria involving stage of disease, lung function and exacerbation history, in addition to the exclusions of many patients during the screening and run-in periods. Thus, a real-world study of patients who are representative of clinical practice is of interest. Finally, assessing the effectiveness in terms of COPD exacerbations should be balanced against the safety of ICS with respect to pneumonia in the context of real-world clinical practice.

As many of these drugs have been in use in separate inhalers for many years, an observational study of the comparative effectiveness and safety of triple therapy is feasible and would provide useful data on the relative benefits of different combinations in the treatment of COPD. The intended audience is payers and prescribers. The results from the study will be published in the scientific literature.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

6. RESEARCH OUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this study is to assess the effectiveness and safety of maintenance treatment of COPD with the combination of LABA, a LAMA and an ICS (LABA-LAMA-ICS) compared with the combination of a LABA and a LAMA (LABA-LAMA) on the time to COPD exacerbation and on the incidence of hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia. More specifically, the patients who initiate treatment with a combination of LABA, LAMA and ICS at the same time, either all three at the same time or after adding one or two of the components, will be compared with similar patients who initiate treatment with a combination of LABA and LAMA at the same time, either both at the same time or after adding one of the components, on the time to first COPD exacerbation and on the incidence of hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia.

Secondary objectives will assess the comparative effectiveness on the number of exacerbations and will explore the effectiveness as a function of the level of blood eosinophils .

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

7. RESEARCH METHODS

7.1 STUDY DESIGN

Population-based incident new-user cohort design with high-dimensional time-conditional propensity score matching.

7.2 SETTING

The study will be conducted in a general practice setting, within the United Kingdom's Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD; details in Section 7.5). It will be restricted to the practices which can be linked to the Hospital Episodes Statistics (HES) database. The maximal observation period will be from January 1995 until December 2017.

7.3 SUBJECTS

The base cohort will consist of all patients with a diagnosis of COPD from 1 January 1995 until 31 December 2016 who subsequently received at least one prescription for a long-acting bronchodilator, either LABA or LAMA, or for an inhaled corticosteroid (ICS), alone or in combination, from 1 January 2002 until 31 December 2016. The time span for the study was selected to ensure that LABAs, LAMAs and ICS were concurrently available, in view of the differing dates of market entry for LABAs (1990s) and LAMAs (2002). To increase the likelihood of a diagnosis of COPD and decrease the likelihood of including misdiagnosed asthma patients, we will exclude all patients less than 55 years of age on the date of their initial prescription for these drugs during this time period and exclude all patients with a previous diagnosis of asthma at any time prior to the study cohort entry date (see below). Because the definition of some outcomes under study involve hospitalization, the base cohort will be restricted to the practices which can be linked to the Hospital Episodes Statistics (HES) database (please refer to section 7.5).

Study Cohort

The study cohort will be formed from the base cohort using an incident new-user cohort design with time-conditional propensity scores [P17-04653]. We will thus identify from the base cohort all subjects who, during follow-up, received for the first time prescriptions for a LABA and a LAMA on the same day, but no inhaled corticosteroid. This can occur as the first time they received both a LABA and LAMA (LABA-LAMA) as initial treatment, or with initial treatment with a single long-acting bronchodilator followed by the addition of the other long-acting bronchodilator. We will then identify from the base cohort all subjects who, during follow-up, received for the first time prescriptions for a LABA, a LAMA and an ICS (LABA-LAMA-ICS) on the same day. Here again, this can occur if they receive all three as initial treatment or if one or two were added to prior treatment. Cohort entry will be taken as the first date that LABA-LAMA or LABA-LAMA-ICS prescriptions are given simultaneously. All subjects will require at least one year of up-to-standard (UTS) medical history prior to study cohort entry to allow a one-year baseline period for the covariates and identification of new use. New use will thus be defined as the first simultaneous prescriptions for LABA and LAMA or for LABA, LAMA and ICS, preceded by prescriptions for none or for some of the components in the one-year baseline period.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

