Proprietary confidential information © 2023 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

1. ABSTRACT

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished med product: Jardiance	icinal		
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz			
A10BD20 Empaglifloz			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
Title of study:	assess the risk of disease, severe diabetic ketoac	ion safety study in patients with type of acute liver injury, acute kidney inju complications of urinary tract infection idosis among patients treated with em- eated with DPP-4 inhibitors	ry and chronic kidney on, genital infections, and
Keywords:	Empagliflozin, DPP-4 inhibitor, liver injury, kidney injury, severe complications of urinary tract infection, genital infection, diabetic ketoacidosis		
Rationale and background:			Surope in May 2014 for aprove glycaemic control as the predominant tion of glucose from the iflozin improves renal glucose ment plan, Boehringer nduct a post- er safety [acute liver ency of serious hepatic v injury (AKI) and to its mechanism of omplications of urinary rationale for looking at mpagliflozin; the access glucose excretion glycaemia and T2D-

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished med product: Jardiance	icinal		
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz	in		
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	ketoacidosis (D inhibitors for T	C of interest, the study also assessed the DKA) due to DKA events occurring in 2D; a number of these events have be t as high as expected or even in the normalized or even in	patients taking SGLT2 en atypical, i.e., blood
Research question and objectives:	To estimate, among patients with T2D, the risk of ALI, the risk of AKI, the risk of CKD, the risk of severe complications of UTI, the risk of GI, and the risk of DKA among patients treated with empagliflozin compared with patients treated with DPP-4 inhibitors.		, the risk of GI, and the
Study design:	This was a non-interventional observational cohort study using existing data from routine medical care in the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) in the United Kingdom (UK), the Danish Population Registries (Danish Registries) in Denmark, and the HealthCore Integrated Research Database (HIRD [®]) in the United States (US). The study used a new-user design and compared initiators of empagliflozin with initiators of DPP-4 inhibitors. Propensity scores, based on information before or at the index date, were used to account for potential confounding. The index date was defined as the date on which each identified initiator received the index prescription for empagliflozin or a DPP-4 inhibitor.		
Setting:	 The study was performed in CPRD in the UK (both the General Practitioner Online Database [GOLD] and Aurum). For evaluation of the rarest outcomes (i.e., ALI, AKI, and DKA) the study was also performed in the Danish Registries in Denmark and in HIRD in the US. The study period started on 01 August 2014, the date of empagliflozin launch in the UK, US, and Denmark. The study period end date was 01 August 2019 (31 July 2019 in HIRD). All data sources completed data extraction for the full study period. 		on of the rarest outcomes med in the Danish e of empagliflozin launch date was 01 August 2019
Subjects and study size, including dropouts:	treatment with	lation included all eligible patients w empagliflozin or with a DPP-4 inhibi patients were included if they were a	tor during the study

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empagliflozi	in		
A10BD20 Empagliflozi	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	date in the data		
	least 1 other g prescri	nember of the empagliflozin-exposed prescription/dispensing for empaglifle lucose-lowering drugs (GLDs), and n ption/dispensing of empagliflozin, oth inhibitors during the 12 months before	ozin, with or without o prior ner SGLT2 inhibitors, or
	have at withou inhibito	nember of the population exposed to a least 1 prescription/dispensing for a l t other GLDs, and no prior prescription or, empagliflozin, or other SGLT2 inhors the before or on the index date.	DPP-4 inhibitor, with or on/dispensing of a DPP-4
	Initiators of the study drugs were subclassified based on whether they we (1) switching from monotherapy with another GLD to monotherapy with study drug, (2) switching from dual or triple therapy with another GLD t dual or triple therapy with a study drug and other GLDs, (3) adding a stu drug to therapy with 1 or 2 other GLDs to become patients on dual or trip therapy or (4) naive to GLD treatment and starting the study drug as first therapy. Treatment complexity was considered in the analysis. Prior or current use of insulin was allowed, and an additional stratified analysis b insulin use was done.		to monotherapy with a with another GLD to Ds, (3) adding a study atients on dual or triple he study drug as first-line e analysis. Prior or
	Only patients with T2D were included in the study. Patients with type 1 diabetes (T1D) were excluded. Algorithms to identify T2D and T1D we adapted to the type and availability of data in each data source. Differen exclusion criteria were applied according to each outcome of interest (e.g., patients with CKD were excluded from the analysis of AKI), whic resulted in different outcome-specific populations (see Section 9.3.8).		y T2D and T1D were ata source. Different come of interest lysis of AKI), which
Follow-up start outcome, contin data, the date d		ted the day after the index date and, for nued until the occurrence of the study uring follow-up on which specific exe the first continuous treatment episode	outcome, end of study clusion criteria were met,

