

Post Authorization Study Information

Acronym/Title	REFINE: Regorafenib observational study in hepatocellular carcinoma	
Protocol version identifier	v 2.1	
Date of last version of protocol	27 Nov 2018	
IMPACT study number	19244	
Study type/Study phase	☐ non-PASS ☐ PASS Joint PASS: ☐ YES ☐ NO Phase IV	
EU PAS register number	EUPAS20981	
Active substance	ATC L01XE21, Protein kinase inhibitors, Regorafenib	
Medicinal product	STIVARGA®, regorafenib	
Product reference	EU marketing authorization number: EU/1/13/858/001 (28 tablets) EU/1/13/858//002 (3x28 tablets)	
Procedure number	EU procedure number EMEA/H/C/002573/II/0020	
Study Initiator and Funder	Bayer AG, 51368 Leverkusen, Germany	
Reference therapy	N/A	
Research question and objectives		
	The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the safety of regorafenib in patients with uHCC, including incidence	



	of all treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and dose modifications due to TEAEs in real-world practice conditions.
	Secondary objectives are:
	To describe the effectiveness of regorafenib, including overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), time to progression (TTP), and overall tumor response (ORR)
	To describe the patterns of regorafenib treatment, including actual doses, duration of treatment (DOT), and other dosing parameters
	To describe patient characteristics, comorbidities, and their influence on treatment and outcomes under real-world conditions
	To describe physicians' practice patterns, including regional difference in management of and treatments for uHCC in real-world conditions
	Tertiary objectives are:
	To describe sorafenib treatment prior to regorafenib treatment including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation (in relevant patients)
	To describe other therapies for HCC prior to regorafenib, including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation (in relevant patients)
Country(-ies) of study	Approx. 30 countries in Europe (e.g. Austria, Benelux, France, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Portugal, Russia, Scandinavia, Spain), North America (e.g. USA, Canada), Asia (e.g. Japan, Korea, Thailand), Latin America (e.g. Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Mexico), Levant (e.g. Turkey, Lebanon), Middle East (e.g. UAE, Oman, Saudi Arabia) and North Africa (e.g. Egypt, Algeria). An updated list is available as stand-alone document (listed in Table 4, Annex 1).
Author	, 100 Bayer Boulevard, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., Whippany, NJ, USA



Marketing authorization holder

Marketing authorization holder(s)	Bayer AG, 51368 Leverkusen, Germany
MAH contact person	, Bayer AG, 13353 Berlin, Germany

The study will be conducted in compliance with the protocol and any applicable regulatory requirements.

Throughout this document, symbols indicating proprietary names (®, TM) may not be displayed. Hence, the appearance of product names without these symbols does not imply that these names are not protected.



1. Table of contents

1.	Table of contents	4
2.	List of abbreviations	6
3.	Responsible parties	9
3.1	Study initiator and funder	
3.2	Collaborators/Committees	
4.	Abstract	11
5.	Amendments	15
6.	Milestones	15
7.	Rationale and background	16
8.	Research questions and objectives	
8.1	Primary objective	
8.2	Secondary objective(s)	
8.3	Tertiary objective(s)	
9.	Research methods	18
9.1	Study design	
9.1.	1 Primary endpoint(s)	18
9.1.	2 Secondary endpoint(s)	19
9.1.	3 Tertiary endpoint(s)	19
9.1.	\mathcal{E}	
9.2	Setting	
9.2.	5	
9.2.		
9.2.		
9.2.		
9.2.	1	
9.2.	1	
9.2.		
9.3	Variables	
9.3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
9.3.		
9.3.	1	
9.3.		
9.3.	\mathcal{O} 1 \mathcal{I}	
9.3.	ϵ	
9.3.	J	
9.3. 0.2	3 7	
9.3. 9.3.		
9.3. 9.3.	J 1	
9.3. 9.3.	•	



9.3.3.	10 End of observation	27
9.3.3.	.11 Adverse events/Adverse events of special interest	27
9.4	Data sources	27
9.5	Study size	27
9.6	Data management	28
9.7	Data analysis	28
9.7.1	Statistical considerations	
9.7.2	Analysis of demography, disease details, prior and concomitant medication and	
	baseline data	
9.7.3	Analysis of primary outcome(s)	
9.7.4	Analysis of secondary outcome(s)	
9.7.5	Analysis of tertiary outcome(s)	
9.7.6	Analysis of safety data	
9.8	Quality control	
9.8.1	Data quality	
9.8.2	Quality review	
9.8.3	Storage of records and archiving	
9.8.4	Certification/qualification of external parties	
9.9 9.10	Limitations of the research methods Other aspects	
	•	
	Protection of human subjects	
10.1	Ethical conduct of the study	
10.2	Regulatory authority approvals/authorizations	
10.3	Independent ethics committee (IEC) or institutional review board (IRB)	
10.4	Patient information and consent	
10.5	Patient insurance	
10.6	Confidentiality	
	Management and reporting of adverse events/adverse reactions	
	Definitions	
	Collection	
11.3	Management and reporting	
11.4	Evaluation	38
12. P	Plans for disseminating and communicating study results	38
13. F	References	40
Anne	ex 1: List of stand-alone documents	43
	ex 2: ENCePP checklist for post-authorization safety study (PASS) protocols	
	ex 3: Additional information	
Anne	ex 4: Description of amendments	51
	ex 5: Signature pages	



2. List of abbreviations

AE Adverse event
AR Adverse reaction
AFP Alpha-fetoprotein
ALP Alkaline phosphatase
ALT Alanine transaminase
AST Aspartate transaminase

ATC Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (Classification System)

BCLC Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer

ChE Cholinesterase

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CrCl Creatinine clearance
CRF Case Report Form

CRO Contract Research Organization

CRP C-reactive protein

CTCAE Common Terminology Criteria Adverse Event

DCP Des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin

DMP Data Management Plan
DOT Duration of treatment
EC European Commission

ECOG Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group

eCRF electronic Case Report Form EDC Electronic Data Capture

EFPIA European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations

EMA European Medicine Agency

ENCePP European Network of Centers in Pharmacoepidemiology and

Pharmacovigilance

EU European Union FAS Full analysis set

FDA Food and Drug Administration FGFR Fibroblast growth factor receptor

GCP Good Clinical Practice

GGT Gamma-glutamyl-transferase
GIST Gastrointestinal stromal tumors

GPP Good Publication Practice

GVP Good Pharmacovigilance Practice

HBV Hepatitis B virus

HCC Hepatocellular carcinoma



HCV Hepatitis C virus

HEOR Health Economics and Outcomes Research
ICD International Classification of Diseases
ICH International Conference of Harmonization

IEC Independent Ethics Committee
INN International Nonproprietary Name
INP International normalized ratio

INR International normalized ratio
IRB Institutional Review Board
IT Information Technology

KM Kaplan-Meier

LDH Lactate dehydrogenase LPLV Last patient last visit

MAH Marketing Authorization Holder mCRC Metastatic colorectal cancer

MedDRA Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities

MRP Medical Review Plan

N/A Not applicable

NASH Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis
NCI National Cancer Institute
NNH Number Needed to Harm
ORR Overall tumor response

OS Overall survival

PAS Post-authorization study

PASS Post-authorization safety study

PDGFR Platelet-derived growth factor receptor

PFS Progression-free survival

PIVKA-II Protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist-II

PMDA Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices

PSUR Periodic Safety Update Report

PV Pharmacovigilance

QPPV Qualified person responsible for pharmacovigilance

QRP Quality review plan

RESORCE REgorafenib after SORafenib in patients with hepatoCEllular carcinoma

SAE Serious adverse event
SAF Safety analysis set
SAP Statistical analysis plan

SHARP Sorafenib Hepatocellular carcinoma Assessment Randomized Protocol

SmPC Summary of product characteristics



STROBE Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology

TEAE Treatment-emergent adverse event

TNM Tumor, node, metastasis
TTP Time to progression

uHCC Unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma
VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor

VEGFR Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor WHO DD World Health Organization Drug Dictionary



3. Responsible parties

3.1 Study initiator and funder

Role:	OS Conduct Responsible
Name:	

E-mail:

Role: Qualified Person responsible for Pharmacovigilance (QPPV)

Name:

Role: OS Safety Lead Name:

Role: OS Medical Expert

Name:

Role: OS Statistician

Name:

Role: OS Data Manager

Name:

Role: OS Epidemiologist

Names:

Role: OS Health Economics and Outcomes Research (HEOR) responsible

Name:

Role: Real World Evidence (RWE) responsible

Name:

Role: Regulatory Affairs responsible

Name:

Role: Ops Ex & PM

Name:

Role: MA Oncology Representative (J-PMS)

Name:

Role: Conduct Responsible (J-PMS)

Name:



Contact details of the responsible parties at Bayer AG are available upon request.

3.2 Collaborators/Committees

Contact details on the coordinating and/or principal investigators, co-investigators and other site personnel for each country, and sites participating in the study are listed in a standalone document (see Table 4, Annex 1), which is available upon request.

Information on the Steering/Adjudication/Publication Committee Members is kept as a standalone document (see Table 4, Annex 1, and the respective Charters) and is available upon request.

Administrative changes of responsible persons and/or the composition of the committees will be documented by updating the respective lists, but do not require formal protocol amendments.



4. Abstract

Acronym/Title	REFINE: Regorafenib observational study in hepatocellular carcinoma	
Protocol version identifier	v 2.1	
Date of last version of protocol	27 Nov 2018	
IMPACT study number	19244	
Study type/Study phase	☐ non-PASS ☐ PASS Joint PASS: ☐ YES ☐ NO Phase IV	
Author	, 100 Bayer Boulevard , Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Inc., Whippany, NJ, USA	
Rationale and background	Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and the second most common cause of cancer-related death. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common subtype of primary liver cancer, accounting for 70–85% of the total liver cancer burden worldwide.	
	Regorafenib has been shown to prolong survival in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC) who have progressed on the first-line therapy sorafenib. However, there are no real-world data available on the use of regorafenib in second line after first-line treatment with sorafenib.	
	Therefore, this study will describe the safety and effectiveness of regorafenib under real-world treatment conditions, as well as provide information about management of uHCC in standard clinical practice.	
Research question and objectives	The purpose of this observational study is to evaluate, under real-world practice conditions, the safety and effectiveness of regorafenib in patients with uHCC for whom a decision to treat with regorafenib has been made before study enrollment. The study will also evaluate regorafenib treatment in a variety of HCC patient subsets, such as in patients grouped by Child—Pugh score regionally and globally, that were not addressed in the RESORCE study, as well as provide information on treatment patterns and outcomes for patients with uHCC in the real-world setting.	