To form the study cohort, we will identify, for each subject initiating LABA and LAMA (LABA-LAMA) treatment, a matched LABA-LAMA-ICS comparator subject using a propensity score-matched approach. To allow matching on the propensity of treatment choice, we will compute high-dimensional propensity scores (HDPS) by identifying all available data (e.g., diagnoses, procedures, medications) in the one-year period prior to the date of cohort entry using logistic regression. We will first match subjects on sex, calendar year, the presence of prior maintenance treatment (some or not) and the presence of an acute COPD exacerbation in the year before cohort entry. The matched reference subject will be selected as the one with the closest propensity score in the matched set, after trimming. In the absence of a tight propensity score match (difference in scores of 0.05), we will take the closest and the analysis will be complemented by an adjustment for the propensity score.

After matching, the subjects in the study cohort will be followed up for up to one year from cohort entry (the date of the matched set), with follow-up ending at the earliest of the date of a switch, treatment discontinuation, death, one year after cohort entry, December 31, 2017, or the end of coverage in the practice, whichever occurs first.

7.4 VARIABLES

7.4.1 EXPOSURES

The exposure measures are based on the prescription of the two long-acting bronchodilators under study, namely LABAs and LAMAs, as well as ICS. As described in the data analysis section, the as-treated analysis, which is the main analysis, will consider continuing exposure of the initial treatment of LABA-LAMA or LABA-LAMA-ICS within the treated groups defined by a maximal 60-day gap between the prescription dates.

7.4.2 OUTCOME(S)

7.4.2.1 PRIMARY OUTCOME(S)

The primary outcome event for effectiveness is the first COPD exacerbation to occur after cohort entry. The event is defined as a hospitalization with a primary diagnosis of COPD (severe exacerbation) or the prescription of an oral corticosteroid, namely prednisolone (moderate exacerbation). The primary outcome event for safety is the occurrence of the first hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia (serious pneumonia). Pneumonia will be defined from the following ICD10 codes: J10.0; J11.0; J12-J18; J22; J69; J85.0; J85.1; J86. This definition has been used successfully in COPD [P07-09514; P16-10095].).

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

7.4.2.2 SECONDARY OUTCOME(S)

The secondary outcome is the rate of COPD exacerbations over the one-year follow-up. This outcome is based on the number of hospitalizations and on the number of courses of treatment with an oral corticosteroid. A gap of at least 30 days between treatment courses is required to consider the exacerbations as separate events.

FURTHER OUTCOME(S)

Not applicable

7.4.3 COVARIATES

Sex, previous treatment and previous acute COPD exacerbation, as well as calendar year of cohort entry, are all matching factors and will thus inherently be accounted for.

Although we will use the high-dimensional approach to identify variables entering the propensity score, some key covariates will be highlighted. First, we will identify key lifestyle variables available in the CPRD, known to be risk factors and potential confounders, including the body mass index (BMI), smoking status and excessive alcohol consumption. Missing data for smoking status and BMI will be entered as an additional category in the model. We will obtain blood eosinophils measured prior to cohort entry and will use this information in exploratory analyses.

Second, the occurrence of the study outcomes prior to cohort entry will be identified during the one-year baseline period and adjusted for in the analysis if found to be imbalanced between treatment groups after propensity score matching. In particular, COPD exacerbations occurring in the 30 days prior to the study cohort entry date, a marker of COPD exacerbation risk, will be identified separately from those occurring previously during the baseline year. In addition, the severe exacerbations requiring hospitalizations will be counted, while the moderate exacerbations will be identified from counts of prescriptions for oral corticosteroids.