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz	in		
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	the end of the l on which a new other SGLT2 in		n analyses), or the date
Variables and data	Exposures (ind		
sources:	• Empag	liflozin (including fixed-dose combin	ation with metformin)
		inhibitors: sitagliptin, saxagliptin, lin tin (and fixed-dose combinations of th min)	
	Fixed-dose con not included in	mbinations of SGLT2 inhibitors with DPP-4 inhibitors were n the study.	
	Current use of the index drugs was defined from the date of prescription/dispensing of empagliflozin or a DPP-4 inhibitor to the end of days' supply for that prescription, plus a period of 30 days. Recent use was defined from the end of current use (30 days after end of supply) through 90 days later (i.e., 120 days after end of supply). End of days' supply was estimated according to prescription instructions in CPRD or based on available information on the duration of dispensings (e.g., number of packages bought, strength, and number of pills) in Danish Registries and HIRD.		
	Outcomes:		
	DKA (hospitalisation or emergency department [ED] visit)—primary outcome, in all data sources		
	• ALI in patients without predisposing conditions (hospitalisation, visit, or specialist visit)—primary outcome, in all data sources (ALI1)		
	 ALI in patients with and without predisposing conditions (hospitalisation, ED visit, or specialist visit)—secondary outcome, i all data sources (ALI2) 		

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz	zin		
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	zin/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	in all d	ospitalisation, ED visit, or specialist ata sources	
	• Severe	inpatient and outpatient)—secondary complications of UTI (inpatient and ne, only in CPRD	•
	• GI (inp	patient and outpatient)-primary outco	ome, only in CPRD
		GI (hospitalisation or ED visit or tha ent)—secondary outcome, only in CP	
	described in thi	ion studies based on secondary use of is report, do not require the reporting ividual case safety reports.	
	Sensitivity anal	lysis outcomes:	
	primary care ev	lyses (ALI1S and AKIS) were perform vents of ALI and AKI to the ALI and were performed only in CPRD and H able.	AKI primary outcomes.
	Validation:		
	Validation of events identified by the algorithms was implemented for all outcomes in the 3 data sources. In the Danish Registries, validation was conducted for 80% to 90% of all events identified using the available electronic laboratory results. In CPRD and HIRD, a random sample of up 200 events of each outcome were targeted for validation. If the number of events identified for any of the outcomes was 200 or fewer, all events for which further information could be obtained were validated for those outcomes. Otherwise, a random sample of events was selected. Events identified in CPRD and Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) were validated through questionnaires sent to general practitioners (GPs), complemented with available laboratory results. Events identified in HIRD were validated through medical record data abstraction.		ries, validation was sing the available random sample of up to tion. If the number of fewer, all events for alidated for those as selected. Events HES) were validated (GPs), complemented