	The primary objective of this study is:	
	To evaluate the safety of regorafenib in patients with uHCC, including incidences of all treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and dose modifications due to TEAEs under real-world practice conditions.	
	Secondary objectives are:	
	 To describe the effectiveness of regorafenib, including overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), time to progression (TTP), and overall tumor response (ORR) 	
	To describe the patterns of regorafenib treatment, including actual doses, duration of treatment (DOT), and other dosing parameters	
	 To describe patient characteristics, comorbidities, and their influence on treatment and outcomes under real- world conditions 	
	To describe physicians' practice patterns, including regional difference in the management and treatment of uHCC under real-world conditions	
	Tertiary objectives are:	
	To describe sorafenib treatment prior to regorafenib treatment, including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation	
	To describe other therapies for HCC prior to regorafenib, including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation (in relevant patients)	
Study design	International, prospective, open-label, multicenter, observational study.	
Population	Female and male patients with a diagnosis of uHCC in whom a decision to treat with regorafenib has been made by the treating physician at the time of study enrollment.	
Variables	Variables for the primary objective are adverse events (Aes). Variables for secondary and tertiary objectives are demography, HCC classification, medical history/ comorbidities, prior systemic anti-cancer therapy and tolerability (including sorafenib), prior local anti-cancer therapy, prior medication, lifestyle records, vital signs, tumor status and metastases, Child–Pugh classification, BCLC staging, ECOG performance status, laboratory parameters,	



	treatment with regorafenib (including Aes), and reason for ending the treatment and/or observation (including death). Other variables include eligibility for the study, informed consent and visit dates.	
Data sources	Treatment-related data are documented during visits that take place in routine practice. Historic data are based on medical records or on interviewing the patient.	
Study size	The study aims to enroll and collect data from 1000 patients, to enable observation of at least one patient with a particular AE, if the true incidence proportion of the AE is 0.2%, with approximately 86% probability.	
Data analysis	STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	
	Statistical analyses will be of explorative and descriptive nature. The study is not aimed to confirm or reject pre-defined hypotheses.	
	All variables will be analyzed descriptively with appropriate statistical methods: categorical variables by frequency tables (absolute and relative frequencies) and continuous variables by sample statistics (i.e. mean, standard deviation, minimum, median, quartiles, 5 th and 95 th percentiles, and maximum). Continuous variables will be described by absolute value and as change from baseline per analysis time point, if applicable.	
	Patients who took at least one dose of regorafenib will be included in the safety analysis set (SAF).	
	Patients who took at least one dose of regorafenib, did not violate a major inclusion/exclusion criterion, and had at least one follow-up assessment after receiving regorafenib will be included in the full analysis set (FAS).	
	Safety data will be analyzed on the SAF and effectiveness data on the FAS. Demographic and baseline data will be described for the SAF and the FAS.	
	All analyses will be performed for the total study population (overall analysis) and separately for each participating country if patient numbers are sufficient and if required for local reasons. Whenever reasonable, data will be stratified by subgroups (e.g. age, gender, baseline characteristics).	
	Retrospective sorafenib data prior to treatment with regorafenib will be described in patients who have received sorafenib prior to the study entry. Retrospective data relating to other therapies for HCC prior to treatment with regorafenib will be described	



	in patients who have received such therapy prior to study entry. All statistical details including violation of inclusion/exclusion criteria and patient validity, derived variables for analysis, handling of missing data, and format and content of tables will be detailed in the Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP). The SAP will be finalized before study database lock.	
Milestones	First patient first visit:	Q3 2017
	Last patient first visit:	Q3 2020
	Last patient last visit:	Q3 2022
	Final report:	Q1 2023



5. Amendments

Table 1: Amendments

Amendment Number	Reason for Amendment	New version number	Effective Date
AM01	The global REFINE protocol has been revised to comply with Japanese regulations. The amendment was generated as proof of written-based study design specific in Japan and	v 1.0_JP	07 MAR 2017
	will be reviewed by Japanese regulatory authority (PMDA).		
	To avoid redundant description or any conflict, the local Japanese amendment only focuses on critical information for PMDA communication (e.g. # patients, observation period, timing of interim/final analysis, etc.)		
AM02	The global REFINE protocol was revised to reflect changes to the collected variables (e.g. addition of survival assessment) and to remove inconsistencies and include administrative and timeline updates.	V 2.0 v 2.0_JP	03 MAY 2018
	The local amendment for Japan has been updated accordingly. No other, Japan-specific changes were made.		
Update 01	Brand name had to be removed from first and signature page due to regulatory reasons	V 2.1_TR	20 JUN 2018
Update 02	Responsible GSL, Epidemiologist, and MA Oncology Representative (J-PMS) were changed as well as deletion of five lab parameters. The local amendment for Japan has been updated accordingly. The statement of enrollment period in Japanese population was updated.	v 2.1 v 2.1_JP v 2TR	27 Nov 2018

6. Milestones

Table 2 presents planned milestones for the project. These milestones are based on a timely review and approval of the project. Administrative changes to milestones due to delays in study preparation and enrolment do not require amendments to the protocol. Revised study timelines and milestones which do not constitute a need for a formal protocol amendment are kept as stand-alone document (Table 4, Annex 1) that is available upon request.



Table 2: Milestones

Milestone	Planned date
Start of data collection	Q3 2017
End of data collection	Q3 2022
Registration in the EU PAS register	23 OCT 2017
Interim Analysis	When a minimum of 500 patients have been on study for at least 4 months
Final report of study results	Q1 2023

7. Rationale and background

The phase III RESORCE (REgorafenib after SORafenib in patients with hepatoCEllular carcinoma) study demonstrated that regorafenib prolongs survival in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC) who have progressed on the first-line therapy of sorafenib. However, there are no real-world data available on the use of regorafenib in the second line after first-line treatment with sorafenib. This study will describe the safety and effectiveness of treatment with regorafenib in real-world settings. In addition, this study will provide information about baseline characteristics, treatments, and management of uHCC in real-world practice that will enable greater understanding of the disease and practice patterns.

Liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer worldwide, and the second most common cause of cancer-related death. [1] Globally, in 2012, there were an estimated 782,000 new cases of liver cancer. [1] Among primary liver cancers, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common subtype, accounting for 70–85% of the total liver cancer burden worldwide. [2] Liver cancer was estimated to be responsible for nearly 745,000 deaths in 2012. [1]

Regorafenib is an oral multikinase inhibitor that blocks various kinases within the mechanisms involved in tumor growth and progression (angiogenesis, oncogenesis, and the tumor microenvironment). In preclinical studies, regorafenib has been shown to inhibit several angiogenic vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor (VEGFR) tyrosine kinases that play a role in tumor neoangiogenesis (the growth of new blood vessels). In addition to VEGFR 1–3, regorafenib also inhibits numerous oncogenic and tumor microenvironment kinases, including TIE-2, RAF-1, BRAF, BRAFV600, KIT, RET, platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), which individually and collectively control tumor growth, formation of a stromal microenvironment, and disease progression.

The efficacy and safety of regorafenib were evaluated in two different international, multicenter, randomized, double-blinded placebo controlled phase III trials. One study in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) demonstrated significant improvement in survival with regorafenib compared with placebo [median overall survival (OS): 6.4 vs 5.0 months, P=0.0052]. Another study in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) demonstrated a significant improvement in progression free



survival (PFS) for regorafenib compared with placebo (median PFS: 4.8 vs 0.9 months, respectively, P<0.0001). These studies resulted in regorafenib approvals for both mCRC and GIST.

For uHCC, sorafenib has been the only available systemic treatment. It demonstrated a statistically significant OS benefit, shown in two phase III randomized, placebo-controlled trials (SHARP and Asia Pacific study), [3] [4] and has been standard of care as the first-line option in patients with uHCC who are not suitable for curative treatments. [5] [6] [7] However, there has been no systemic therapy available after progression on sorafenib. A number of agents have been evaluated for uHCC, none has shown a survival benefit, although recent reports from a phase III trial indicate that lenvatinib might be non-inferior to sorafenib as first-line treatment. [8] Recent data from the phase III RESORCE study showed clinically and statistically significant OS prolongation with regorafenib in patients with uHCC who have progressed on the first-line therapy of sorafenib. The median OS was 10.6 months for patients receiving regorafenib versus 7.8 months for those receiving placebo [HR 0.63 (95% CI: 0.50, 0.79); P<0.001], which translates to a 37% reduction in the risk of death over the study period. [9]

The phase III RESORCE data indicated that the safety profile of regorafenib in HCC was consistent with that reported in the phase III studies in mCRC and GIST. In all three indications, the most common grade ≥ 3 adverse events (AEs) included hand–foot skin reaction, hypertension, fatigue, and diarrhea, and AEs leading to discontinuation were relatively low (6–18% among all three studies). [9] [10] [11] [12]

The purpose of this observational study is to describe the safety and effectiveness of regorafenib in patients with uHCC under real-world practice conditions. Patients enrolled will be those for whom a decision to treat with regorafenib has already been made, and will likely include a variety of HCC patient subsets, such as patients grouped by Child–Pugh score regionally and globally, that were not addressed in the RESORCE study. The study will also provide information on treatment patterns and outcomes for patients with uHCC in the real-world setting, and will collect baseline information, including retrospective data on sorafenib treatment.

8. Research questions and objectives

8.1 Primary objective

The primary objective of this international observational study is to evaluate the safety of regorafenib in patients with uHCC, including incidences of all treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and dose modifications due to TEAEs in real-world practice conditions.

8.2 Secondary objective(s)

Secondary objectives are:

- To describe the effectiveness of regorafenib, including overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), time to progression (TTP), and overall tumor response (ORR)
- To describe the patterns of regorafenib treatment, including actual doses, duration of treatment (DOT), and other dosing parameters
- To describe patient characteristics, comorbidities, prior therapies, and assess their potential influence on treatment and outcomes under real-life conditions



• To describe the physicians' practice patterns, including regional differences in the management and treatment of uHCC under real-life conditions

8.3 Tertiary objective(s)

Additional objectives, based on patients' prior treatments, will be evaluated. Tertiary objectives include:

- To describe sorafenib treatment prior to regorafenib treatment, including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation (in relevant patients)
- To describe other therapies for HCC prior to regorafenib, including doses, duration of treatment, best response, and reasons for discontinuation (in relevant patients)

9. Research methods

9.1 Study design

This is an international, prospective, open-label, multi-center, observational study. Patients with uHCC for whom a decision to treat with regorafenib has been made before enrollment will be eligible for the study. The decision on the dose and duration of treatment is solely at the discretion of the treating physician, based on the recommendations written in the local product information. Examinations and the laboratory monitoring schedule will follow local label recommendations in line with local standard of care. No control arm is planned in this study because regorafenib is the only agent with proven survival benefit in patients with uHCC after prior sorafenib treatment; there is currently no alternative treatment available.

This study is designed to evaluate AEs in patients treated with regorafenib. It will also collect data to describe the effectiveness of regorafenib in uHCC, patterns of treatment, and the influence of baseline characteristics and treatment history.