Third, use of other respiratory drugs during the baseline period will be included as a measure of COPD severity. Thus, the number of prescriptions for short-acting beta-agonists, anticholinergics, methylxanthines and muscarinic antagonists used during the year prior to cohort entry will be identified. As well, the number of prescriptions for antibiotics for a respiratory condition will be counted. In addition, we will count the number of prescriptions for the study drugs used during the baseline period. These will be combined into a single variable because of the study design which inherently selects patients into the two study groups according to their prior LABA, LAMA or ICS use. Finally, for each of the cohorts, baseline co-morbidity will be measured using diagnoses and prescriptions for various conditions observed often in patients with COPD, namely cardiovascular disease, diabetes, thyroid disease, renal failure, autoimmune disease and cancer, all in the year prior to cohort entry.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

7.5 DATA SOURCES

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) will be used for this study. It includes computerized medical records of more than 12 million patients from more than 650 general practices in the United Kingdom. General practitioners, using standardized recording of medical information, record data on the patient's demographic characteristics, symptoms, history, medical diagnoses, and drug prescriptions, as well as details of referrals to specialists and hospitals. The completeness and validity of the recorded information on diagnoses, coded using READ codes, and drug exposures, coded using a coding system with a direct mapping to the NHS dictionary of medicines and devices, are checked on an ongoing basis by staff of the CPRD and have been shown in several studies [R11-2162; R16-2198]. In addition, the CPRD can be linked by unique identifier to the Hospital Episodes Statistics (HES) database which provides extensive information on all hospitalizations, coded using ICD-10 codes, including data on length of stay, ward types, as well as extensive disease and procedure coding, based on OPCS4 (Office of Population Censuses and Surveys Classification of Interventions and Procedures) codes. The linkage between the CPRD and the HES databases applies to over half of the practices contributing to the CPRD. The database has been used for the study of numerous diseases, including studies of COPD [R16-2197; P16-10095].

7.6 BIAS

Several potential biases inherent to any observational study need to be considered. First, confounding by indication could be an issue. Matching subjects initiating LABA-LAMA with subjects initiating LABA-LAMA-ICS on several marker of disease, including prior COPD exacerbations, previous treatment and on the propensity score should limit this potential bias since matched subjects will have comparable probability of using either combination. In addition to matching on the propensity score, sex, prior exacerbation, prior treatment and calendar time, several variables such as general severity of COPD, comorbidity and concomitant drug use will be included in the propensity score and adjusted for in the outcome model. Second, there is the possibility of information bias due to misclassification of the outcomes or exposure. CPRD contains prescriptions written by the GP rather than filled or taken by patients. This could be a source of misclassification of exposure. Finally, the linkage to HES data to identify hospitalization for COPD exacerbation and for community-acquired pneumonia should reduce the potential for misclassification of outcomes.

7.7 STUDY SIZE

We expect around 2,000 patients who received a LABA and LAMA on the same day, where the LABA is not combined with an inhaled corticosteroid in a single inhaler. We expect that the number of users of LABA-LAMA-ICS is quite large, a recent study suggesting over 6% of all patients in the first year receive triple therapy (REF DIMARCO 2017), providing a sufficient pool for matching purposes. Thus, after matching and trimming, we expect the study to include around 2,000 patients per arm. We expect that the incidence rate of a first COPD exacerbation, moderate or severe, during the one-year follow-up to be 0.80 per patient per year. In addition, we expect that the patients will remain under treatment for 50% of the follow-up time. Thus, these sample sizes will permit to detect a 15% reduction in the incidence of a first exacerbation (hazard ratio 0.85) with 93% power.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

7.8 DATA MANAGEMENT

All data related to this study will be stored on secured and encrypted database servers of the Data Management Unit Datacentre, located in the Centre for Clinical Epidemiology, Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research of the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal. The Centre for Clinical Epidemiology is equipped with an electronic access card system which is limited to the research staff and students of the Centre. The DMU Datacentre has a unique electronic access card system which is limited to specific personnel only. The building is under 24/7 video surveillance and regular scheduled round trips by security guards are done. The DMU Datacentre is monitored and managed for data access, data integrity and backup. The DMU Datacentre uses proven technology to safeguard its data, including Cisco ASA firewalls, IDS/IPS, antivirus, anti-spyware, hard drive encrypted, user access tracking, backup of all data. Copies of backed up files are kept in a safe outside of the institution.