Page 6 of 14 c40420600-01

Name of company:				
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH				
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance				
Synjardy				
Name of active ing A10BK03 Empaglif	flozin			
A10BD20 Empaglif	flozin/metformin			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:	
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA	
	Data sources:			
	evaluation of the Registries in De CPRD contains part of their rou (01 August 201 patients in CPR time, CPRD wa with research-q practices in CP 8.4 million pati Detailed inform prescribed dose diagnostic and hospitals, and o	 The data sources included in the study were CPRD in the UK, and for the evaluation of the rarest outcomes, i.e., ALI, AKI and DKA, also the Danish Registries in Denmark and HIRD in the US. CPRD contains diagnostic and prescribing information recorded by GPs as part of their routine clinical practice in the UK. At the end of the study period (01 August 2019), the data source contained data for over 17.5 million patients in CPRD GOLD and 23.8 million patients in CPRD Aurum. At that time, CPRD was representative of the UK population in terms of age and sex, with research-quality data from 840 UK practices in CPRD GOLD and 895 practices in CPRD Aurum; 2.9 million patients in CPRD GOLD and 8.4 million patients in CPRD Aurum were active [R22-2437, R22-2438]. Detailed information on prescriptions written by the GPs, including prescribed dose and duration, is routinely recorded in CPRD. Additional diagnostic and treatment information can be found in letters from specialists, 		
	coverage to all2424]). The regRegister, whichand on specialisNational Healthreimbursementpharmacies andThe national hethrough the unidiagnosis data aRegister of Labtest results fromHIRD contains	The Danish Registries . The Danish healthcare system provides universal coverage to all Danish residents (5.9 million inhabitants [R20-2510, R22-2424]). The registries used in the study were the Danish National Patient Register, which includes data on all hospital admissions since 1 January 1977 and on specialist outpatient hospital clinic and ED visits since 1995, and the National Health Services Prescription Database, which encompasses the reimbursement records of all reimbursed drugs sold in community pharmacies and hospital-based outpatient pharmacies in Denmark since 2004 The national health registries can be linked to all other national databases through the unique Civil Personal Registration Number. No primary care diagnosis data are available for research purposes, but the new nationwide Register of Laboratory Results for Research (LAB_F) tracks all laboratory test results from both primary and secondary care.		

Page 7 of 14 c40420600-01

Name of company:				
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH				
Name of finished m product: Jardiance	edicinal			
Synjardy			-	
Name of active ingr A10BK03 Empaglif	ozin			
A10BD20 Empaglif	ozin/metformin			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:	
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA	
Populta	US [R22-3646]. Member enrolment, medical claims), outpatient prescription drug use, of (available for ~30% of the patients), and he for health plan members dating back to Ja 50% of members, data in HIRD can be line medical records (source records for validation).		laboratory test result data utilisation may be tracked 6. For approximately atient and outpatient	
Results:	trimming, 76,1 inhibitors were populations and patients include with the exclus predisposing co patients (71%-4 therapy, and main inhibitors were treatment patter use of insulin, y initiators than i exposure was s of DPP-4 inhib	ng all common inclusion and exclusion criteria, and before 6,174 initiators of empagliflozin and 257,406 initiators of DPP-4 ere included in the DKA populations (which were the largest and had no outcome-specific exclusion criteria). The number of uded in the other outcome-specific populations were smaller, usion criteria for ALI1 (acute liver injury in patients with no conditions [primary outcome]) being the most restrictive. Most %-87%) initiated empagliflozin and DPP-4 inhibitors as add-on more than 70% of the initiators of empagliflozin and of DPP-4 ere also users of metformin. The most relevant difference in tterns observed between the 2 exposure cohorts was concomitant n, which was consistently more frequent in empagliflozin n in DPP-4 inhibitor initiators in all data sources. Duration of s shorter among initiators of empagliflozin than among initiators nibitors.		
	adjustment sho of DPP-4 inhib empagliflozin o years in CPRD Registries, and DPP-4 inhibito 58.1 (12.0) yea (13.1) years in	tracteristics before trimming and before propensity score showed that empagliflozin initiators were younger than initiators inibitors. In the populations used to evaluate DKA, among the in cohort, the mean (standard deviation [SD]) age was 57.8 (10.9) RD, 55.4 (9.9) years in HIRD, 60.8 (11.5) years in Danish nd 57.2 (10.8) years in the pooled DKA population. Among the itors cohort, the mean (SD) age was 65.5 (13.2) years in CPRD, vears in HIRD, 65.8 (12.9) years in Danish Registries, and 62.1 in the pooled DKA population. A similar age distribution was other outcome-specific populations. Approximately 60% were		