Data will be collected from approximately 1000 patients globally over a total study period of 5 years, including 36 months' enrollment and 24 months' observation time from study entry. Interim data analyses for safety monitoring will be conducted with a minimum of 500 patients who have been observed for at least 4 months. The final analysis will be conducted after the final patient remaining in the study has been observed for 24 months or is no longer under observation due to withdrawal or death.

Patients will be observed from the time from the start of therapy with regorafenib until the end of observation owing to premature discontinuation, withdrawal of consent, or death, or until the end of the study.

Physicians participating in this study are recommended to include consecutive patients. The data for this study will be collected using an electronic case report form (CRF).

9.1.1 Primary endpoint(s)

The primary endpoint is the safety of regorafenib in patients with uHCC, defined as the frequency of documented TEAEs, including serious adverse events (SAEs).

Safety will be assessed in all patients who receive at least one dose of regorafenib regardless of prior treatment.



9.1.2 Secondary endpoint(s)

The secondary endpoints for all patients are:

- Overall survival (OS) is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the date of death, due to any reason. Patients alive or lost to follow-up at the time of analysis will be censored at their last date of follow-up.
- Progression-free survival (PFS) is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the date of first observed disease progression (radiological or clinical, whichever is earlier) or death due to any cause, if death occurs before progression is documented.
- Time to progression (TTP) is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the first documented disease progression.
- Best overall tumor response (ORR) will be defined according to investigator-assessed data according to local standard.
- Duration of regorafenib treatment, defined by the time interval from the start of regorafenib treatment to the day of permanent discontinuation of regorafenib (including death); mean dose and reasons for regorafenib discontinuation or dose modification will be recorded

9.1.3 Tertiary endpoint(s)

Tertiary endpoints, related to patient treatment history, will be evaluated. The tertiary endpoints for patients who received sorafenib prior to regorafenib are:

- Duration of sorafenib treatment, defined by the time interval from the start of sorafenib treatment to the day of permanent discontinuation of sorafenib
 - Reasons for sorafenib discontinuation will be recorded, as will doses of sorafenib given and time from sorafenib initiation to death, including time on regorafenib
- Response to sorafenib treatment, as assessed by investigator according to local standard
- Overall survival, defined as the time interval from the start of sorafenib treatment to death due to any cause
- Frequency of adverse reactions experienced during treatment with sorafenib
- Time from discontinuation of sorafenib to start of regorafenib

Tertiary endpoints for prior treatments other than sorafenib are defined analogously.

9.1.4 Strengths of study design

The international, observational study design enables data to be collected from patients treated under local standard-of-care clinical practice; all decisions in terms of diagnostic procedures, treatments, management of the disease, and resource utilization are fully dependent on mutual agreement between the patient and the attending physician, without interference by the study protocol. This will enable assessment of treatment and subsequent outcomes based on local standards, and is likely to encompass a wider range of therapeutic decisions compared with the stricter, defined limits on therapy required by investigational study protocols. Decisions and outcomes made in real-world conditions are likely to be more widely applicable to clinical practice than those from interventional studies.



This study population is larger and likely to be more diverse than that observed in prior interventional trials, with a broader range of disease severity, prior treatments, comorbidities and concomitant medications. The sample size will enable comprehensive characterization of the regorafenib safety profile of all treated patients, as well as analysis of safety and effectiveness among different patient subsets (for example, by Child–Pugh status, prior treatment history).

9.2 Setting

9.2.1 Eligibility

Patients with uHCC and for whom a decision to treat with regorafenib has been made (by the treating physician) will be eligible for enrollment into the study. Patients considered for study enrollment and treatment with regorafenib should meet the criteria for regorafenib use according to the local health authority-approved product information, including indications and contraindications with respect to the local market authorization / summary of product characteristics (SmPC).

9.2.2 Inclusion criteria

- Patients with confirmed diagnosis of unresectable HCC
- Physician-initiated decision to treat with regorafenib (prior to study enrollment)
- Signed informed consent form

9.2.3 Exclusion criteria

- Participation in an investigational program with interventions outside of routine clinical practice
- Past treatment with regorafenib

9.2.4 Withdrawal

In this observational study, withdrawal from the study is independent of the underlying therapy and will not affect the patient's medical care. Each patient may withdraw from the study at any time and without giving a reason. If a patient wants to terminate the study participation, no further data will be collected. However, the patient will be asked whether he/she agrees that the data collected so far can be used. In case the patient does not agree, his/her data will be deleted from the study database and will not be used for any study-related analysis. In case a patient would like to withdraw the consent given earlier, he/she should inform his/her doctor and the site should document the withdrawal and the extent of withdrawal in the eCRF as well as in the patient's medical records.

9.2.5 Replacement

Patients will not be replaced.

9.2.6 Representativeness

The patients documented in the study should be selected based only on eligibility according to inclusion and exclusion criteria (sections 9.2.1 - 9.2.3). No further selection should be applied.



Physicians will be asked to sample consecutive patients whenever possible to avoid selection bias and thus increase the likelihood of representativeness. At each site, all screened patients will be documented consecutively in an anonymous screening log with reasons for non-participation (without recording patient-specific data).

9.2.7 Visits

The start of the study is the date from which information on the first patient can be first recorded in the study dataset (i.e. first informed consent obtained). The end of the study is the date after which the final patient remaining in the study has been in the study for 24 months, or is no longer under observation owing to being lost to follow-up, withdrawal, or death.

A visit is defined as any status assessment or new treatment decision the treating physician takes in the presence of the patient. The time interval between two documented status assessments is assumed to be 6–12 weeks, although this will be at the discretion of the treating physician.

The investigator will document the baseline / initial visit, follow-up visits and the end of observation / final visit for each patient in the CRF. Follow-up visits occur during routine practice; the study protocol does not define exact referral dates for those visits. The end of observation / final visit is to be documented after a patient has been in the study for at least 24 months, is lost to follow-up, has withdrawn consent or has died, whichever comes first. If the documentation is stopped prematurely, the reasons for the end of observation must be given. If a patient joins an interventional clinical study during the course of observation, information on survival should be collected up to the end of this study. If a patient remains alive at time of study closure, this will be documented in the final visit.

Table 3: Tabulated overview on variables collected during the study

Variables	Baseline / Initial visit*	Follow-up visit(s)	Survival Assessment§	End of observation / final visit
Visit date	X	X		X
Eligibility assessment	X			
Patient information and consent	X			
Demography	X			
Co-morbidities (medical history, concomitant diseases)	X			
HCC classification	X			
HCC assessment under sorafenib	X			



Variables	Baseline / Initial visit*	Follow-up visit(s)	Survival Assessment§	End of observation / final visit
Prior systemic anti-cancer therapy (including sorafenib) (see 9.3.3.6)	X			
Tolerability of prior systemic anti-cancer therapy (including sorafenib) (see 9.3.3.6)	X			
Prior and concomitant non- systemic anti-cancer therapy	X	X		
Prior and concomitant radiotherapy	X	X		
Prior medication	X			
Lifestyle records (smoking & alcohol use)	X			
Vital signs	X			
BCLC Staging	X			
Tumor status and metastases (see 9.3.3.9)	X	X		X
Child-Pugh classification	X	X		X
ECOG performance status	X	X		X
Laboratory parameters (see 9.3.3.7)	X	X		X
Concomitant medication	X	X		X
Exposure/treatment with regorafenib (see 9.3.3.8)	X	X		X
Adverse events on regorafenib (see 9.3.3.11)	X	X		X**
Information collection date			X§	
Survival status			X^{\S}	
Tumor evaluation (post regorafenib)			X§	
Anti-cancer therapy (post regorafenib)			X§	



Variables	Baseline / Initial visit*	Follow-up visit(s)	Survival Assessment§	End of observation / final visit
Reason for end of observation				X
Death and reason for death				X

^{*}Initial visit is the visit when treatment with regorafenib is started. Baseline and Initial visit can be at the same day

9.3 Variables

The investigator collects historic data (demographic and clinical characteristics) from medical records if available, or else by interviewing the patient. Likewise, the investigator collects treatment related data during initial visit and follow-up visits. The investigator documents the study-relevant data for each patient in the CRF. The CRF is available upon request (see Table 4, Annex 1).

Information will be collected from laboratory tests performed according to the local standard of care. For potential TEAE reporting of any laboratory abnormalities please refer to section 11.

9.3.1 Variables to determine the primary endpoint(s)

The variables for the primary objective are:

- AEs and SAEs
- TEAEs leading to dose modifications (including reductions, interruptions and permanent discontinuation)

9.3.2 Variables to determine the secondary and tertiary endpoint(s)

The outcome variables for secondary objectives are:

- Demographic data and disease history
- Regorafenib use (including start/stop dates and dosage)
 - o Initiation and termination dates
 - Dosage and dose modification
- Tumor assessment after initiation of regorafenib
- Date of radiological or clinical progression on regorafenib
- · Child-Pugh score
- ECOG performance status
- Laboratory examination data
- Date of death

Outcome variables for tertiary endpoints include:

- Sorafenib treatment history (prior to study entry)
 - Initiation and termination dates
 - Tolerability of sorafenib treatment

^{**}Adverse events (up to 30 days after the final treatment with regorafenib/ last treatment within the observation period)

[§] Survival assessment from > 30d after discontinuation of regorafenib until end of observation



- o Radiological progression on sorafenib
- o Best tumor response to sorafenib
- Previous systemic therapy other than sorafenib
 - Initiation and termination dates
 - o Tolerability of systemic therapy
 - o Radiological progression on therapy
 - Best tumor response to therapy

9.3.3 Detailed description of variables collected

9.3.3.1 Visits

Information collected relating to each visit (baseline, follow-up, end of observation) includes:

• Date of visit

9.3.3.2 Demography

For demographic/socio-demographic assessment, the following data will be recorded:

- Year of birth
- Age
- Sex
- Race [only where legally permitted]
- Ethnicity [only where legally permitted]

9.3.3.3 Vital signs

Information on vital signs to be documented includes:

- Height
- Weight
- Blood pressure
- Heart rate

9.3.3.4 Disease history

Disease history describes any medical findings that are relevant to the underlying disease and were present before inclusion into the study. Findings and diagnosis meeting the following criteria must be documented:

- Date, tumor stage [tumor, node, metastasis (TNM) and Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging] and Child–Pugh status at initial diagnosis
- Tumor stage (TNM and BCLC), Child–Pugh status and ECOG performance status at the time of initial sorafenib treatment (if applicable)
- Etiology of HCC at the study entry
- Disease extent at the study entry (TNM, BCLC, metastatic lesions, extra hepatic spread, vascular invasion, portal vein thrombosis, tumor morphology)
- Child–Pugh score at the study entry
- ECOG performance status at the study entry
- Social history (smoking, alcohol use)



9.3.3.5 Co-morbidities (medical history, concomitant diseases)

Co-morbidities are any medical findings, whether or not they pertain to the study indication, that were present before the start of therapy with regorafenib, independent of whether or not they are still present. They have to be documented in the Medical History/Concomitant Diseases section. Co-morbidities that resolved more than three years prior to study entry and that are not considered relevant to current treatment do not require documentation.