All statistical analyses for the study will be conducted using SAS, Version 9.4 (SAS institute, Inc., Cary, NC) and R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; http://www.R-project.org/). All programs written by the statistical programmer, coding of variables, outputs and protocol amendments are stored in the servers. Access to the files related to a study is password-protected on the server and accessible only to the study statisticians. For ethical and scientific reasons, data and documentation are kept for five years following the publication date.

7.9 DATA ANALYSIS

7.9.1 MAIN ANALYSIS

For the base cohort, patient characteristics at baseline will be described using standard descriptive statistics.

For the analysis of the primary objective, the Kaplan-Meier approach will be used with the matched cohort to estimate the crude 1-year cumulative incidence of severe and moderate COPD exacerbations for the two combination treatment groups. The primary comparative analysis will also be based on the matched cohort and a time-dependent Cox proportional hazard regression model to perform an as-treated analysis that assesses the effect of current use of LABA-LAMA-ICS combination versus the LABA-LAMA combination on the risk of a first COPD exacerbation. It will provide an estimate of the hazard ratio (HR) of a COPD exacerbation associated with LABA-LAMA-ICS use relative to LABA-LAMA use, along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Current use will be defined as prescriptions dispensed within the 60-day period of the date defined by the risk set of the Cox model. This approach allows consideration of exposure as time-dependent, accounting for the changes in exposure during the follow-up. In particular, this analysis censors patients stopping one or more of the drugs prior to the index date. Besides the matching inherent in the design, the Cox proportional hazard regression model will be adjusted for additional confounders, namely patient characteristics found to be imbalanced after matching on propensity scores, as well as the decile of propensity score. Body mass index (BMI) and smoking are expected to be the only variables with missing data in the CPRD, though infrequently (less than 4% of subjects in our previous studies in COPD). These patients with missing data will be classified as a separate category.

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

The analysis of the risk of pneumonia will also use a time-dependent Cox proportional hazard regression model with an as-treated approach, similar to that of the primary analysis.

The analysis of the secondary objective related to the rate of exacerbation will be analyzed using the negative binomial regression model, with the same approach to covariate adjustment as the primary analysis.

In addition, we will repeat the primary effectiveness analysis on the time to exacerbation separately for moderate and severe exacerbations.

Finally, we will repeat the primary effectiveness analysis on the time to exacerbation on the subset of patients for whom blood eosinophil counts are available during the baseline period. This analysis will be stratified by blood eosinophil counts, measured as % of white blood cell counts, as < 2%, 2-4% and >4%, as well as in absolute counts, stratified as <150, 150-300 and >300 cells/ μ l.

7.9.2 FURTHER ANALYSIS

Several sensitivity analyses will be performed:

- a) First, to assess the presence of potential biases from the as-treated analysis, such as reverse causality, we will also use an "intention-to-treat" (ITT) approach which compares the initial combinations at cohort entry with respect to the outcome occurrence in the subsequent year, irrespective of the patterns of changes in treatment during the one-year follow-up, using a time-fixed Cox proportional hazard regression.
- b) Third, based on clinical experience, it is plausible that a very recent prescription for a bronchodilator may have occurred in relation to symptoms such as dyspnea signaling an impending exacerbation of COPD. To verify this potential for protopathic bias, we will perform an analysis eliminating exacerbations occurring in the first 30 days of follow-up.
- c) Fourth, to assess potential effect-modification by the presence of an acute COPD exacerbation prior to cohort entry, we will stratify the analysis by this matching factor.
- d) Fifth, we will verify the misclassification from the 60-day period used to define current exposure in the as-treated analyses, by repeating analyses with 30 and 90-day periods.
- e) Sixth, we will perform a stratified analysis between patients initiating LABA-LAMA and LABA-LAMA-ICS and those stepping up from prior treatment.