Page 8 of 14 c40420600-01

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medi product: Jardiance	icinal		
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred	in		
A10BD20 Empagliflozi	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	sources. Patient those in the DP patients on DPI empagliflozin i average pretrea empagliflozin t with poor diabe was higher in th CPRD and Dan complications v "other diabetes empagliflozin c Danish Registri medications wa When evaluatin score curves wa solved by strati than 3 GLDs an balance in the c The number of empagliflozin c outcomes, more The validation response for GI medical records predictive valua	pooled DKA population) in both coh ts in the empagliflozin cohort were me P-4 inhibitors cohort. There was a hig P-4 inhibitors in the initial years and a nitiators in the later years of the study tment HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin han DPP-4 inhibitor initiators, and the etes control, i.e., HbA1c > 74.9 mmol. he empagliflozin cohort than in the DP ish Registries. The proportions of pat were similar between cohorts in all da complications," which were more fre- cohort than in the DPP-4 inhibitors co- ies. The distribution of other baseline as similar between exposure cohorts in an propensity score distributions, non- ere observed in Danish Registries. No fying the Danish study population int and patients with 3 or more GLDs. After listribution of all variables was achieve events identified was the lowest for A cohort), followed by DKA, ALI2, and e than 1,000 events were identified. results had several limitations. These P questionnaires in CPRD and the low is in HIRD, which impacted the precisis e (PPVs) for some outcomes in those , 64,599 initiators of empagliflozin an rs were included in the DKA pooled p among initiators of empagliflozin (adj	ore frequently obese than gher proportion of a higher proportion of y in all data sources. The) was higher in e proportion of patients /mol or HbA1c > 9.0%, PP-4 inhibitors cohort in tients with diabetes ta sources, except for equent in the hort in HIRD and in comorbidities and n all data sources. -overlapping propensity on-comparability was o patients with fewer er trimming, a good yed in all data sources. ALI1 (< 70 in the AKI. For other related mainly to the low y retrieval rates of ion of the positive 2 data sources. ad 203,315 initiators of population. DKA was

Page 9 of 14 c40420600-01

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	those using 3 or more GLDs in Danish Registries, amo IR was < 0.2 per 1,000 person-years. Initiators of empa approximately 2-fold increased risk of DKA compared DPP-4 inhibitors (pooled adjusted incidence rate ratio 1.74-2.76). Results for DKA were consistent in all data increased IRRs with empagliflozin use, except among patients using 3 or more GLDs in Danish Registries, al on a low number of DKA events and led to very impre- were compatible with a range of risk from an 80% deer a 100% increased risk of DKA.		apagliflozin had an ed with initiators of o [IRR], 2.19; 95% CI, ata sources, with clearly g the small subset of although this was based recise estimates that ecreased risk of DKA to
	DPP-4 inhibitor number after tri After trimming DPP-4 inhibitor injury events w IR per 1,000 per ALI2) than amore person-years, ra on the point est was observed a DPP 4 inhibitor ALI2 (pooled a precision of the ALI2 were gen	based risk of DKA. ning, 56,939 initiators of empagliflozin and 194,488 initiators of tors were included in the ALI1 pooled population, and the trimming cannot be reported due to small cell count policies. ¹ ng, 69,917 initiators of empagliflozin and 197,172 initiators of tors were included in the ALI2 pooled population. Acute liver were less common among initiators of empagliflozin (adjusted person-years, range, 0.02 to 1.21 for ALI1 and 1.23 to 4.19 for mong initiators of DPP-4 inhibitors (adjusted IR per 1,000 , range, 0.09 to 1.41 for ALI1 and 1.86 to 5.47 for ALI2). Based estimates of the adjusted IRR, a decreased risk of liver outcomest 1 among initiators of empagliflozin compared with initiators of tors—ALI1 (pooled adjusted IRR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.50-1.19) and 1 adjusted IRR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.56-0.88). However, the the estimates was low, especially for ALI1. Results for ALI1 and enerally similar and consistent across all data sources and in bot lation subsets. When including outpatient events (ALI1S), ALI	

¹ The number of patients included after trimming in the ALI1 pooled population cannot be reported since the number of events in Danish Registries was 1 to 4, and the total cannot be given to avoid back-calculation of values due to the Danish small cell count policy.