The conditions of interest, which will be documented in the CRF, include:

- Ascites
- Encephalopathy
- Myocardial infarction
- Deep venous thrombosis
- Pulmonary embolism
- Transient ischemic attack
- Ischemic stroke
- Cerebral hemorrhage
- · Hemorrhage, GI
- Diabetes mellitus type I
- Diabetes mellitus type II
- Hypertension
- Heart failure
- Phlebitis
- Gastrointestinal ulcer
- Angina pectoris
- Esophageal varices
- Upper GI bleeding

9.3.3.6 Prior and concomitant medication

All medication taken before study start (initiated and stopped before study start) is termed prior medication. Prior medication meeting the criteria listed below are considered to be relevant to the study indication must be documented:

- Treatment for HCC (all available information from initial diagnosis of HCC should be documented)
 - Previous treatment with systemic therapy (including sorafenib)
 - Drug name
 - Start and stop date
 - Dose(s), including maximum tolerated dose
 - Therapy type and intent of treatment
 - Best response to treatment
 - Date of radiological progression, including type of progression (new lesion, new vascular invasion, progression of target lesion and quantification)
 - Tolerability of systemic treatment, including dose modifications relating to side effects
 - Reason for discontinuation
 - Previous local therapy



- Procedure, drug name, dose, embolization agent, and intent
- Administration date
- Anatomic location
- Best response to treatment
- Treatments for indications other than HCC (such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus or renal disease). All available information within at least 12 months prior to enrollment should be documented if considered relevant for the physician.

All medication taken in addition to regorafenib for any indication (either initiated before study start or during the study) is termed concomitant medication and has to be documented.

Information to be collected for medication includes:

- Trade name or INN
- Start date, stop date/ongoing
- Dose
- Unit
- Indication

9.3.3.7 Laboratory parameters

Laboratory parameters to be documented include (if available):

- Date of test
- Platelets
- Hemoglobin
- Erythrocytes
- Hematocrit
- Leukocytes
- International normalized ratio (INR)
- Alanine transaminase (ALT)
- Aspartate transaminase (AST)
- Alkaline phosphatase (ALP)
- Sodium
- Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
- Alpha fetoprotein (AFP)
- C-reactive protein (CRP)
- Gamma-glutamyl-transferase (GGT)
- Creatinine
- Total bilirubin
- Albumin

9.3.3.8 Exposure/treatment

Information on regorafenib to be documented include:

- Start and stop date
- Dose modification
- Total daily dose
- Reason for dose modification



9.3.3.9 Assessment of therapy

Information on assessment of therapy to be documented includes:

- Tumor assessment according to criteria used within local guidance
 - o Date of assessment
 - Tumor assessment [complete response, partial response, stable disease, or progressive disease (measurement proven or by clinical judgment)]
 - Location of metastases by organ (including lymph nodes)
 - o Vascular invasion (location), portal vein thrombosis, extrahepatic spread
- Child–Pugh classification
- ECOG performance status

9.3.3.10 End of observation

If available, the primary reason for end of observation/study discontinuation should be stated:

- Regular end of study
- Patient lost to follow-up
- Patient withdrew consent
- Patient died
- Other

9.3.3.11 Adverse events/Adverse events of special interest

(Serious) adverse events need to be collected as described in section 11.2. Information collected includes:

- Diagnosis of AE or symptom (if diagnosis unknown)
- Start and stop date
- Seriousness
- Severity according to National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria Adverse Event (CTCAE) v4.03 severity grading
- Relatedness to regorafenib therapy
- Action taken
- Event outcome
- Other specific treatment(s) of AE

9.4 Data sources

The investigator collects historic data (demographic and clinical characteristics) from medical records if available. Likewise, the investigator collects treatment-related data during visits that take place in routine practice. Each patient is identified by a unique central patient identification code, which is only used for study purposes. For the duration of the study and afterwards, only the patient's treating physician or authorized site personnel are able to identify the patient based on the patient identification code.

9.5 Study size

Data collected from 1000 patients with uHCC treated with regorafenib globally should allow for sufficient evaluation of safety monitoring of all treated patients, as well as different subsets of patients, including evaluations between subgroups based on region etc. With this number of patients,



it is possible to observe at least one patient with a particular adverse event, if the true incidence proportion of the AE is 0.2% (2:1000), with approximately 86% probability. A particular event with a true incidence proportion of 0.5% could be observed in at least two patients with 96% probability, and in at least three patients with 87% probability. The following tables show the probabilities of observing one, two, or three patients with the event for different true incidence proportionss, with a sample size of 1000 patients.

True incidence proportion of a particular event (%)	Probability of observing the event in			
	At least one patient	At least two patients	At least three patients	
0.1	0.6323	0.2642	0.0802	
0.2	0.8649	0.5943	0.3233	
0.3	0.9504	0.8013	0.5771	
0.4	0.9818	0.9089	0.7625	
0.5	0.9933	0.9599	0.8760	

The sample size is also supported by the feasibility based on a previous global study in 3000 patients with uHCC who received sorafenib. Based on the information from external experts and market research, we consider 30-35% of patients who are treated with sorafenib would be subsequently treated with regorafenib; therefore, 1000 patients would be feasible for this observational study.

9.6 Data management

A Contract Research Organization (CRO) will be selected and assigned for EDC system development. The CRF will be part of the EDC system which allows documentation of all outcome variables and covariates by all participating sites in a standardized way. Information on the EDC system is available upon request (Table 4, Annex 1). Detailed information on data management, including procedures for data collection, retrieval and preparation are given in the Data Management Plan (DMP), which is available upon request (see Table 4, Annex 1).

For information on quality control, refer to section 9.8.

9.7 Data analysis

9.7.1 Statistical considerations

Statistical analyses will be of explorative and descriptive nature. The study is not aimed to confirm or reject pre-defined hypotheses.

All variables will be analyzed descriptively with appropriate statistical methods: categorical variables by frequency tables (absolute and relative frequencies) and continuous variables by sample statistics (i.e. mean, standard deviation, minimum, median, quartiles, 5th and 95th percentiles, and



maximum). Continuous variables will be described by absolute value and as change from baseline per analysis time point, if applicable.

Patients who took at least one dose of regorafenib will be included in the safety analysis set (SAF).

Patients who took at least one dose of regorafenib, did not violate a major inclusion/exclusion criterion, and had at least one follow-up assessment after receiving regorafenib will be included in the full analysis set (FAS).

Safety data will be analyzed on the SAF, effectiveness data on the FAS. Demographic and baseline data will be described for the SAF and the FAS.

All analyses will be performed for the total study population (overall analysis) and separately for each participating country if patient numbers are sufficient and if required for local reasons. Whenever reasonable, data will be stratified by subgroups (e.g. age, gender, baseline characteristics, and prior treatment).

All therapies documented will be coded using the World Health Organization – Drug Dictionary (WHO-DD). Medical history, any diseases and AEs will be coded using the latest Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) version.

All statistical details including violation of inclusion/exclusion criteria and patient validity, derived variables for analysis, handling of missing data, and format and content of tables will be detailed in the Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP). The SAP will be finalized before study database lock. The SAP is available upon request (see Table 4, Annex 1).

It is planned to have an interim analysis/analyses after minimum of 500 patients have been on study for at least 4 months, for the purpose of safety monitoring. The interim analysis will be detailed in the SAP. The final analysis will be performed after end of the study which is the date the analytical dataset is completely available.

9.7.2 Analysis of demography, disease details, prior and concomitant medication and other baseline data

All background data such as patient demographics, diagnosis and prior treatment for HCC, past medical history, concomitant diseases, and concomitant medication will be described by presenting frequency distributions and/or basic summary statistics.

9.7.3 Analysis of primary outcome(s)

Adverse events will be summarized for the safety population using NCI-CTCAE v4.03 as well as the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA). Incidence proportions will be calculated based on the total number of patients valid for safety. Incidence proportions will be calculated by MedDRA system organ class, preferred term and worst CTCAE grade, for the MedDRA-based analyses, and by Event Category/NCI CTC Term and worst grade for the CTCAE-based analyses. These analyses will be performed for TEAEs, drug-related TEAEs, treatment-emergent SAEs, drug-related treatment-emergent SAEs, and TEAEs leading to dose reduction, dose interruption, or permanent dose discontinuation.

Subgroup analyses stratified by prognostic/predictive factors including liver function-related factors, tumor extent/stage, general condition such as ECOG performance status and comorbidities collected at baseline, including hepatic etiology [hepatitis C virus (HCV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), and



alcoholic and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)], as mentioned above, may be explored. For ECOG performance status, frequencies of recorded values and changes from performance status at baseline will be tabulated by visit. For vital signs, descriptive statistics will be calculated by visit. Where possible, laboratory data will be graded using the mapping provided within the NCI CTCAE manual version that is valid at time of analysis. CTCAE severity grading for laboratory abnormalities is mainly based on applicable laboratory threshold values outlined in NCI-CTCAE manuals. Frequency of laboratory abnormalities will be tabulated by NCI-CTCAE category and worst grade.

Changes in laboratory data and in CTCAE grades for laboratory data will also be analyzed.

9.7.4 Analysis of secondary outcome(s)

All summaries with respect to the effectiveness data will be descriptive. Effectiveness endpoints include OS, PFS, TTP, and best ORR. Investigator-assessed data according to local standard, will be used to evaluate the tumor response and radiological progression. Kaplan–Meier (KM) estimates (including number of failed, number censored, 25th and 75th percentiles with respective 95% CI and median with 95%CI) and KM curves will be presented for OS, PFS, and TTP (as appropriate). Radiologically or clinically documented progression of tumor will be considered as disease progression. Estimates and exact binomial 95% confidence intervals will be calculated for best overall response categories CR, PR, SD, PD by radiographic imaging only or by clinical judgment. Subgroup analyses stratified with prognostic/predictive factors collected at baseline as mentioned above may be explored.

For regorafenib treatment, descriptive statistics will be calculated for the treatment duration, starting dose and average dose. The following frequencies will be calculated for regorafenib treatment: the number of patients with dose modification (e.g. reduction, interruption, re-challenge at protocol dose), number of dose modifications, and frequencies of reasons for dose modifications. These exposure analyses will be performed overall and by prior sorafenib dosing pattern.

9.7.5 Analysis of tertiary outcome(s)

In patients who had systemic anti-cancer therapy prior to the study, the treatment data will be summarized descriptively.

- Dosing of sorafenib treatment, including start/stop date, duration of treatment, given doses, reasons for discontinuation of sorafenib, time from discontinuation of sorafenib to start of regorafenib, and response to sorafenib will be retrospectively collected and summarized descriptively. Any potential side effects during prior sorafenib treatment (focusing on hand-foot skin reactions, hypertension, fatigue, diarrhea and any other potential side effects leading to sorafenib dose modification) will be documented within a checklist in the past medical history section of CRF. Documented side effects under prior sorafenib treatment will be cumulatively reported within REFINE study report.
- Dosing of other treatment used prior to the study, including start/stop date, duration of treatment, given doses, reasons for discontinuation, and time from discontinuation of the treatment to start of regorafenib, will be retrospectively collected and summarized descriptively in the population enrolled in this study.



