

Clinical Study Synopsis for Public Disclosure

This clinical study synopsis is provided in line with **Boehringer Ingelheim's** *Policy on Transparency and Publication of Clinical Study Data*.

The synopsis - which is part of the clinical study report - had been prepared in accordance with best practice and applicable legal and regulatory requirements at the time of study completion.

The synopsis may include approved and non-approved uses, doses, formulations, treatment regimens and/or age groups; it has not necessarily been submitted to regulatory authorities.

A synopsis is not intended to provide a comprehensive analysis of all data currently available regarding a particular drug. More current information regarding a drug is available in the approved labeling information which may vary from country to country..

Additional information on this study and the drug concerned may be provided upon request based on **Boehringer Ingelheim's Policy on Transparency and Publication of Clinical Study Data**.

The synopsis is supplied for informational purposes only in the interests of scientific disclosure. It must not be used for any commercial purposes and must not be distributed, published, modified, reused, posted in any way, or used for any other purpose without the express written permission of Boehringer Ingelheim.

Proprietary confidential information © 2019 Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH or one or more of its affiliated companies

1. ABSTRACT

| Name of company: | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Boehringer Ingelheim | | | |
| Name of finished med product: Pradaxa [®] | licinal | | |
| Name of active ingredient: B01AE07 - dabigatran etexilate | | | |
| Report date: | Study number: | Version/Revision: | Version/Revision date: |
| 18 Jan 2019 | 1160-0280 | 1.0 | Not applicable |
| Title of study: | Non-Interventional, cross-sectional study to describe health-related quality of life among controlled and uncontrolled patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation on anticoagulants. RE-QUOL study. | | |
| Keywords: | Health-related Quality of Life, Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation, Anticoagulation control, Time in Therapeutic Range, Direct oral anticoagulants, Vitamin K antagonists. | | |
| Rationale and background: | anticoagulants, Vitamin K antagonists. Atrial fibrillation (AF) affects 1-2% of general population, but specially persons between 80-85 years, in whom the prevalence can reach 16%. The first oral anticoagulants to prevent the risk of thromboembolic events in AF were the vitamin K antagonists (VKA). The management of these agents remains problematic because they need routine coagulation monitoring, clinical surveillance and continuous patient education, affecting to their daily habits and in consequence to their health-related quality of life (HRQoL). A most recent treatment called direct oral anticoagulants (DOAC) maintains the benefits of anticoagulant therapy and may increase perception of HRQoL among patients because they do not necessitate the strict monitoring required for VKA. On the other hand, maintaining a stable level of anticoagulation with DOAC prevents uncontrolled patients as well as the increased number of monitoring visits for this reason. Theoretically, this fact would have positive implications in HRQoL. However, the available evidence is inconsistent, with some studies reporting that patients have large decrements in their HRQoL due to AF, and, others suggesting that HRQoL might depend on other factors such as comorbidities or outcome events and not on AF <i>per se</i>. Importantly, there is a dearth of evidence regarding whether HRQoL perceptions of patients with AF on oral anticoagulants might be also affected by their anticoagulation control status. | | |
| Research question and objectives: | nonvalvular A treated with V status treated v The secondary | bjective of the present study was to F (NVAF) patients with uncontrolle KA, and of NVAF patients with co vith VKA or DOAC. v objective was to describe the de introlled NVAF patients. | ed anticoagulation status ontrolled anticoagulation |