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz			
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
number:11 Nov 20221245.96became slightly1.22 to 8.59), bempagliflozin, aof DPP-4 inhibiresults availableelevated liver enpatients in eachpercentage wasempagliflozin cAfter trimming,DPP-4 inhibitorinjury events wIR per 1,000 peDPP-4 inhibitorA decreased riscompared with95% CI, 0.41-0IRs increased slthe decreased ripersisted.After trimming,DPP-4 inhibitordisease was evaof empaglifloziinitiators of DPleading to a 479among initiatorinhibitors.After trimming,DPP-4 inhibitor		y more frequent (adjusted IR per 1,000 out its incidence was still lower among and the observed decreased risk when itors persisted. Among patients with r e, in all data sources and irrespective nzymes during follow-up were report a exposure cohort. In all data sources, higher in the DPP-4 inhibitors cohor cohort. 5, 58,393 initiators of empagliflozin ar rs were included in the AKI pooled po- vere less common among initiators of erson-years, range, 2.98 to 10.84) than rs (adjusted IR per 1,000 person-years ek of AKI was observed among initiat initiators of DPP-4 inhibitors (pooled 0.73). When including outpatient even lightly (an increase of less than 1 per isk when compared with initiators of rs (adjusted IR, 10 per 1,000 person-y erson-years) for (adjusted IR, 10 per 1,000 person-years) for (adjusted IR, 10 per 1,000	g initiators of compared with initiators elevant laboratory of ALI diagnosis, ed for less than 2% of however, that t than in the ad 167,823 initiators of opulation. Acute kidney empagliflozin (adjusted a among initiators of s, range, 5.30 to 15.98). ors of empagliflozin adjusted IRR, 0.54; ts (AKIS), the adjusted 1,000 person-years), and DPP-4 inhibitors ad 62,435 initiators of CPRD. Chronic kidney equent among initiators ears) than among 000 person-years), 95% CI, 0.43-0.65) tiators of DPP-4

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz	in		
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	than among init person-years), I of empagliflozi 0.51; 95% CI, (Genital infection severe GI in ma- evaluated only as severe due to antifungals or a in all cases. Affi- initiators of DP population, and DPP-4 inhibitor infections were initiators of em GIM; 43.35, GI DPP-4 inhibitor GIMH; 24.58, Gand severe GI w initiators of DP GIM; 3.24 (95% males and fema- inhibitors was s- and females. Results were co- subgroups, and Registries, whio IRRs. In sensiti	s of empagliflozin (adjusted IR, 3.32 tiators of DPP-4 inhibitors (adjusted I leading to a 49% decreased risk of sev n compared with initiators of DPP-4 i 0.37-0.72). ons in males and females (GIM and Gi ales and females (GIMH and GIFH, re- in CPRD. The majority of the severe to the criterion of receiving systemic tr antibiotics. These were not necessarily the trimming, 8,272 initiators of empa P-4 inhibitors were included in the G 15,802 initiators of empagliflozin and rs were included in the GIF and GIFH more frequent among females than a pagliflozin (adjusted IR per 1,000 per IMH; 79.65, GIF; and 58.82, GIFH) th rs (adjusted IR per 1,000 person-years GIF; and 17.48, GIFH). A 3-fold to 4- was observed among initiators of emp P 4 inhibitors—adjusted IRR, 4.04 (9 % CI, 2.81-3.74) for GIF; 4.04 (95% C CI, 2.83-3.95) for GIFH. The increased les initiating empagliflozin compared similar to that observed for the GI print possistent across subgroups and sensiti- lyses included a low number of events che led to low precision of effect estim- vity analyses, the results when using and when evaluating recent use tended	R, 6.47 per 1,000 vere UTI among initiators inhibitors (adjusted IRR, IF, respectively) and espectively) were GI events were classified reatment with oral v clinically severe events gliflozin and 45,683 IM and GIMH . 31,940 initiators of I population. Genital mong males and among rson-years, 47.23 for han among initiators of s, 11.70 for GIM; 10.72, fold increased risk of GI agliflozin compared with 5% CI, 3.46-4.71) for CI, 3.44-4.75) for GIMH; e in risk of severe GI in I with initiators of DPP-4 mary outcome in males vity analyses. For several s, especially in Danish ates or non-estimable an intention-to-treat