For the subgroup of patients with prior sorafenib treatment, the following analyses will be performed:

- Adverse events occurring after the start of regorafenib treatment will be correlated with adverse reactions occurring under prior sorafenib treatment.
- OS from the start of sorafenib treatment to death due to any cause will be analyzed using KM estimates and KM curves. Regorafenib exposure analyses will be performed by prior sorafenib dose.

These analyses might be also performed with respect to other prior therapies (e.g. lenvatinib), dependent on actual numbers of patients treated with such other prior therapies.

9.7.6 Analysis of safety data

Safety data comprise the primary endpoint of the study and the analysis is detailed in section 9.7.3.

9.8 Quality control

9.8.1 Data quality

Before study start at the sites, all investigators will be sufficiently trained on the background and objectives of the study and ethical as well as regulatory obligations. Investigators will have the chance to discuss and develop a common understanding of the OS protocol and the CRF.

A CRO will be selected and assigned for EDC system development, quality control, verification of the data collection, data analysis and data transfer to Bayer.

All outcome variables and covariates will be recorded in a standardized CRF. After data entry, missing or implausible data will be queried and the data will be validated. A check for multiple documented patients will be done.

Detailed information on checks for completeness, accuracy, plausibility and validity are given in the Data Management Plan (DMP). The same plan will specify measures for handling of missing data and self-evident corrections. The DMP is available upon request (see Table 4: List of stand-alone documents, Annex 1).

Medical Review of the data will be performed according to the Medical Review Plan (MRP). The purpose of the Medical Review is to verify the data from a medical perspective for plausibility, consistency, and completeness and to identify potential issues that could affect the robustness of the collected study data or the progress of the study. Detailed information on the Medical review will be described in the MRP, which is available upon request (see Table 4, Annex 1).

National and international data protection laws as well as regulations on observational studies will be followed. Electronic records used for capturing patient documentation (eCRF) will be validated according to 21 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 11 (FDA) [13]. The documentation is available upon request (Table 4, Annex 1).

9.8.2 Quality review

Quality review will be done in two steps:

In the first step, to assess the site's training status, telephone interviews will be conducted with 20% of the sites in each country (including at least one site in each country) without further selection



criteria. Interviews should start when approximately 20% of sites have started enrolment or when 50% of the enrollment period has passed (whatever comes first).

In the second step, source data verification will be conducted. The purpose is to review the documented data for completeness and plausibility, adherence to the OS protocol and verification with source documents. To accomplish this, study monitors will access medical records onsite for data verification. Data from a minimum of 125 patients, covering at least 1000 data items (such as date of birth, height, weight) or data item groups (such as concomitant diseases, adverse events), will be reviewed independent of the overall number of data items collected in the study. Onsite visits should occur no later than when 80% of patients have completed the study. This procedure is based on the exclusion of an error rate of 5%.

Detailed measures for quality reviews will be described in the Quality Review Plan (QRP). The QRP is available upon request (see Table 4, Annex 1).

9.8.3 Storage of records and archiving

The study initiator and funder will ensure that all relevant documents of this post-authorization safety study (PASS), including CRFs and other patient records, will be stored after the end or discontinuation of the study for at least 15 years. Other instructions for storage of medical records will remain unaffected.

The investigators participating in the study are required to archive documents at their sites according to local requirements, considering possible audits and inspections from the sponsor and/or local authorities. It is recommended to store documents for a retention period of at least 15 years.

Statistical programming performed to generate results will be stored in the productive area of the programming system for at least 15 years at the sponsor's site.

9.8.4 Certification/qualification of external parties

Not applicable.

9.9 Limitations of the research methods

Because of the non-interventional study design and limitations inherent to observational studies, findings generated from this study are subject to biases, such as selection bias, limitations to availability of historical medical data, and differences in treatment or reporting owing to local guidelines.

Results for secondary effectiveness variables PFS or TTP must be interpreted carefully because of the uncontrolled setting: time periods between follow-up visits are more variable than in controlled clinical studies, in which a fixed visit schedule is maintained. The quality of the tumor status evaluation will differ from that in controlled clinical studies.

Comparison of outcomes after treatment with regorafenib versus treatment with a comparator cannot be performed in this single-arm study. Comparisons can only be performed with historical data from clinical or observational studies, which is prone to bias and confounding. Historical patient data collected with respect to prior sorafenib or other treatments may be incomplete and/or differ relating to sorafenib use and standard of care in local practice. Historical data provided by patient interview is prone to errant recall.



Although the study aims to include participants from a variety of geographic regions, there may be local limitations that reduce the representativeness of patients recruited, such as patient access to recruiting physicians (including differences in patient profile in specialized, recruiting sites vs local general practice), regorafenib availability and reimbursement, and decisions relating to local standard of care. In addition, the planned patient sample size to be recruited from Japan (150 patients) may result in comparative over-representation from this country with respect to other individual nations.

9.10 Other aspects

Not applicable.

10. Protection of human subjects

10.1 Ethical conduct of the study

This study is an observational study where regorafenib is prescribed in the customary manner in accordance with the terms of the marketing authorization. There is no assignment of a patient to a particular therapeutic strategy. The treatment decision falls within current practice and the prescription of the medicines is clearly separated from the decision to include the patient in the study. No additional diagnostic or monitoring process is required for participation or during the study. Epidemiological methods will be used for the analysis of the collected data.

10.2 Regulatory authority approvals/authorizations

The study will be carried out within an approved indication in accordance with guidelines and regulations of EMA, FDA and applicable local law(s) and regulation(s) (e.g. Regulation (EU) No 520/2012 [14]). Recommendations given by other organizations will be followed as well (e.g. EFPIA [15], ENCePP [16]). ICH-GCP guidelines will be followed whenever possible.

In addition, the guidelines on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP module VI [17] and since the study qualifies as a PASS, GVP module VIII [18], [19]) will be followed.

10.3 Independent ethics committee (IEC) or institutional review board (IRB)

In all countries where reference to an IEC/IRB is required, documented approval from appropriate IECs/IRBs will be obtained for all participating centers prior to study start. When necessary, an extension, amendment or renewal of the IEC/IRB approval must be obtained and also forwarded to the MAH. The IEC/IRB must supply to the MAH, upon request, a list of the IEC/IRB members involved in the vote and a statement to confirm that the IEC/IRB is organized and operates according to applicable laws and regulations.

10.4 Patient information and consent

Before documentation of any data, informed consent is obtained by the patient or a legal representative in writing. In countries where required by law or regulation, the investigator must have the IECs/IRB written approval / favorable opinion of the written informed consent form and any other written information to be provided to patients prior to the beginning of the observation.



10.5 Patient insurance

In this observational study, data on routine treatment of patients in daily practice are documented and analyzed with the help of epidemiological methods. Treatment including diagnosis and monitoring of therapy follows exclusively routine daily practice. Current medical daily practice is observed, and for the patient no risks beyond regular therapy exist – there is no additional hazard arising from study participation. As no study related risks exist, there is no need to protect the patient additionally by a patient insurance. The general regulations of medical law and the professional indemnity insurance of the investigators and, respectively, the institutions involved provide sufficient protection for both patient and investigator.

No study medication will be provided to participants. Thus, product insurance is covered by the existing product liability.

10.6 Confidentiality

Bayer as well as all investigators ensure adherence to applicable data privacy protection regulation. Data are transferred in encoded form only. The entire documentation made available to Bayer does not contain any data which, on its own account or in conjunction with other freely available data, can be used to re-identify natural persons. The investigators are obligated to ensure that no documents contain such data.

All records identifying the subject will be kept confidential and will not be made publicly available. Patient names will not be supplied to Bayer AG. If the patient name appears on any document, it must be obliterated before a copy of the document is supplied to Bayer AG. Study findings stored on a computer will be stored in accordance with local data protection laws.

The investigator will maintain a list to enable patients' records to be identified in case of queries. In case of a report of a serious adverse event (SAE), the responsible pharmacovigilance person may ask for additional clarification. In that case, the company is not allowed to directly contact the patient. All additional information will be provided by the investigator.

11. Management and reporting of adverse events/adverse reactions

11.1 Definitions

An adverse event (AE) is any untoward medical occurrence in a patient administered a medicinal product and which does not necessarily have a causal relationship with this treatment. An AE can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign (e.g. an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medicinal product, whether or not considered related to this medicinal product [20].

The term also covers laboratory findings or results of other diagnostic procedures that are considered to be clinically relevant (e.g. that require unscheduled diagnostic procedures or treatments or result in withdrawal from the study).

All adverse events, including laboratory abnormalities, leading to dose modifications (i.e. interruptions, reductions, permanent discontinuation) need to be documented as AE.

The AE may be:

A new illness



- Worsening of a sign or symptom of the condition under treatment or of a concomitant illness
- An effect of the study medication
- Off label use¹, occupational exposure, lack of drug effect, medication error, overdose, drug abuse, drug misuse or drug dependency itself, as well as any resulting event
- An effect related to a pre-existing condition having improved (unexpected therapeutic benefits are observed)
- Product exposure via mother/ father (exposure during conception, pregnancy, childbirth and breastfeeding)

New lesions per se should not be regarded as AEs. Instead, the associated signs and symptoms should be recorded as AEs. If progressive disease leads to signs and symptoms that meet the criteria of AE/SAE, the signs and symptoms must be reported as an AE/SAE and not the underlying (progressive) disease.

As mentioned above no causal relationship with a product is implied by the use of the term "adverse event".

An <u>Adverse Reaction</u> (AR) is defined as a response to a medicinal product which is noxious and unintended. An AR is any AE judged as having a reasonable suspected causal relationship to regorafenib.

<u>Causal relationship</u>: The assessment of the causal relationship between an AE and the administration of treatment is a clinical decision based on all available information at the time of the completion of the CRF. The assessment is based on the question whether there was a "reasonable causal relationship" to the study treatment in question. Possible answers are "yes" or "no".

An assessment of "no" would include:

The existence of a clear alternative explanation (e.g. mechanical bleeding at surgical site)

Non-plausibility (e.g. the subject is struck by an automobile when there is no indication that the product caused disorientation that may have caused the event; cancer developing a few days after the first product administration)

An assessment of "yes" indicates that there is a reasonable suspicion that the AE is associated with the use of the study treatment. Factors to be considered in assessing the relationship of the AE to study treatment include:

The temporal sequence from product administration: The event should occur after the product is given. The length of time from product exposure to event should be evaluated in the clinical context of the event.