| Study design: | This was an observational, multicentre and cross-sectional study conducted in Departments of Internal Medicine from 47 sites in Spain. |
|--|---|
| | The observational nature of the study was ensured as no diagnostic or therapeutic intervention outside of routine clinical practice was applied. The study was carried out in accordance with the requirements expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki as well as with current legislation in Spain concerning the conduct of observational studies (Ministerial Order SAS/3470/2009). |
| Setting: | This study was conducted in the Autonomous Communities of Madrid, Valencia and Andalucía (Spain). Forty-seven internal medicine specialists from 38 Hospital sites regularly prescribing DOACs and VKA for stroke prevention in NVAF according to the respective Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC) participated in the study. |
| | The study consisted of a single visit to the Departments of Internal Medicine coinciding with one of the visits performed by the patients as part of routine follow-up of their disease. Patients were included in the study after signing the informed consent and were also asked to complete the study questionnaire during the study visit. |
| | Between April 2017 and January 2018, 535 patients were enrolled. The first patient was registered on 18 th April 2017 and the last patient on 30 th January 2018. |
| Subjects and study size, including dropouts: | Every physician was required to enrol the first consecutive patients meeting each of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria. |
| | Inclusion criteria were: |
| | 1. The patient is willing and provides written informed consent to participate in this study. |
| | 2. The patient is at least 18 years of age. |
| | 3. The patient has a diagnosis of non-valvular atrial fibrillation. |
| | 4. The patient is on the same anticoagulant therapy (VKA or DOAC) during at least 6 months and maximum 2 years. |
| | 5. If treated with VKA, availability of %TTR in past analytical records or enough amount of INR measures to calculate it. |
| | Exclusion criteria were: |
| | 1. Current participation in any clinical trial of a drug or device |
| | 2. Contraindication to the use of DOAC or VKA as described in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC). |
| | A total of 535 patients were enrolled in the study. Thirty-four patients were excluded from the analysis (31 patients due to screening failures, and 3 patients due to not meeting inclusion criteria). Thus, 501 patients were included and analysed in this study. All 501 patients completed the single visit of this study. |
| | All the patients included in the study had NVAF and received anticoagulant treatment (VKA or DOAC) for at least 6 months and up to a maximum of 2 years. Patients treated with DOAC were classified as controlled. Patients treated with VKA were classified as controlled or uncontrolled group depending on the % Time in Therapeutic Range (TTR). |

