



Doc.Ref. EMA/540136/2009

European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance

ENCePP Checklist for Study Protocols (Revision 2, amended)

Adopted by the ENCePP Steering Group on 14/01/2013

The <u>European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCePP)</u> welcomes innovative designs and new methods of research. This Checklist has been developed by ENCePP to stimulate consideration of important principles when designing and writing a pharmacoepidemiological or pharmacovigilance study protocol. The Checklist is intended to promote the quality of such studies, not their uniformity. The user is also referred to the <u>ENCePP Guide on Methodological Standards in Pharmacoepidemiology</u> which reviews and gives direct electronic access to guidance for research in pharmacoepidemiology and pharmacovigilance.

For each question of the Checklist, the investigator should indicate whether or not it has been addressed in the study protocol. If the answer is "Yes", the page number(s) of the protocol where this issue has been discussed should be specified. It is possible that some questions do not apply to a particular study (for example in the case of an innovative study design). In this case, the answer 'N/A' (Not Applicable) can be checked and the "Comments" field included for each section should be used to explain why. The "Comments" field can also be used to elaborate on a "No" answer.

This Checklist should be included as an Annex by marketing authorisation holders when submitting the protocol of a non-interventional post-authorisation safety study (PASS) to a regulatory authority (see the <u>Guidance on the format and content of the protocol of non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies</u>). Note, the Checklist is a supporting document and does not replace the format of the protocol for PASS as recommended in the Guidance and Module VIII of the Good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP).

Study reference number:	n kentleb deltalueur white bedacte edt al. D.A.
Study title: A Post authorization safety surveillance registry wit settings	h BeneFIX in hemophilia B patients in usual care

Section 1: Milestones	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
1.1 Does the protocol specify timelines for		C	dilaeca	4.2.6 Sea
1.1.1 Start of data collection ¹				12
1.1.2 End of data collection ²				13
1.1.3 Study progress report(s)	\boxtimes			13
1.1.4 Interim progress report(s)	\boxtimes			13
1.1.5 Registration in the EU PAS register	\boxtimes	E .		13
1.1.6 Final report of study results.				13

Comments:			op samuel	ica te ubitaba
			and the second second	

¹ Date from which information on the first study is first recorded in the study dataset or, in the case of secondary use of data, the date from which data extraction starts.

² Date from which the analytical dataset is completely available.

Section 2: Research question	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)	
2.1 Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain:	Jacobs				
2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the risk management plan, an emerging safety issue)				17	
2.1.2 The objective(s) of the study?	\boxtimes			18	
2.1.3 The target population? (i.e. population or subgroup to whom the study results are intended to be generalised)	\boxtimes			18	
2.1.4 Which formal hypothesis(-es) is (are) to be tested?				DM3	
2.1.5 If applicable, that there is no a priori hypothesis?		d 🖂		18	
Comments:	Lauthe		aumitaji.	naperoust an	
This PASS is not designed based on hypothesis, but requi	rments f	rom C	FDA.		
Section 3: Study design	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)	
3.1 Is the study design described? (e.g. cohort, case-control, randomised controlled trial, new or alternative design)				18	
3.2 Does the protocol specify the primary and secondary (if applicable) endpoint(s) to be investigated?				23-24	
3.3 Does the protocol describe the measure(s) of effect? (e.g. relative risk, odds ratio, deaths per 1000 person-years, absolute risk, excess risk, incidence rate ratio, hazard ratio, number needed to harm (NNH) per year)		nents"		23-24	
Comments:	oggue s blue) sil:	at faible ded in	the Che	MUNICE). NOTO, SI PAES SE PE	
Section 4: Source and study populations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)	
4.1 Is the source population described?	\boxtimes			18	
4.2 Is the planned study population defined in terms of:					
4.2.1 Study time period?	\boxtimes			18	
4.2.2 Age and sex?				19	
4.2.3 Country of origin?	\boxtimes			18	
4.2.4 Disease/indication?			ge [4] sli	18	
4.2.5 Co-morbidity?				19	
4.2.6 Seasonality?				sill aroll h	
4.3 Does the protocol define how the study population will be sampled from the source population? (e.g. event or inclusion/exclusion criteria)			Tau IO	19	
Comments:	(±)/:oxps	1 8891	org mi	201 N.L.1	
Hemophilia has no seasonality.	EW UE	orth dire	noiseile	agest E. L.L	
Section 5: Exposure definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)	
5.1 Does the protocol describe how exposure is defined and measured? (e.g. operational details for defining and categorising exposure)				18	
5.2 Does the protocol discuss the validity of exposure measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, prospective	e se ola	o attirity			