¹ According to GVP Module VI, off label use "relates to situations where the medicinal product is intentionally used for a medical purpose not in accordance with the authorized product information". Off label use *per se* is an adverse event, even if no adverse reaction is reported, therefore it needs to be ensured that all relevant variables to identify such potential incidents are collected (e.g. indication).



Recovery on product discontinuation (de-challenge), recurrence on product re-introduction (re-challenge): Subject's response after de-challenge or subjects response after re-challenge should be considered in the view of the usual clinical course of the event in question.

Underlying, concomitant, intercurrent diseases: Each event should be evaluated in the context of the natural history and course of the disease being treated and any other disease the subject may have.

Concomitant medication or treatment: The other products the subject is taking or the treatment the subject receives should be examined to determine whether any of them may be suspected to cause the event in question.

The pharmacology and pharmacokinetics of the study treatment: The pharmacokinetic properties (absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion) of the study treatment, coupled with the individual subject's pharmacodynamics should be considered.

An AE is serious (SAE) if it:

- Results in death
- Is life-threatening
- Requires inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization (see exceptions below)
- Results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity
- Is a congenital anomaly or birth defect
- Is medically important.

<u>Death</u> is usually the outcome of an underlying clinical event that causes it. Hence, it is the cause of death that should be regarded as the SAE. The one exception to this rule is 'sudden death' where no cause has been established. In this instance, 'sudden death' should be regarded as the AE and 'fatal' as its reason for being 'serious'.

<u>Life-threatening</u>: The term "life-threatening" in the definition of "serious" refers to an AE in which the subject was at risk of death at the time of the event. It does not refer to an AE which hypothetically might have caused death if it were more severe.

<u>Hospitalization</u>: Any AE leading to hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization will be considered as serious, unless the admission is:

- planned before subject's inclusion in the study (i.e. elective or scheduled surgery) or
- ambulant (shorter than 12 hours) or
- part of the normal treatment or monitoring of the studied disease (i.e. not due to a worsening of the disease)

However it should be noted that invasive treatment during any hospitalization may fulfill the criteria of 'medically important' and as such may be reportable as a SAE dependent on clinical judgment. In addition where local regulatory authorities specifically require a more stringent definition, the local regulation takes precedent.

<u>Disability</u> means a substantial disruption of a person's ability to conduct normal life's functions.



<u>Congenital anomaly</u> (birth defect), i.e. any congenital anomaly observed in an infant, or later in a child, should be regarded as a SAE when:

- The mother had been exposed to a medicinal product at any stage during conception or pregnancy or during delivery
- The father was exposed to a medicinal product prior to conception

Other medically important serious event: any AE may be considered serious because it may jeopardize the patient and may require intervention to prevent another serious condition. Medically important events either refer to or might be indicative of a serious disease state. Such reports warrant special attention because of their possible association with serious disease state and may lead to more decisive action than reports on other terms.

11.2 Collection

Starting with the first application of regorafenib after enrollment into the study, all **non-serious AEs** must be documented on the AE Report Form or in the CRF/EDC system and forwarded to the MAH within 7 calendar days of awareness.

All **SAEs** must be documented and forwarded immediately (within one business day of awareness). For each AE, the investigator must assess and document the seriousness, duration, relationship to product, action taken and outcome of the event.

If a **pregnancy** occurs during the study, although it is not an SAE itself, it should be documented and forwarded to the MAH within the same time limits as a SAE. The result of a pregnancy will be followed-up according to applicable Bayer SOPs. Any data on abnormal findings concerning either the mother or the baby will be collected as AEs.

The documentation of any AE/SAE ends with the completion of the observation period of the patient. However, any AE/SAE - regardless of the relationship and the seriousness - occurring up to 30 days after the last dose of regorafenib within the study period has to be documented and forwarded to the MAH within the given timelines, even if this period goes beyond the end of observation.

As long as the patient has not received any regorafenib within the frame of the study AEs /SAEs do not need to be documented as such in this observational study. However, they are part of the patient's medical history.

For any serious product-related AE occurring after study end, the standard procedures that are in place for spontaneous reporting have to be followed.

All laboratory abnormalities leading to dose modifications (i.e. interruptions, reductions, permanent discontinuation) need to be documented as AE.

New lesions per se should not be regarded as AEs. Instead, the associated signs and symptoms should be recorded as AEs. If progressive disease leads to signs and symptoms that meet the criteria of AE/SAE the signs and symptoms must be reported as an AE/SAE and not the underlying (progressive) disease.

11.3 Management and reporting

Non-serious AEs



The outcome of all reported AEs will be followed up and documented. Where required, investigators might be contacted directly by the responsible study staff to provide further information.

Non-serious ARs

All non-serious ARs occurring under treatment with regorafenib that qualify for expedited reporting will be submitted to the relevant authorities according to EU PV legislation (Regulation (EU) No 1235/2010 and Directive 2010/84/EU, Module VI [17]) and according to national regulations by the MAH; however, all investigators must obey local legal requirements.

For non-serious ARs occurring under non-Bayer products the investigator has to account for and comply with the reporting system of the product's Marketing Authorization Holder within the frame of local laws and regulations as well as other locally applicable laws and regulations.

Serious AEs

Any SAE or pregnancy entered into the CRF/EDC system will be forwarded immediately (within one business day of awareness) to the pharmacovigilance country person being responsible for SAE processing. The outcome of all reported SAEs (resolution, death etc.) will be followed up and documented. Where required, investigators might be contacted directly by the pharmacovigilance country person in charge to provide further information.

Submission to the relevant authorities according to national regulations will be done by the MAH for SAEs related to regorafenib treatment; however, all investigators must obey local legal requirements.

For any serious drug-related AE occurring after study end, the standard procedures that are in place for spontaneous reporting have to be followed.

For SAEs that occurred while administering non-Bayer products the investigator has to account for and comply with the reporting system of the product's Marketing Authorization Holder within the frame of local laws and regulations as well as other locally applicable laws and regulations.

An isolated laboratory abnormality that is assigned Grade 4 according to NCI-CTCAE version 4.0 definition is not reportable as an SAE unless the investigator assesses that the event meets standard International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) criteria for an SAE.

11.4 Evaluation

Whenever new important safety information is received, e.g. case reports from an investigator, the reports are processed and entered into the global pharmacovigilance safety database. These reports will be reviewed on a regular basis (for information on collection, management and reporting of case reports, refer to section 11.2 and 11.3). If a potential safety signal is suspected, an investigation of the suspected potential signal will be performed according to internal standard operating procedures, for further evaluation within the context of benefit risk.

12. Plans for disseminating and communicating study results

This study will be registered at "www.clinicaltrials.gov" and in in the EU PAS register at "http://www.encepp_studies/indexRegister.shtml". Results will be disclosed in a publicly available database within the standard timelines.



The results of this observational study are intended to be published in a peer-reviewed journal and as abstracts/presentations at medical congresses under the oversight of the MAH. Appropriate guidelines and recommendation on good publication practice will be followed (e.g. GPP3 Guidelines [21], STROBE [22]). No individual investigator may publish on the results of this study, or their own patients, without prior approval from the MAH.



13. References

- [1] J. Ferlay, I. Soerjomataram, R. Dikshit, S. Eser, C. Mathers, M. Rebelo, D. M. Parkin, D. Forman and F. Bray, "Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012," *Int J Cancer*, vol. 136, no. 5, pp. E359-E386, 2015.
- [2] A. Jemal, F. Bray, M. M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward and D. Forman, "Global Cancer Statistics," *CA Cancer J Clin*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 69-90, 2011.
- [3] L. I. M, S. Ricci and V. Mazzaferro, "Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma," *N Eng J Med*, vol. 359, no. 4, pp. 378-390, 2008.
- [4] A. L. Cheng, Z. Guan, Z. Chen, C. J. Tsao, S. Qin, J. S. Kim, T. S. Yang, W. Y. Tak, H. Pan, S. Yu, J. Xu, F. Fang, J. Zou, G. Lentini, D. Voliotis and Y. K. Kang, "Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial," *Lancet Oncol*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 25-34, 2009.
- [5] "NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Hepatobiliary Cancer V2.2015," 2015. [Online]. Available: www.nccn.org. [Accessed 17 11 2016].
- [6] J. Bruix and M. Sherman, "Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update," *Hepatology*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1020-1022, 2011.
- [7] European Association For The Study Of The Liver, European Organisation For Research And Treatment Of Cancer, "EASL-EORTC clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma," *J Hepatol*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 908-943, 2012.
- [8] Eisai Co., Ltd., "PHASE III TRIAL OF ANTICANCER AGENT LENVIMA® AS FIRST-LINE TREATMENT FOR UNRESECTABLE HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA MEETS PRIMARY ENDPOINT," 25 01 2017. [Online]. Available: http://www.eisai.com/news/news201706.html. [Accessed 01 02 2017].
- [9] J. Bruix, S. Qin, P. Merle, A. Granito, Y.-H. Huang, G. Bodoky, M. Pracht, O. Yokosuka and Rosmorduc, "Regorafenib for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who progressed on sorafenib treatment (RESORCE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial," *The Lancet*, pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)32453-9, 2016.
- [10] A. Grothey, E. Van Cutsem, A. Sobrero, S. Siena, A. Falcone, M. Ychou, Y. Humblet, O. Bouché, L. Mineur, C. Barone, A. Adenis, J. Tabernero, T. Yoshino, H. J. Lenz, R. M. Goldberg, D. J. Sargent, F. Cihon, L. Cupit, A. Wagner and D. Laurent, "Regorafenib monotherapy for previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CORRECT): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial," *The Lancet*, vol. 381, pp. 303-312, 2013.
- [11] G. Demetri, P. Reichardt, Y. Kang, J. Blay, P. Rutkowski, H. Gelderblom, P. Hohenberger, M. Leahy,



M. von Mehren, H. Joensuu, G. Badalamenti, M. Blackstein, A. Le Cesne, P. Schöffski, R. Maki, S. Bauer, B. Nguyen, J. Xu, T. Nishida, J. Chung and Kappele, "Efficacy and safety of regorafenib for advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumours after failure of imatinib and sunitinib (GRID): an international, multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial," *The Lancet*, vol. 381, pp. 295-302, 2013.