7.10 QUALITY CONTROL

All data will be stored in the servers of the McGill Pharmacoepidemiology Research Unit located within the Center for Clinical Epidemiology. In accordance with the CPRD regulations, these data are secure within the Center which is protected by an electronic keypad entry system. All data will be kept for a period of five years after publication of the

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

paper from this study. Documentation on the data selection, definitions and programs will be available in the Center for inspection.

Our McGill Pharmacoepidemiology Research Unit is quite familiar with the CPRD and has published over 120 scientific articles using this database since 2005.

7.11 LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH METHODS

Several potential biases inherent to any observational study need to be considered. Because this is primarily a study of effectiveness, confounding by indication is among the most important potential sources of bias in the absence of randomisation. However, matching the two groups on prior COPD exacerbations, prior treatments and on the propensity score should limit this potential bias. The possibility of information bias due to misclassification of the outcomes or exposure is present. Indeed, the CPRD includes prescriptions written by the GP rather than filled or taken by patients, which could be a source of misclassification of exposure and the outcome of a moderate exacerbation defined by prescriptions. With respect to the other outcome definitions, the linkage to HES data to identify hospitalization for COPD exacerbation and for community-acquired pneumonia should also reduce the potential for misclassification of outcomes.

7.12 OTHER ASPECTS

None

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

8. PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

This study is based on existing data collected in general practices and does not require patient informed consent. All data used for this study are anonymized.

Approval of the study protocol will be obtained from the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC) for the U.K. Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency before coding, extraction, and processing of CPRD data. Ethics approval for this study will be obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

9. MANAGEMENT AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE EVENTS/ADVERSE REACTIONS

Data is anonymized and extracted, analyzed, validated and reported in aggregate. There is no potential that any employee of BI or agent working on behalf of BI will access individual patient data in which the patient may be identified during data compilation, data reporting or data analysis.

Based on current guidelines from the International Society for Pharmacoepidemiology [R11 4318] and the EMA [R13-1970], non-interventional studies such as the one described in this protocol, conducted using health care records, do not require expedited reporting of suspected adverse events/reactions. Specifically, as stated in section VI.C.1.2.1 of Guideline on Good Pharmacovigilance Practices (GVP), Module VI – Management and Reporting of Adverse Reactions to Medicinal Products, for non-interventional study designs, which are based on use of secondary data, reporting of adverse reactions is not required.

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

10. PLANS FOR DISSEMINATION AND COMMUNICATION OF STUDY RESULTS

We plan to publish the study in a peer-reviewed medical journal. Authorship and publication will follow the corresponding BI SOP 001-MCS-00-002 and guidelines of good scientific practice.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

