1. ABSTRACT

NT 0			
Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim			
Name of finished med	icinal		
product: Dabigatran etexilate			
Name of active ingred	ient:		
Anatomical main group: I	B - Blood and		
blood forming organs The subgroup: B01 - Antithron			
Pharmacological subgroup Antithrombotic agents	p: B01A -		
Chemical subgroup: B01A thrombin inhibitors	AE - Direct		
Chemical substance: B01. Dabigatran etexilate.	AE07 -		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
13 Sep 2019	1160.253	Version 1.0	
Title of study:		onal study describing treatment of abigatran for Stroke Prophylaxis	•
Keywords:	SPAF; Dabiga	tran; Treatment convenience; Str	oke prophylaxis; NIS
Rationale and background:	Pradaxa® (Dabigatran etexilate) is a direct thrombin inhibitor approved in Europe, USA and many other countries worldwide for a number of indications including the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in adult patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation with one or more risk factors. Data on how patients perceive Pradaxa® treatment in the context of non-valvular atrial fibrillation and anticoagulation management do only exist to a limited degree in Europe.		
	anticoagulant	in clinical practice to either upor established vitamin K antagor commendations in the positioning	nists is based on the Health
	It is the aim of this non-interventional study to describe the patient perception of anticoagulation with a current VKA therapy and subsequent initiation of treatment with Pradaxa® to prevent stroke and systemic embolism while suffering from non-valvular atrial fibrillation (according to its approved indication in the approved dosages of 110 mg or 150 mg twice daily).		
Research question and objectives:	To describe patient and physician assessed factors for patient well-being when treated with Pradaxa® for stroke and embolism prevention in non-valvular atrial fibrillation compared to previous antithrombotic treatment (switcher). Primary research interest:		
	·	an interest: attients' perception of their trea	tment for NVAF using the
	10 describe pa	monto perception of their trea	anent for ivval using the

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim			
Name of finished medicinal			
product:			
Dabigatran etexilate			
Name of active ingredient: Anatomical main group: B - Blood and blood forming organs Therapeutic subgroup: B01 - Antithrombotic agents Pharmacological subgroup: B01A - Antithrombotic agents Chemical subgroup: B01AE - Direct thrombin inhibitors			
Chemical substance: B01 Dabigatran etexilate.	AE07 -		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
13 Sep 2019	1160.253	Version 1.0	
Study design:	(when a patient capture VKA to initiation of Proceedings of Procedure PKA to initiation of PKA to initiat	earch interest: In of patient population in Spain: graphic data (age, gender, compation). In patients assessed by the partial gelements assessed by the pating elements assessed by the pating	from VKA to Pradaxa®, to eximately one month of the ely 6 months (during the morbidities and concomitant physician to calculate the period. The hysician to calculate the line period. The calculation of the fit-Gault formula.
Study design:	based on newl NVAF who w and who gave Patients were n three time poin 1. After the ind 2. 30-45 days a	monitored for a period of six mor	olled patients in Spain with sequently started Pradaxa®, anths. Data were collected at eriod) axa® (initial period)

Name of company:				
Boehringer Ingelheim				
Name of finished medicinal				
product: Dabigatran etexilate				
Name of active ingredient: Anatomical main group: B - Blood and blood forming organs Therapeutic subgroup: B01 - Antithrombotic agents Pharmacological subgroup: B01A -				
Antithrombotic agents Chemical subgroup: B014 thrombin inhibitors	-			
Chemical substance: B01 Dabigatran etexilate.	AE07 -			
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:	
13 Sep 2019	1160.253	Version 1.0		
Setting:	It was initially planned to include 1087 patient from approximately 200 sites. At the end of the study, data of NVAF patients were collected from 73 cardiology and non-specialist sites in Spain in 4 autonomous communities (Andalucía, Cataluña, País Vasco, and Galicia).		atients were collected from Spain in 4 autonomous	
Subjects:	Inclusion crite Inclusion crite Crantin Patients Patients Results in mode Patients Patients Patients Patients Results in mode Exclusion crite Contrainthe Summary of Patients Stroke prophyl Particip	Patients who met all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria indicated below were considered eligible to take part in the study: Inclusion criteria 1. Granting informed consent in writing prior to enrolment. 2. Patients of both sexes ≥ 18 years of age with a diagnosis of NVAF. 3. Patients treated continuously with VKAs for stroke prophylaxis for at least six months prior to the baseline visit. 4. Patients switching to treatment with Pradaxa® in accordance with the recommendations of the competent health authorities described in the therapeutic positioning report for NOACs and the authorisations of the various autonomous communities. Exclusion criteria 1. Contraindications for the use of Pradaxa® or VKAs described in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC).		
Variables and data sources:	For primary of PACT-Q2 que		d and continuation period).	