| Variables and data sources: Primary variable: HRQoL based on the patients' ratings on the Sawicki questionnaire completed during the single study visit. This questionnaire includes 32 items grouped in 5 dimensions: general treatment satisfaction, self-efficacy (self-assessment of efficacy), strained social network, daily hassles and distress. High HRQoL preceptions were indicated by high scores in general treatment satisfaction and self-efficacy, and by low scores in strained social network, daily hassle and distress. Secondary variables: uncontrolled patient profile. This variable included demographic characteristics (age, gender, work status, marital status, etc), left ventricular ejection fraction, kidney function (creatinine clearance), CHA ₅ DS ₇ -VAS, HAS-BLED, history of thromboembolic and bleeding events, concomitant diseases, concomitant treatments, frequency of visits to the physician, AF diagnosis date, VKA treatment, and time since anticoagulant treatment initiation. Data collection was limited to those available in the medical records of selected patients and the HRQoL questionnaire, within the routine clinical practice and without interference with the physician's prescription habits. Statistical Methods To describe the quality of life among patients controlled and uncontrolled: the scores of each individual question in the Sawicki questionnaire was calculated for each patient and summarized in the same way as the individual questions. To describe the profile of uncontrolled patients treated with vitamin K antagorists: The specified variables assessed were summarized descriptively. The quantitative variables were described with centralisation and dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Q1 (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute an | X7 11 11 | | | 4 0 11 |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-------|--------|
| Statistical MethodsTo describe the quality of life among patients controlled and uncontrolled: the scores of each individual question in the Sawicki questionnaire were summarized descriptively in the controlled and uncontrolled patients. In addition, the summary score for each dimension of the questionnaire was calculated for each patient and summarized in the same way as the individual questions. To describe the profile of uncontrolled patients treated with vitamin K antagonists: The specified variables assessed were summarized descriptively. The quantitative variables were described with centralisation and dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Ql (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total percentage (%) which was the percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentages (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were presented. Missing data were not imputed.Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the mean values (± SD) for the 5 dimensions were: Dimensions of the Sawicki QuestionnaireUncontrolled Mean (SD) Mean (SD) General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3) Self-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0)Distress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | Variables and data sources: | questionnaire completed during the single study visit. This questionnaire includes 32 items grouped in 5 dimensions: general treatment satisfaction, self-efficacy (self-assessment of efficacy), strained social network, daily hassles and distress. High HRQoL perceptions were indicated by high scores in general treatment satisfaction and self-efficacy, and by low scores in strained social network, daily hassle and distress. Secondary variables: uncontrolled patient profile. This variable included demographic characteristics (age, gender, work status, marital status, etc), left ventricular ejection fraction, kidney function (creatinine clearance), CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc, HAS-BLED, history of thromboembolic and bleeding events, concomitant diseases, concomitant treatments, frequency of visits to the physician, AF diagnosis date, VKA treatment, and time since anticoagulant treatment initiation. Data collection was limited to those available in the medical records of selected patients and the HRQoL questionnaire, within the routine clinical | | |
| the scores of each individual question in the Sawicki questionnaire were summarized descriptively in the controlled and uncontrolled patients. In addition, the summary score for each dimension of the questionnaire was calculated for each patient and summarized in the same way as the individual questions.To describe the profile of uncontrolled patients treated with vitamin K antagonists: The specified variables assessed were summarized descriptively.The quantitative variables were described with centralisation and dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Q1 (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentages were calculated: the total percentage (%) which was the percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentage (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were presented. Missing data were not imputed.Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the mean values (± SD) for the 5 dimensions were:Dimensions of the Sawicki questionnaireControlled Mean (SD) Mean (SD)General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3) Self-efficacySelf-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0) DistressDistress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | Statistical Mathedr | | | |
| antagonists: The specified variables assessed were summarized descriptively.The quantitative variables were described with centralisation and dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Q1 (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentages were calculated: the total percentage (%) which was the percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentages (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were presented. Missing data were not imputed.Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the mean values (± SD) for the 5 dimensions were:Dimensions of the Sawicki questionnaireControlled Mean (SD) Mean (SD) General treatment satisfactionGeneral treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3) Self-efficacySelf-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.9 (1.1) | Statistical Methods | the scores of each individual question in the Sawicki questionnaire were summarized descriptively in the controlled and uncontrolled patients. In addition, the summary score for each dimension of the questionnaire was calculated for each patient and summarized in the same way as the | | |
| dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Q1 (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentages were calculated: the total percentage (%) which was the percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentages (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were not imputed.Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the | | antagonists: The specified variables assessed were summarized | | |
| frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentages were calculated: the total percentage (%) which was the percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentages (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were presented. Missing data were not imputed.Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the mean values (± SD) for the 5 dimensions were:Dimensions of the Sawicki questionnaireControlled Mean (SD)General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3)Self-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0)Distress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | | dispersion measures, including: N, mean, median, SD (standard deviation), Q1 (first quartile), Q3 (third quartile), minimum and maximum. The qualitative variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. In the descriptive analysis of the qualitative variables two percentages were calculated: the total percentage (%) which was the percentage of the sum of valid responses plus missing values, and the valid percentage (% valid) which was the percentage of the total valid responses. The valid percentages (% valid) of the qualitative variables have been reported unless otherwise specified. All possible categories of the qualitative variables were presented. | | |
| Results:Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the mean values (± SD) for the 5 dimensions were:Dimensions of the Sawicki questionnaireControlled Mean (SD)Uncontrolled Mean (SD)General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3)Self-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0)Distress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | | | | |
| Dimensions of the Sawicki questionnaireControlled Mean (SD)Uncontrolled Mean (SD)General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3)Self-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0)Distress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | Results: | Analysis of the responses to the HRQoL questionnaire showed that the | | |
| questionnaireMean (SD)Mean (SD)General treatment satisfaction4.9 (1.0)3.6 (1.3)Self-efficacy4.3 (1.0)3.6 (1.0)Distress3.1 (0.9)3.9 (1.1) | | | | 1 |
| General treatment satisfaction 4.9 (1.0) 3.6 (1.3) Self-efficacy 4.3 (1.0) 3.6 (1.0) Distress 3.1 (0.9) 3.9 (1.1) | | questionnaire | | |
| Self-efficacy 4.3 (1.0) 3.6 (1.0) Distress 3.1 (0.9) 3.9 (1.1) | | | | |
| Distress 3.1 (0.9) 3.9 (1.1) | | | × , | |
| | | - | · · · | |
| | | | | |
| Strained social network 1.8 (0.9) 2.6 (1.2) | | | | |