11. REFERENCES

11.1 PUBLISHED REFERENCES

P07-11503	Rabe KF, Hurd S, Anzueto A et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;176(6):532-555.
R13-1383	Lopez AD, Shibuya K, Rao C et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: current burden and future projections. Eur Respir J 2006;27(2):397-412.
R13-4036	Minino AM, Xu J, Kochanek KD. Deaths: Preliminary data for 2008. National Vital Statistics Reports NCHS 2010;59(2).
P15-12888	Suissa S, Rossi A. Weaning from inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the evidence. Eur Respir J 2015;46(5):1232-1235.
P16-12287	Yawn BP, Suissa S, Rossi A. Appropriate use of inhaled corticosteroids in COPD: the candidates for safe withdrawal. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med 2016;26:16068.
P14-13477	Magnussen H, Disse B, Rodriguez-Roisin R et al. Withdrawal of inhaled glucocorticoids and exacerbations of COPD. N Engl J Med 2014;371(14):1285-1294.
P14-13078	Rossi A, Guerriero M, Corrado A. Withdrawal of inhaled corticosteroids can be safe in COPD patients at low risk of exacerbation: a real-life study on the appropriateness of treatment in moderate COPD patients (OPTIMO). Respir Res 2014;15:77.
P15-13167	Suissa S, Coulombe J, Ernst P. Discontinuation of Inhaled Corticosteroids in COPD and the Risk Reduction of Pneumonia. Chest 2015;148(5):1177-1183.
P16-05628	Wedzicha JA, Banerji D, Chapman KR et al. Indacaterol-Glycopyrronium versus Salmeterol-Fluticasone for COPD. N Engl J Med 2016;374(23):2222-2234.
P16-01440	Beeh KM, Derom E, Echave-Sustaeta J et al. The lung function profile of once-daily tiotropium and olodaterol via Respimat((R)) is superior to that of twice-daily salmeterol and fluticasone propionate via Accuhaler((R)) (ENERGITO((R)) study). Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2016;11:193-205.
P17-04653	Suissa S, Moodie EE, Dell'Aniello S. Prevalent new-user cohort designs for comparative drug effect studies by time-conditional propensity scores. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2017;26(4):459-468.
P07-09514	Ernst P, Gonzalez AV, Brassard P, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroid use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and the risk of hospitalization for pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007;176(2):162-166.
R11-2162	Jick SS, Kaye JA, Vasilakis-Scaramozza C et al. Validity of the general practice research database. Pharmacotherapy 2003;23(5):686-689.
R16-2198	Lawrenson R, Williams T, Farmer R. Clinical information for research; the use of general practice databases. J Public Health Med 1999;21(3):299-304.
R16-2197	Quint JK, Mullerova H, DiSantostefano RL et al. Validation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease recording in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD-GOLD). BMJ Open 2014;4(7):e005540.
P16-10095	Suissa S, Dellaniello S, Ernst P. Long-acting bronchodilator initiation in COPD and the risk of adverse cardio-pulmonary events: A population-based comparative safety study. Chest 2017; 151(1):60-67

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

11.2 UNPUBLISHED REFERENCES

none

1237-0078

12. FUNDING

There are no additional sources of funding.

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

13. ANNEX

1237-0078

ANNEX 1: LIST OF STAND-ALONE DOCUMENTS

Documents listed in Annex 1 can be maintained separately from the study protocol. They should be clearly identifiable and provided on request. Write "None" if there is no document or list documents in a table as indicated below.

Number	Document reference number	Date	Title
<1>	Number	DD Month YYYY	<text></text>
<2>	Number	DD Month YYYY	<text></text>
< <i>n</i> >	Number	DD Month YYYY	<text></text>

Study title:

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

ANNEX 2: ENCEPP CHECKLIST FOR STUDY PROTOCOLS

Comparative effectiveness of triple therapy in COPD: A new-user cohort study

ENCePP Checklist for Study Protocols (Revision 2, amended)

Adopted by the ENCePP Steering Group on 14/01/2013

Section 1: Milestones	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
1.1 Does the protocol specify timelines for				
1.1.1 Start of data collection ¹	\boxtimes			16
1.1.2 End of data collection ²				16
1.1.3 Study progress report(s)				13
1.1.4 Interim progress report(s)				13
1.1.5 Registration in the EU PAS register				
1.1.6 Final report of study results.	\boxtimes			13
Comments:				
Cartian 2. Danasanh maradian	\ \\			
Section 2: Research question	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
	Yes	No	N/A	_
2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain:2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the	Yes	NO	N/A	_
2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain:2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address			N/A	Number(s
 2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain: 2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the risk management plan, an emerging safety issue) 2.1.2 The objective(s) of the study? 2.1.3 The target population? (i.e. population or subgroup to whom the study results are intended to be 			N/A	Number(s
 2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain: 2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the risk management plan, an emerging safety issue) 2.1.2 The objective(s) of the study? 2.1.3 The target population? (i.e. population or 			N/A	14 15

¹ Date from which information on the first study is first recorded in the study dataset or, in the case of secondary use of data, the date from which data extraction starts. ² Date from which the analytical dataset is completely available.