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim			
Name of finished medicinal			
product:			
Dabigatran etexilate	• ,		
Name of active ingredient: Anatomical main group: B - Blood and blood forming organs Therapeutic subgroup: B01 - Antithrombotic agents			
Pharmacological subgrough Antithrombotic agents			
Chemical subgroup: B01A thrombin inhibitors			
Chemical substance: B01. Dabigatran etexilate.	AE07 -		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
13 Sep 2019	1160.253	Version 1.0	
	For secondary	y objectives:	
		on of patients according to:	
	- Age		
	- Gende		
		DS ₂ -VASc score	
		BLED score	
	·	y function (creatinine clearance)	
		actors associated with stroke and all history and baseline period	or haemorrhage in the
	- Como	rbidities	
	- Conco	mitant medication	
	- Durati	tion of previous treatment with VKAs	
	Pradax	ns for changing the dose of Prada xa®/VKAs	axa [®] or discontinuing
	- Pradax	α [®] dose	
	The study was based on new data collection. Questionnaires completed by the patients. Patient characteristics completed by physician's judgement and patient medical records.		
Statistical methods:	In this non-interventional study, cross-sectional data at study baseline and longitudinal follow-up data over 6 months was collected for non-valvular AF patients with a current VKA therapy and subsequent initiation of Pradaxa [®] .		
		alysis population consisted of aling all inclusion criteria and no e	
	with the score	Q2 scores at second and last a es at baseline using Wilcoxon so Q2 scores at last assessment were	signed-rank test. Likewise,

Name of company:			
Boehringer Ingelheim			
Name of finished med product: Dabigatran etexilate	icinal		
Name of active ingred Anatomical main group: I blood forming organs The subgroup: B01 - Antithro	B - Blood and erapeutic		
Pharmacological subgrou Antithrombotic agents	p: B01A -		
Chemical subgroup: B012 thrombin inhibitors	AE - Direct		
Chemical substance: B01 Dabigatran etexilate.	AE07 -		
Report date:	Study number:	Version/Revision:	Version/Revision date:
13 Sep 2019	1160.253	Version 1.0	
at second assessment. Due to the nature of this non-interventional sanalyses were descriptive in nature and p-values from statistical materials were used for explorative purposes.			• · · ·
		ographic and disease characte escriptively for all eligible patien	
	No interim ana	alysis was conducted for this stud	ly.

Results:

671 patients (planned number: 1087 patients) were enrolled in this study: 223 patients were enrolled in Andalucía, 229 patients in Cataluña, 88 patients in País Vasco, and 131 patients in Galicia.

Of the 671 patients recruited, 659 patients were considered eligible (that is, they received Pradaxa® and did not have any important protocol violations) for the analysis of the study objectives and could be used for the analysis.

The summary of patient's characteristics is presented below (data reported as number and percentage of patients n (%), except for quantitative variables in which mean±SD has been reported):

quantitative variables in which mean±SD has be	een reportea):
Socio-demographic data	
Gender	659 (100.0%)
Male	384 (58.3%)
Female	275 (41.7%)
Age (n, (mean±SD))	659, (73.12±9.39)
Age categories	659 (100.0%)
≤65 years	132 (20.0%)
>65 years	527 (80.0%)
Race	659 (100.0%)
Caucasian	638 (96.8%)
Latin American	19 (2.9%)
North African	2 (0.3%)
	2 (0.370)
Haemorrhagic risk and thromboembolic risk	(100 00 V)
Haemorrhagic risk (HAS-BLED)	659 (100.0%)
Low risk (score 0)	23 (3.5%)
Moderate risk (score 1-2)	435 (66.0%)
High Risk (score ≥3)	201 (30.5%)
Haemorrhagic risk (HAS-BLED) (mean±SD)	2.10 ± 0.98
Thromboembolic risk (CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc)	659 (100.0%)
Low risk (score 0 in male and 1 in female)	8 (1.2%)
Moderate risk (score 1 in male and 2 in female)	60 (9.1%)
High Risk (score ≥2 in male and ≥3 in female)	591 (89.7%)
Thromboembolic risk (CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc) (mean±SD)	3.60 ±1.56
Kidney function	
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	
(n, (mean±SD))	237 (0.94±0.31)
Creatinine clearance (ml/min)	
(Cockcroft-Gault)	643 (73.91±22.72)
$(n, (mean\pm SD))$	
Stages of kidney disease	643 (100.0%)
(based on Cockcroft-Gault)	0.0 (100.070)
No kidney failure (> 80 ml/min)	211 (32.8%)

Mild kidney failure (50-80 ml/min)	385 (59.9%)
Moderate kidney failure (30-49 ml/min)	44 (6.8%)
Severe kidney failure (15-29 ml/min)	3 (0.5%)
End-stage kidney failure/dialysis (< 15 ml/min)	0 (0.0%)
	. ,
History of stroke	659 (100.0%)
Yes	106 (16.1%)
No	553 (83.9%)
History of bleeding, anaemia or predisposition to bleeding	659 (100.0%)
Yes	54 (8.2%)
No	605 (91.8%)
Comorbidities	659 (100.0%)
Yes	425 (64.5%)
No	231 (35.1%)
Not assessed Type of most frequent diseases and/or surgeries (a patient might have specified ≥1 event)	3 (0.5%)
Arterial hypertension	351 (53.3%)
Others	182 (27.6%)
Diabetes mellitus	159 (24.1%)
Ischemic heart disease or Heart failure	136 (20.6%)
Ischaemic stroke	88 (13.4%)
Concomitant medications	659 (100.0%)
Yes	597 (90.6%)
No	62 (9.4%)
Previous treatment with VKAs	
Duration, Months (mean, SD)	47.83 (46.51)
n, (%)	659 (100.0%)
Acenocoumarol	625 (94.8%)
Warfarin	34 (5.2%)
Reasons for no longer receiving Pradaxa® at Visit 2	26 (100.0%)
Change of treatment (by patient or by investigator)	8 (30.8%)
Diarrhea, gastrointestinal discomfort, rectorrhagia, dyspepsia	10 (38.5%)
Exitus	1 (3.8%)
Others	7 (26.9%)
Reasons for no longer receiving Pradaxa® at Visit 3	27 (100.0%)
Change of treatment (by patient or by investigator)	7 (25.9%)