- [12] J. Li, S. Qin, R. Xu, T. Yau, B. Ma, H. Pan, J. Xu, Y. Bai, Y. Chi, L. Wang, K. Yeh, F. Bi, Y. Cheng, A. Le, J. Lin, T. Liu, D. Ma, C. Kappeler, J. Kalmus and T. Kim, "Regorafenib plus best supportive care versus placebo plus best supportive care in Asian patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (CONCUR): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial," *Lancet Oncology*, vol. 16, pp. 619-629, 2015.
- [13] FDA, "Code of Federal Regulations.," *Title 21, Volume 1. 21CFR11: Electronic records; electronic signatures.*, 01 Apr 2012.
- [14] EU, "Commission implementing regulation (EU) No 520/2012 of 19 June 2012 on the performance of pharmacovigilance activities provided for in Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 and Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council," *Official Journal of the European Union*, 20 Jun 2012.
- [15] EFPIA, "Code on the Promotion of Prescription-Only Medicines to and Interactions with Healthcare Professionals," Oct 2007.
- [16] EMA, "ENCePP guide on methodological standards in pharmacoepidemiology.," 05 Nov 2010.
- [17] EMA, "GPV Module VI Management and reporting of adverse reactions to medicinal products (Rev 1)," *Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (EMA/8731138/2011 Rev1)*, 08 Sep 2014.
- [18] EMA, "GPV Module VIII Post-authorisation safety studies (Rev 1)," *Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (EMA/813938/2011 Rev1)*, 19 Apr 2013.
- [19] EMA, "GPV Module VIII Addendum 1 Member States' requirements for transmission of information on non-interventional post-authorisation safety studies (Rev 1)," *Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (EMA/395730/2012_Rev 1)*, 19 Apr 2013.
- [20] ICH, "ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline: Clinical Safety Data Management: Definitions and Standards for Expedited Reporting (E2D)," Nov 2003.
- [21] W. P. Battisti, E. Wager, L. Baltzer, D. Bridges, A. Cairns, C. I. Carswell, L. Citrome, J. A. Gurr, L. A. Mooney, J. Moore, T. Pena, C. H. Sanes-Miller, K. Veitch, K. L. Woolley and Y. E. Yarker, "International Society for Medical Publication Professionals. ResearcGood Publication Practice for Communicating Company-Sponsored Medical Research: GPP3," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, pp. 461-464, 2015.
- [22] E. von Elm, D. G. Altman, M. Egger, S. J. Pocock, P. C. Gøtzsche and J. P. Vandenbroucke, "STROBE-Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)



statement: guidelines for reporting of observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2," *J Clin Epidemiol*, vol. 61, pp. 344-349, 2008.

- [23] EU, "Eudralex Volume 9A of the Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the European Union: the Guidelines on Pharmacovigilance for Medicinal Products for Human Use," 2008.
- [24] J. Bruix, P. Merle, A. Granito and e. al, "fficacy and safety of regorafenib versus placebo in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) progressing on sorafenib: results of the international, randomized phase 3 RESORCE trial," *Ann Oncol*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. LBA-03, 2016.



Annex 1: List of stand-alone documents

Table 4: List of stand-alone documents

Document Name	Final version and date (if available)*
19244_REFINE_Study_Countries_Detailed Report	v1.0, 25 APR 2018
19244_REFINE_Steering Committee Charter	v1.0, 24 APR 2018
19244_REFINE_CRF	v6.2 , 24 APR 2018
EDC System Specification (user requirement list)	v2.2, 08 FEB 2018
EDC System Validation Plan	v2.0, 05 OCT 2017
EDC System Validation Report	v1.0, 12 OCT 2017
19244_REFINE_DMP	v2.0, 03 NOV 2017
19244_REFINE_SAP	tbd
19244_REFINE_QRP	v1.0, 27 APR 2018
19244_REFINE_MRP	v0.3, 24 APR 2018

^{*} Draft versions are indicated by <draft> in brackets and date. "tbd" indicates documents that are not available at the time of protocol creation, but will be issued at a later stage



Annex 2: ENCePP checklist for post-authorization safety study (PASS) protocols

Stu	Study title: REFINE: Regorafenib observational study in hepatocellular carcinoma					
Stu	dy reference number: 19244					
C	tion de Milantona		N I -	NI / A	C1!	
Sec	tion 1: Milestones	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number	
1.1	Does the protocol specify timelines for					
	1.1.1 Start of data collection ²	\boxtimes			6	
	1.1.2 End of data collection ³	\boxtimes			6	
	1.1.3 Study progress report(s)					
	1.1.4 Interim progress report(s)					
	1.1.5 Registration in the EU PAS register					
	1.1.6 Final report of study results.	\boxtimes			6	
Com	iments:					
			I			
Sec	tion 2: Research question	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number	
2.1	Does the formulation of the research question and objectives clearly explain:				7,8,9	
	2.1.1 Why the study is conducted? (e.g. to address an important public health concern, a risk identified in the risk management plan, an emerging safety issue)	\boxtimes			7	
	2.1.2 The objective(s) of the study?	\boxtimes			8	
	2.1.3 The target population? (i.e. population or subgroup to whom the study results are intended to be generalised)	\boxtimes			9	
	2.1.4 Which hypothesis(-es) is (are) to be tested?			\boxtimes		
	2.1.5 If applicable, that there is no <i>a priori</i> hypothesis?				9.7.1	
Com	iments:					
This	is a prospective, observational study, so no hypothesis	is beina	tested			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

 $^{^{2}}$ Date from which information on the first study is first recorded in the study dataset or, in the case of secondary use of data, the date from which data extraction starts.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Date from which the analytical dataset is completely available.



Sect	ion 3: Study design	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
3.1	Is the study design described? (e.g. cohort, case-control, cross-sectional, new or alternative design)	\boxtimes			9.1
3.2	Does the protocol specify whether the study is based on primary, secondary or combined data collection?				9.1
3.3	Does the protocol specify measures of occurrence? (e.g. incidence rate, absolute risk)	\boxtimes			9.7.1
3.4	Does the protocol specify measure(s) of association? (e.g. relative risk, odds ratio, excess risk, incidence rate ratio, hazard ratio, number needed to harm (NNH) per year)				9.7.3
3.5	Does the protocol describe the approach for the collection and reporting of adverse events/adverse reactions? (e.g. adverse events that will not be collected in case of primary data collection)				9.2.7
Comments:					

Sec	tion 4: Source and study populations	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
4.1	Is the source population described?				9.1
4.2	Is the planned study population defined in terms of:				
	4.2.1 Study time period?	\boxtimes			9.1
	4.2.2 Age and sex?			\boxtimes	
	4.2.3 Country of origin?	\boxtimes			9.1
	4.2.4 Disease/indication?	\boxtimes			9.1
	4.2.5 Duration of follow-up?	\boxtimes			9.1
4.3	Does the protocol define how the study population will be sampled from the source population? (e.g. event or inclusion/exclusion criteria)	\boxtimes			9.2.1

Comments:

Age and sex are not defined under the study population; consecutive patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria (of any age or sex) will be included

Sect	tion 5: Exposure definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
5.1	Does the protocol describe how the study exposure is defined and measured? (e.g. operational details for defining and categorising exposure, measurement of dose and duration of drug exposure)				9.3
5.2	Does the protocol address the validity of the exposure measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, use of validation sub-study)				9.3
5.3	Is exposure classified according to time windows? (e.g. current user, former user, non-use)	\boxtimes			9.3



Sect	tion 5: Exposure definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
5.4	Is exposure classified based on biological mechanism of action and taking into account the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the drug?				
Com	ments:				

This is a non-interventional study, and all treatment decisions (including dosing and exposure) will be made by the treating physician without influence from the sponsor

Sect	cion 6: Outcome definition and measurement	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
6.1	Does the protocol specify the primary and secondary (if applicable) outcome(s) to be investigated?	\boxtimes			9.1
6.2	Does the protocol describe how the outcomes are defined and measured?				9.1
6.3	Does the protocol address the validity of outcome measurement? (e.g. precision, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, prospective or retrospective ascertainment, use of validation sub-study)				9.1
6.4	Does the protocol describe specific endpoints relevant for Health Technology Assessment? (e.g. HRQoL, QALYs, DALYS, health care services utilisation, burden of disease, disease management)		\boxtimes		

Comments:

Health Technology Assessment endpoints are not included in the observational data

Sect	tion 7: Bias	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
7.1	Does the protocol describe how confounding will be addressed in the study?	\boxtimes			9.1.3
	7.1.1. Does the protocol address confounding by indication if applicable?				9.7
7.2	Does the protocol address:				
	7.2.1. Selection biases (e.g. healthy user bias)	\boxtimes			9.9
	7.2.2. Information biases (e.g. misclassification of exposure and endpoints, time-related bias)	\boxtimes			9.9
7.3	Does the protocol address the validity of the study covariates?				9.9

Comments:		



Sect	cion 8: Effect modification	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
8.1	Does the protocol address effect modifiers? (e.g. collection of data on known effect modifiers, sub-group analyses, anticipated direction of effect)				9.3
Com	ments:				
				1	
Sect	ion 9: Data sources	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
9.1	Does the protocol describe the data source(s) used in the study for the ascertainment of:				
	9.1.1 Exposure? (e.g. pharmacy dispensing, general practice prescribing, claims data, self-report, face-to-face interview)	\boxtimes			9.4
	9.1.2 Outcomes? (e.g. clinical records, laboratory markers or values, claims data, self-report, patient interview including scales and questionnaires, vital statistics)				9.4
	9.1.3 Covariates?	\boxtimes			9.3
9.2	Does the protocol describe the information available from the data source(s) on:				
	9.2.1 Exposure? (e.g. date of dispensing, drug quantity, dose, number of days of supply prescription, daily dosage, prescriber)	\boxtimes			9.4
	9.2.2 Outcomes? (e.g. date of occurrence, multiple event, severity measures related to event)				9.4
	9.2.3 Covariates? (e.g. age, sex, clinical and drug use history, co-morbidity, co-medications, lifestyle)				9.4
9.3	Is a coding system described for:				
	9.3.1 Exposure? (e.g. WHO Drug Dictionary, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification System)				9.7
	9.3.2 Outcomes? (e.g. International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA))				9.7
	9.3.3 Covariates?				9.7
9.4	Is a linkage method between data sources described? (e.g. based on a unique identifier or other)	\boxtimes			9.8
Com	ments:				

Section 10: Analysis plan	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
10.1 Is the choice of statistical techniques described?	\boxtimes			9.7.1
10.2 Are descriptive analyses included?	\boxtimes			9.7
10.3 Are stratified analyses included?	\boxtimes			9.7



Section 10: Analysis plan	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
10.4 Does the plan describe methods for adjusting for confounding?	\boxtimes			9.9
10.5 Does the plan describe methods for handling missing data?				9.9
10.6 Is sample size and/or statistical power estimated?	\boxtimes			9.5
Comments:				
Section 11: Data management and quality control	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
11.1 Does the protocol provide information on data storage? (e.g. software and IT environment, database maintenance and anti-fraud protection, archiving)	\boxtimes			9.8
11.2 Are methods of quality assurance described?	\boxtimes			9.8
11.3 Is there a system in place for independent review of study results?				9.8
Comments:				
		l		
Section 12: Limitations	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
12.1 Does the protocol discuss the impact on the study results of:				
12.1.1 Selection bias?	\boxtimes			9.9
12.1.2 Information bias?	\boxtimes			9.9
12.1.3 Residual/unmeasured confounding? (e.g. anticipated direction and magnitude of such biases, validation sub-study, use of validation and external data, analytical methods)				9.9
12.2 Does the protocol discuss study feasibility? (e.g. study size, anticipated exposure, duration of follow-up in a cohort study patient recruitment)				9.5
Comments:				
Section 13: Ethical issues	Yes	No	N/A	Section Number
13.1 Have requirements of Ethics Committee/ Institutional Review Board been described?	I 🖂			10.3
13.2 Has any outcome of an ethical review procedure been addressed?		\boxtimes		
13.3 Have data protection requirements been described?				10.6