ascertainment, exposure information recorded before the outcome occurred, use of validation sub-study) 5.3 Is exposure classified according to time windows? (e.g. current user, former user, non-use) 5.4 Is exposure classified based on biological mechanism				Number(s) 21
(e.g. current user, former user, non-use)				100 C C C
5.4. Is exposure classified based on biological mechanism		W .p.s		19
of action and taking into account the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the drug?		t bods no bess		21
5.5 Does the protocol specify whether a dose-dependent or duration-dependent response is measured?	\boxtimes	DIIOOS		21
Comments:		do-to-		
COST A/R ON COT 18	aryog I	0 NE 52	IS VEN	R. IC nothing
Section 6: Endpoint definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
6.1 Does the protocol describe how the endpoints are defined and measured?		ls s	i a a ne	23-24
6.2 Does the protocol discuss the validity of endpoint measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, prospective or retrospective ascertainment, use of validation sub-study)		oslo a		21
Comments:		retude	- Holius	ntresor 1.01
				Carlols
Section 7: Confounders and effect modifiers	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
7.1 Does the protocol address known confounders? (e.g. collection of data on known confounders, methods of controlling for known confounders)	as includ		S DEFIELD	10.3 Are des 10.4 Are stra
7.2 Does the protocol address known effect modifiers? (e.g. collection of data on known effect modifiers, anticipated direction of effect)			760	10.5 Does th confoun
Comments:	djem p	dimag	h nich e	10.6. Dogg th
NI study			Sobu	medifica
Section 8: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
8.1 Does the protocol describe the data source(s) used in the study for the ascertainment of:	saem s seems	d lilw a	Mali pa	10.1: No exce
8.1.1 Exposure? (e.g. pharmacy dispensing, general practice prescribing, claims data, self-report, face-to-face interview, etc.)				19-20
8.1.2 Endpoints? (e.g. clinical records, laboratory markers or values, claims data, self-report, patient interview including scales and questionnaires, vital statistics, etc.)				21-23
8.1.3 Covariates?	\boxtimes			19
8.2 Does the protocol describe the information available from the data source(s) on:			Sistab	galezim
8.2.1 Exposure? (e.g. date of dispensing, drug quantity, dose, number of days of supply prescription, daily dosage, prescriber)				21-22
8.2.2 Endpoints? (e.g. date of occurrence, multiple event, severity measures related to event) 8.2.3 Covariates? (e.g. age, sex, clinical and drug use			o pod	20
history, co-morbidity, co-medications, life style, etc.) 8.3 Is a coding system described for:				19

Section 8: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
8.3.1 Diseases? (e.g. International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10)				to supplied
8.3.2 Endpoints? (e.g. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) for adverse events)				24
8.3.3 Exposure? (e.g. WHO Drug Dictionary, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC)Classification System)				24
8.4 Is the linkage method between data sources described? (e.g. based on a unique identifier or other)				22
Comments:	y wheth	page l	mantene	5.5 Doos the
8.3.1 (ICD)-10 is not applicable in Chinese standard of ca	re.	Inspir	erjeb-in	oranus vo
Section 9: Study size and power	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
9.1 Is sample size and/or statistical power calculated?				Section & C
A statistical sample size calculation will not be performed and willing-to-participate subjects will be enrolled in the sare tested.	tudy and	d no st	atistica	I hypotheses
Section 10: Analysis plan	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
10.1 Does the plan include measurement of excess risks?				(commo)
10.2 Is the choice of statistical techniques described?				23-24
10.3 Are descriptive analyses included?		пΩ		23-24
10.4 Are stratified analyses included?		\boxtimes		mwon# tol
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for adjusting for confounding?				7,2 Does the (e.g. colec decreased
10.6 Does the plan describe methods addressing effect modification?		\boxtimes		Comments:
Comments: This study is a post approval commitment study with only analyses were planned according to CFDA's requirements. 10.1: No excess risks will be measured. 10.4 to 10.6: Subgroup analyses will be done by presenting subpopulation, and the subpopulations were selected by Codone, and there will not be any adjusting for confounding	Thereforms decrip	ore, otive si o strati	tatistics fied ana	for each
Section 11: Data management and quality control	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s)
11.1 Is information provided on the management of missing data?				23
11.2 Does the protocol provide information on data storage? (e.g. software and IT environment, database maintenance and anti-fraud protection, archiving)			1 3 11 20 1 3 11 20 1 12 11 15	22
11.3 Are methods of quality assurance described?				22,24
11.4 Does the protocol describe possible quality issues related to the data source(s)?				m , malalit

Section 11: Data management and quality control	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
11.5 Is there a system in place for independent review of study results?				!shufung
Comments:				
11.4 and 11.5 NI study				
Section 12: Limitations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
12.1 Does the protocol discuss:				
12.1.1 Selection biases?				25
12.1.2 Information biases?		10=55X		
(e.g. anticipated direction and magnitude of such biases, validation sub-study, use of validation and external data, analytical methods)				25
12.2 Does the protocol discuss study feasibility? (e.g. sample size, anticipated exposure, duration of follow-up in a cohort study, patient recruitment)				25
12.3 Does the protocol address other limitations?	\boxtimes			25
Comments:				
Section 13: Ethical issues	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
13.1 Have requirements of Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board approval been described?				25-26
13.2 Has any outcome of an ethical review procedure been addressed?				25-26
13.3 Have data protection requirements been described?	· 🗵			25
Comments:				
Section 14: Amendments and deviations	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
14.1 Does the protocol include a section to document future amendments and deviations?				14-15
Comments:				
Section 15: Plans for communication of study results	Yes	No	N/A	Page Number(s
				33
15.1 Are plans described for communicating study results (e.g. to regulatory authorities)?		To the same		
15.1 Are plans described for communicating study				33
15.1 Are plans described for communicating study results (e.g. to regulatory authorities)?15.2 Are plans described for disseminating study results				33

Date: 9/1/20:	14	No	ROY	Section 11: Date manuscraft and ounilly copied
Signature:	-6	it	we	LLS is there a system in place for independent colors of study results?