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance			
Synjardy			
Name of active ingred A10BK03 Empaglifloz	in		
A10BD20 Empaglifloz	in/metformin		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	events identifie In HIRD, and f with a high deg different for the the results of th Results from th generally in lin coincided with events for some analysis using I had results gene exceptions that analysis (i.e., A	limitations of the validation process a d for the rare outcomes, the PPVs were or the ALI outcomes, the PPVs were gree of uncertainty around the point es e empagliflozin and DPP-4 inhibitor of the sensitivity analyses based on those e sensitivity analyses including only of e with the main results, with occasion extremely wide CIs due to the small re- e outcomes (i.e., ALI1 and AKI in HII PPVs to correct for potential outcome erally in line with the main analysis re- coincided with low precision of the e- LI1, ALI1S, and ALI2 in HIRD).	re in general imprecise. especially imprecise, stimates. They were very cohorts. This impacted PPVs. confirmed events were al exceptions that number of confirmed RD). The sensitivity misclassification also esults, with occasional estimate in the sensitivity
Discussion:	biases. Althoug design sought t comparator dru and variables as balancing expo the potential im confounders, th year, and the ef Results from th any analysis an models did not curves. Propensity scor residual confou especially for th	The study results need to be interpreted considering potential limitations and biases. Although channelling bias cannot be completely discarded, the study lesign sought to avoid it by using a new-user design with an active comparator drug (DPP-4 inhibitors), by including all potential confounders and variables associated with the outcome in the propensity score models; by palancing exposure cohorts in all baseline characteristics; and by analysing the potential impact of calendar year in the distribution of baseline confounders, the association of the exposure with the outcome by calendar year, and the effect of calendar year in several propensity score models. Results from this study showed that there was no impact of calendar year in any analysis and that including an interaction term for calendar year in the models did not improve the overlapping of the propensity score distribution	

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal product: Jardiance Synjardy			
Name of active ingredient: A10BK03 Empagliflozin			-
A10BD20 Empagliflozin/metformin			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
	unlikely to account for most of the decreased relative risk observed for severe complications of UTI or the increased relative risk observed for DKA. For the other outcomes (ALI1, ALI2, AKI, and CKD), the beneficial associations with empagliflozin observed for several of the current study outcomes are compatible with what has previously been described as being potentially due to the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on body weight, diuresis, blood pressure, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)—factors that potentially mediate in part the improvement in cardiorenal-metabolic disease outcomes among patients with T2D treated with empagliflozin [P20-02445]. Misclassification of exposure and outcome is a risk in all studies conducted using routinely collected data from automated population-based data sources. Regarding misclassification of exposure, some limitations in this study are shared with all such studies, but the study results did not change when sensitivity analyses assuming longer, alternative definitions of duration of exposure duration windows does not support exposure misclassification. Outcome misclassification was possible, and the validation process had severe limitations due to the low response rate of GP questionnaires and the unavailability of inpatient laboratory results in CPRD; the low number of events (and the low number of validated events) for some outcomes in all data sources, which impacted the precision of PPVs, especially for the rarest outcomes; and the unavailability of specific test results required for validation (e.g., ketosis measurements for the DKA outcome). Despite those limitations, in most of the sensitivity analyses performed on only validated events or corrected by the PPV, results were in line with the main analysis and with all other sensitivity analyses, with notable exceptions for the less common ALI1 outcome.		

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH			
Name of finished medicinal			
product:			
Jardiance			
Synjardy			-
Name of active ingredient: A10BK03 Empagliflozin			
A10BD20 Empagliflozin/metformin			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
11 Nov 2022	1245.96	1.0	NA
Marketing	some subgroup analyses. In conclusion, these results indicate that use of empagliflozin compared with the use of DPP-4 inhibitors is associated with an increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis (approximately 2-fold), and genital infections (approximately 4- fold), which are identified risks in the risk management plan and are considered as class effects for SGLT2 inhibitors. Results also indicate that use of empagliflozin compared with the use of DPP-4 inhibitors is associated with a decreased risk of acute liver injury among patients with and without predisposing conditions, decreased risk of acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease, and decreased risk of severe complications of urinary tract infection, all of which may potentially be explained by the beneficial metabolic effects of empagliflozin. The results were consistent across data sources and across all subgroup and sensitivity analyses, although some variations in the IRRs were observed, which were likely related to the small numbers of events in some analyses.		
Marketing Authorisation Holder(s):	Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH Binger Straße 173 55216 Ingelheim am Rhein Germany		
Names and affiliations of principal investigators:			