Date: dd/Month/year

Signature:



Comments:			

No relevant outcomes of an ethical review procedure are necessary for inclusion

Section 14: Amendments and deviations Yes No N/A Section Number 14.1 Does the protocol include a section to document \bowtie 5 amendments and deviations? Comments: No amendments or deviations have been reported to date **Section 15: Plans for communication of study results** Yes No N/A Section Number 15.1 Are plans described for communicating study results \boxtimes 12 (e.g. to regulatory authorities)? 15.2 Are plans described for disseminating study results \boxtimes 12 externally, including publication? Comments: Name of the main author of the protocol:



Annex 3: Additional information

Not applicable



Annex 4: Description of amendments

AM01: Local Amendment for Japan

AM01; 07 MAR 2017

Protocol section	Description	
Cover Page	Local Amendment Cover Page (including signature field for Japanese Medical Director) added	
Post Authorization Study Information	The specific hepatic safety interest in the Japanese population has been added to the primary objective	
4. Abstract	The specific hepatic safety interest in the Japanese population has been added to the primary objective	
8.1 Primary objective	Additional clarification of the specific hepatic safety interest in the Japanese population has been added	
9.1 Study design	Additional information relating to interim and final analyses, and observation period for Japanese patients (2 years) and rationale included	
9.2.2. Inclusion criteria	Japanese-subset-specific criterion added: "For Japanese population, uHCC patients are treated with regorafenib according to the product label in Japan"	
9.3.3.11 Adverse events	Additional information relating to hepatic events added: "For the Japanese population, hepatic disorders are considered as the important safety focus." Further rationale is included	
9.5 Study size	The number of planned Japanese patients for enrollment (150) and sample-size rationale is included	
10.2 Regulatory authority approvals/authorizations	Clarification of conduct of study in accordance with Japanese regulations is included	
Annex 5	Global signatories removed except for Medical Expert	

AM02: Global Amendment

AM02; 03 MAY 2018

Protocol section	Description
Entire protocol	In order to remove inconsistencies the term "real-life" was changed to "real-world" and "incidence rate" was changed to "incidence proportion" throughout the protocol
Post Authorization Study	EU PAS register number added: EUPAS20981



Information	Country(-ies) of study: Switzerland and Hong Kong deleted, Brazil added Author:		
3.1 Study initiator and funder	Changes: OS Medical Expert: OS Data Manager: OS Epidemiologist: OS HEOR Responsible: Ops Ex & PM: Added: Real World Evidence (RWE) responsible:		
Abstract	Author: Milestones: First patient first visit changed from Q2 2017 to Q3 2017 Last patient first visit changed from Q2 2020 to Q3 2020 Last patient last visit changed from Q2 2022 to Q3 2022		
5 Amendments	AM02 added to Table 1		
6 Milestones	Table 2 updated: Start of data collection changed from Q2 2017 to Q3 2017 End of data collection changed from Q2 2022 to Q3 2022 Registration in the EU PAS register date added: 23 OCT 2017		
9.1.2. Secondary endpoint(s)	Old wording: Best overall tumor response (ORR) will be defined for all patients using tumor assessment criteria, including modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (mRECIST). New wording: Best overall tumor response (ORR) will be defined according to investigator-assessed data according to local standard		
9.1.3. Tertiary endpoint(s)	Old wording: Response to sorafenib treatment, as assessed by investigator using mRECIST New wording: Response to sorafenib treatment, as assessed by investigator according to local standard		
9.2.4 Withdrawal	Old wording: Each patient can refuse to further participate or may withdraw from the study at any time and without giving a reason. In case the patient does not agree, these data will not be used for any patient level analysis of study data. This includes safety data, with the exception that data already captured in the company's safety		



database, which will be kept. However, data that are relevant for primary outcomes might be displayed on an aggregated level to assess a potential bias.

In case a patient would like to withdraw the consent given earlier, he/she should inform his/her doctor and the site should document the withdrawal in the CRF as well as in the patient's medical records

New wording:

Each patient may withdraw from the study at any time and without giving a reason.

In case the patient does not agree, his/her data will be deleted from the study database and will not be used for any study-related analysis.

In case a patient would like to withdraw the consent given earlier, he/she should inform his/her doctor and the site should document the withdrawal and the extent of withdrawal in the eCRF as well as in the patient's medical records.

9.2.7 Visits

Table 3 updated:

Lines deleted:

Variables	Baseline / Initial visit*	Follow- up visit(s)	End of observation / final visit
Prior local anti-cancer therapy	X		
Survival assessment			X

Lines and column added:

Variables	Baseline / Initial visit*	Follow- up visit(s)	Survival Assessment [§]	End of observation / final visit
HCC assessment under sorafenib	X			
Prior and concomitant non-systemic anti-cancer therapy	X	X		
Prior and concomitant radiotherapy	X	X		
Information collection date			X^{\S}	
Survival status			X§	
Tumor evaluation (post regorafenib)			X§	



	Anti-cancer therapy (post regorafenib)				
	§ Survival assessment from > 30d after discontinuation of regorafenib until e of observation				
9.3.3.6 Prior and concomitant medication	Old wording: • Treatment for HCC • Treatments for indications other than HCC New wording: • Treatment for HCC (all available information from initial diagnosis of HCC should be documented) • Treatments for indications other than HCC (such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus or renal disease). All available information within at least 12 months prior to enrollment should be documented if considered relevant for the physician.				
9.7.4 Analysis of secondary outcome(s)	Old wording: Investigator-assessed data, preferably per mRECIST according to local standard, will be used to evaluate the tumor response and radiological progression. Kaplan—Meier (KM) estimates and KM curves will be presented for OS, PFS, and TTP (as appropriate). Radiologically or clinically documented progression of tumor will be considered as disease progression. Subgroup analyses stratified with prognostic/predictive factors collected at baseline as mentioned above may be explored.				
	The following section was deleted as it is a repetition of section 9.1.2: OS is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the date of death, due to any reason. Patients alive or lost to follow-up at the time of analysis will be censored at their last date of follow-up. PFS is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the date of first observed disease progression (radiological or clinical, whichever is earlier) or death due to any cause, if death occurs before progression is documented. TTP is defined as the time (days) from the start of regorafenib treatment to the first documented disease progression. ORR will be defined for all patients using tumor assessment criteria,				
	including mRECIST. New wording: Investigator-assessed data according to local standard will be used to evaluate the tumor response and radiological progression. Kaplan—Meier (KM) estimates (including number of failed, number censored, 25th and 75th percentiles with respective 95% CI and median with 95%CI) and KM curves will be presented for OS, PFS, and TTP (as appropriate). Radiologically or clinically documented progression of tumor will be considered as disease progression. Estimates and exact				



	binomial 95% confidence intervals will be calculated for best overall response categories CR, PR, SD, PD by radiographic imaging only or by clinical judgment. Subgroup analyses stratified with prognostic/predictive factors collected at baseline as mentioned above may be explored.
9.8.2 Quality review	Old wording: Interviews should start when 20% of sites have started enrolment. Data from a minimum of 125 patients, covering 1000 data items (such as date of birth, height, weight) or data item groups (such as concomitant diseases, adverse events), will be reviewed independent of the overall number of data items collected in the study.
	New wording: Interviews should start when approximately 20% of sites have started enrolment or when 50% of the enrollment period has passed (whatever comes first).
	Data from a minimum of 125 patients, covering at least 1000 data items (such as date of birth, height, weight) or data item groups (such as concomitant diseases, adverse events), will be reviewed independent of the overall number of data items collected in the study.
Annex 1: List of stand-alone documents	Table 4 was updated: 19244_REFINE_Country & Site list and 19244_REFINE_Investigator list replaced by 19244_REFINE_Study_Countries_DetailedReport: v1.0, 25 APR 2018 Deleted: 19244_REFINE_Steering Committee Members (contained in Charter) Added: EDC System Validation Report v1.0, 12 OCT 2017
	Version and date added: 19244_REFINE_Steering Committee Charter: v1.0, 24 Apr 2018 19244_REFINE_CRF: v6.2, 24 APR 2018 EDC System Specification (user requirement list): v2.2, 08 FEB 2018 EDC System Validation Plan: v2.0, 05 OCT 2017 EDC System Validation Report: v1.0, 12 OCT 2017 19244_REFINE_DMP: v2.0, 03 NOV 2017 19244_REFINE_QRP: v1.0, 27 APR 2018 19244_REFINE_MRP: v0.3, 24 APR 2018
Annex 5: Signature pages	Signature pages updated Signature page added for Real World Evidence (RWE) responsible



Update 02; 27 NOV 2018

Protocol section	Description		
3. Responsible parties 9.3.3.7 Laboratory parameters	Laboratory parameters to be documented include (if available):		
	 Date of test Platelets Hemoglobin Erythrocytes Hematocrit Leukocytes International normalized ratio (INR) Alanine transaminase (ALT) Aspartate transaminase (AST) Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) Sodium Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) Alpha fetoprotein (AFP) and AFP L3 C-reactive protein (CRP) Gamma-glutamyl-transferase (GGT) Creatinine Creatinine elearance (CrCl Cholinesterase (ChE) Total bilirubin Albumin Des-Gamma-Carboxy Prothrombin (DCP)/ protein induced by vitamin K absence/antagonist II (PIVKA-II) 		



Annex 5: Signature pages



Signature Page – OS Conduct Responsible

Title	REFINE: Regorafenib observational study in hepatocellular carcinoma
Protocol version identifier	v 2.1
Date of last version of protocol	27 NOV 2018
IMPACT study number	19244
Study type/Study phase	
EU PAS register number	EUPAS20981
Medicinal product / Active substance	STIVARGA®, regorafenib
Study Initiator and Funder	Bayer AG
Reference therapy	N/A
The undersigned confirms that s/he described in the protocol.	agrees that the study will be conducted under the conditions
Print Name:	
Date, Signature: 2 Dec 2016	



Signature Page – OS Medical Expert

Title	REFINE: Regorafenib observational study in hepatocellula carcinoma		
Protocol version identifier	v 2.1		
Date of last version of protocol	27 NOV 2018		
IMPACT study number	19244		
Study type/Study phase			
EU PAS register number	EUPAS20981		
Medicinal product / Active substance	STIVARGA®, regorafenib		
Study Initiator and Funder	Bayer AG		
Reference therapy	N/A		

The undersigned confirms that s/he agrees that the study will be conducted under the conditions described in the protocol.

Print Name:			
Date, Signatur	e:10 Dec2018		