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

5.3 Is exposure classified according to time

5.4 Is exposure classified based on biological

windows? (e.g. current user, former user, non-use)

mechanism of action and taking into account the

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

	110prictary connectical information © 2016 Boeninger ingenieni international Ginori of one of note of its arinated companies						
Sec	tion 3: Study design	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)		
3.1	Is the study design described? (e.g. cohort, case-control, randomised controlled trial, new or alternative design)				16		
3.2	Does the protocol specify the primary and secondary (if applicable) endpoint(s) to be investigated?	\boxtimes			17-18		
3.3	Does the protocol describe the measure(s) of effect? (e.g. relative risk, odds ratio, deaths per 1000 person-years, absolute risk, excess risk, incidence rate ratio, hazard ratio, number needed to harm (NNH) per year)	\boxtimes			20		
Com	ments:						
Sec	tion 4: Source and study populations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)		
4.1	Is the source population described?	\boxtimes			16		
4.2	Is the planned study population defined in terms of: 4.2.1 Study time period? 4.2.2 Age and sex? 4.2.3 Country of origin? 4.2.4 Disease/indication? 4.2.5 Co-morbidity? 4.2.6 Seasonality?				16 16 16 16		
4.3	Does the protocol define how the study population will be sampled from the source population? (e.g. event or inclusion/exclusion criteria)	\boxtimes			16		
Com	ments:						
•		1					
	tion 5: Exposure definition and asurement	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)		
5.1	Does the protocol describe how exposure is defined and measured? (e.g. operational details for defining and categorising exposure)	\boxtimes			17		
5.2	Does the protocol discuss the validity of exposure measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, prospective ascertainment, exposure information recorded before the outcome occurred, use of validation sub-study)	\boxtimes			19		

 \boxtimes

 \boxtimes

17

Boehringer Ingelheim Protocol for observational studies based on existing data 1237-0078

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International	GmbH or o	one or mo	re of its aff	iliated companies
Section 5: Exposure definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the drug?				
5.5 Does the protocol specify whether a dose- dependent or duration-dependent response is measured?	\boxtimes			20
Comments:				
Section 6: Endpoint definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
6.1 Does the protocol describe how the endpoints are defined and measured?	\boxtimes			17-18
6.2 Does the protocol discuss the validity of endpoint measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, prospective or retrospective ascertainment, use of validation sub-study)				19
Comments:		l		
Section 7: Confounders and effect modifiers	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
7.1 Does the protocol address known confounders? (e.g. collection of data on known confounders, methods of controlling for known confounders)				18
7.2 Does the protocol address known effect modifiers? (e.g. collection of data on known effect modifiers, anticipated direction of effect)	\boxtimes			21
Comments:	•	•	•	
Section 8: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)

Section 8: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
8.1 Does the protocol describe the data source(s) used in the study for the ascertainment of:				
8.1.1 Exposure? (e.g. pharmacy dispensing, general practice prescribing, claims data, self-report, face-to-face interview, etc.)				19
8.1.2 Endpoints? (e.g. clinical records, laboratory markers or values, claims data, self-report, patient interview	\boxtimes			19
including scales and questionnaires, vital statistics, etc.) 8.1.3 Covariates?	\boxtimes			19
8.2 Does the protocol describe the information available from the data source(s) on:				
8.2.1 Exposure? (e.g. date of dispensing, drug quantity, dose, number of days of supply prescription, daily dosage, prescriber)	\boxtimes			19

Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

1237-0078 **Cocument Number>**

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

Section 8: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
8.2.2 Endpoints? (e.g. date of occurrence, multiple event, severity measures related to event)				19
8.2.3 Covariates? (e.g. age, sex, clinical and drug use history, co-morbidity, co-medications, life style, etc.)				19
8.3 Is a coding system described for:				
8.3.1 Diseases? (e.g. International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10)				19
8.3.2 Endpoints? (e.g. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) for adverse events)				19
8.3.3 Exposure? (e.g. WHO Drug Dictionary, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC)Classification System)				19
8.4 Is the linkage method between data sources described? (e.g. based on a unique identifier or other)	\boxtimes			19
omments:			•	
Section 9: Study size and power	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
9.1 Is sample size and/or statistical power calculated?				19-20
omments:			•	
Section 10: Analysis plan	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
10.1 Does the plan include measurement of excess risks?				20-21
10.2 Is the choice of statistical techniques described?				20-21
10.3 Are descriptive analyses included?	\boxtimes			20-21
		П		21
10.4 Are stratified analyses included?	\boxtimes			21
·				20-21
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for adjusting for confounding?				
10.6 Does the plan describe methods addressing				20-21
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for adjusting for confounding? 10.6 Does the plan describe methods addressing effect modification?				20-21
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for adjusting for confounding? 10.6 Does the plan describe methods addressing effect modification?			N/A	20-21

Boehringer Ingelheim Protocol for observational studies based on existing data 1237-0078

<Document Number>

					iliated companies
Sect cont	tion 11: Data management and quality	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
11.2	Does the protocol provide information on data storage? (e.g. software and IT environment, database maintenance and anti-fraud protection, archiving)				22
11.3	Are methods of quality assurance described?	\boxtimes			22
11.4	Does the protocol describe possible quality issues related to the data source(s)?	\boxtimes			22
11.5	Is there a system in place for independent review of study results?	\boxtimes			25
Comn	nents:				
Sect	ion 12: Limitations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
12.1	Does the protocol discuss:	_			
	12.1.1 Selection biases?				
	12.1.2 Information biases?(e.g. anticipated direction and magnitude of such biases, validation sub-study, use of validation and external data, analytical methods)				19-22
12.2	Does the protocol discuss study feasibility? (e.g. sample size, anticipated exposure, duration of follow-up in a cohort study, patient recruitment)				19
12.3	Does the protocol address other limitations?	\boxtimes			19-22
Comn	nents:	•	•	1	
Sect	ion 13: Ethical issues	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
13.1	Have requirements of Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board approval been described?				23
13.2	Has any outcome of an ethical review procedure been addressed?			\boxtimes	
13.3	Have data protection requirements been described?	\boxtimes			23
Comn	nents:	•	•	•	
Sect	tion 14: Amendments and deviations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
		1	I		
	Does the protocol include a section to document future amendments and deviations?				12

Boehringer Ingelheim Protocol for observational studies based on existing data

Page 33 of 34

1237-0078 **Cocument Number**>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

Section 15: Plans for communication of study results	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)		
15.1 Are plans described for communicating study results (e.g. to regulatory authorities)?			\boxtimes			
15.2 Are plans described for disseminating study results externally, including publication?				25		
Comments:						
Name of the main author of the protocol: Prof Samy Suissa						
Date: 23/02/2017						
Signature:						

<Document Number>

Proprietary confidential information © 2018 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

ANNEX 3: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional annexes may be included if necessary.

ANNEX 3.1: DEFINITION OF STUDY EXPOSURES

See section 7.4.1. Complete list of drug codes will be finalized and supplied to BI in the course of the study

ANNEX 3.2: DEFINITIONS OF STUDY OUTCOMES

See section 7.4.2. Complete list of READ and ICD-10 codes will be finalized and supplied to BI in the course of the study

ANNEX 3.3: DEFINITIONS OF STUDY COVARIATES

See section 7.4.3. Complete list of READ and drug codes will be finalized and supplied to BI in the course of the study

ANNEX 3.4: STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

See section 7.9.