| | Data related to age, gender and race of controlled and uncontrolled patients is showed below: | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Controlled | Uncontrolled |
| | Age [years], Mean (SD) | | 79.3 (8.8) | 80.4 (8.7) |
| | | Female | 152 (46.1) | 95 (55.6) |
| | Gender [n (%)] | Male | 178 (53.9) | 76 (44.4) |
| | Race [n (%)] | Caucasian | 330 (100.0) | 171 (100.0) |
| | Data on work status of uncontrolled patients showed that the majority (73.7%) were retired. Marital status in these patients was distributed as follows: 46.2% married, 45.6% widowed, 4.7% single, and 3.5% divorced. Descriptive analysis of uncontrolled patients also showed that this group had a mean (\pm SD) weight of 76.5 (\pm 16.1) kg, height of 163.1 (\pm 8.8) cm, and BMI of 28.7 (\pm 5.4) kg/m ² . The mean (\pm SD) was 57.2 (\pm 26.6) ml/min for creatinine clearance, 56.1 (\pm 11.2) % for LVEF, 4.5 (\pm 1.4) for the CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score, and 3.6 (\pm 1.1) for the HAS-BLED score. The percentage of patients who had ever suffered a thromboembolic and haemorrhagic event was 35.1% and 14.6%, respectively. The mean (\pm SD) number of visits to the internal medicine specialist was 3.1 (\pm 1.9) per year. Almost all patients had at least one other disease recorded and a concomitant treatment recorded (98.8% and 97.1%, respectively). Mean (\pm SD) time since diagnosis was 2.5 (\pm 3.2) years, age at diagnosis was 77.3 | | | |
| | (± 8.7) years, and time s The most common typ permanent (56.1%), fol (13.5%). One serious adverse even dabigatran etexilate was n | e of NVAF lowed by par nt (upper gastr | among uncontrol oxysmal (29.8%) ointestinal haemo | led patients was), and persisting rrhage) related to |
| Discussion: | The study presented HRQoL perceptions of NVAF patients or anticoagulants, either controlled or uncontrolled, assessed by the validated Spanish adaptation of the Sawicki questionnaire, which included five different dimensions (general treatment satisfaction, self-efficacy, distress daily hassles and strained social network). From a descriptive point of view, controlled patients (treated with DOAC or VKA) had mean score closer to a high perceived HRQoL in the 5 dimensions of the questionnaire with remarkably high scores for general treatment satisfaction. These results suggest that TTR control might influence perceptions of HRQoI and highlight the importance of evaluate anticoagulation status, when assessing HRQoL. | | I by the validated ch included five efficacy, distress, scriptive point of had mean scores the questionnaire, ttisfaction. These ptions of HRQoL | |
| | An understanding of the factors that influence HRQoL is required in order to adapt economic evaluations to the characteristics of a specific patient population and assess the clinical and cost-effectiveness of different therapeutic alternatives. Our study provided a detailed description of the demographic and clinical profile of uncontrolled NVAF patients on anticoagulants, which should warrant further exploration of the extent to which particular demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients might influence perceptions of their HRQoL. | | | |

| Marketing | This study was initiated, managed, financed and sponsored by: | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Authorisation | Boehringer Ingelheim España, S.A | | |
| Holder(s): | C/ Prat de la Riba, 50 | | |
| | 08174 Sant Cugat del Vallès (Barcelona) | | |
| | MAH: | | |
| | Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH | | |
| | Binger Str. 173 | | |
| | D-55216 Ingelheim am Rhein | | |
| | Germany | | |
| Names and | Openal investigators Affiliations | | |
| affiliations of | Annatons | | |
| principal | | | |
| investigators: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Boehringer Ingelheim Non-interventional Study Report BI Study Number 1160-0280

c26547692-01