Diarrhea, gastrointestinal discomfort, rectorrhagia, dyspepsia	7 (25.9%)
Exitus	6 (22.2%)
Others	7 (25.9%)
Reasons for switching from VKA to Pradaxa® (a patient might have specified ≥1 reason)	659 (100%)
Poor INR control	484 (73.4%)
Patient's decision	137 (20.8%)
Other	113 (17.1%)
Reasons for dose change during the Follow-up period	15 (2.3%)
From 150 mg/bid to 110 mg/bid at Visit 2	5 (0.8%)
High risk of bleeding	2 (0.3%)
Moderate renal failure	1 (0.2%)
Other	2 (0.3%)
From 110 mg/bid to 150 mg/bid at Visit 2	1 (0.2%)
Other (insufficient dose related with patient weight)	1 (0.2%)
From 150 mg/bid to 110 mg/bid at Visit 3	7 (1.2%)
Moderate renal failure	3 (0.5%)
>80 years	2 (0.3%)
Other	2 (0.3%)
From 110 mg/bid to 150 mg/bid at Visit 3	2 (0.3%)
Other (Filtration improvement, Highest efficacy)	2 (0.3%)

PACT-Q2 score increased significantly (p<0.0001 based on Wilcoxon signed-rank test) after approximately 1 month (visit 2) and after 6 months (visit 3) of Pradaxa® treatment, compared with baseline, which means that patient convenience and satisfaction with Pradaxa® was higher than with the previous anticoagulation therapies. In addition, PACT-Q2 scores between visit 2 and 3 were further improved (p<0.0001). The summary of changes in PACT-Q2 between Visit1, 2 and 3 is presented below:

Changes in PACT-Q2 questionnaire scores: COMPARISONS VISIT 1 vs VISIT 2				
DOMAINS	Visit 1	Visit 2	p	
Convenience (range from 0 to 100)				
Mean (SD)	59.86 (25.39)	80.96 (16.98)	p<0.0001	
95% CI	(57.65; 62.06)	(79.48; 82.43)		
Median (P25; P75)	60.58 (40.38; 80.77)	84.62 (71.15 ; 96.15)		
(Min; Max)	(0.00; 100.00)	(26.92; 100.00)		
Valid N	512	512		

Satisfaction anticoagula treatment (range fron	int			
Mean (SI	O) 49.15 (17.88) 69	9.35 (14.26)	p<0.0001
95% CI	(47.59;	50.70) (6	8.11; 70.59)	
Median (P25; P75) 50.00 (39.2	29; 60.71) 67.86	(60.71; 78.57)	
(Min; Ma	(0.00; 1	100.00) (21	.43; 100.00)	
Valid N	51	1	511	

Changes in PACT-Q2 questionnaire scores: COMPARISONS VISIT 1 vs VISIT 3				
DOMAINS	Visit 1	Visit 3	р	
Convenience (range from 0 to 100)				
Mean (SD)	60.19 (25.59)	84.29 (15.19)	p<0.0001	
95% CI	(57.92; 62.46)	(82.95; 85.64)		
Median (P25; P75)	61.54 (40.38; 80.77)	88.46 (75.00 ; 96.15)		
(Min; Max)	(0.00; 100.00)	(26.92; 100.00)		
Valid N	491	491		
Satisfaction with anticoagulant treatment (range from 0 to 100)				
Mean (SD)	49.44 (17.74)	73.19 (14.80)	p<0.0001	
95% CI	(47.86; 51.01)	(71.88; 74.51)		
Median (P25; P75)	50.00 (39.29; 60.71)	75.00 (64.29 ; 82.14)		
(Min; Max)	(0.00; 100.00)	(21.43; 100.00)		
Valid N	489	489		

Changes in PACT-Q2 questionnaire scores: COMPARISONS VISIT 2 vs VISIT 3					
DOMAINS Visit 2 Visit 3 p					
Convenience (range from 0 to 100)					
Mean (SD)	80.95 (17.01)	84.23 (15.24)	p<0.0001		
95% CI	(79.44; 82.47)	(82.88; 85.59)			
Median (P25; P75)	84.62 (71.15; 96.15)	88.46 (75.00 ; 96.15)			
(Min; Max)	(26.92; 100.00)	(26.92; 100.00)			
Valid N	486	486			
Satisfaction with anticoagulant treatment (range from 0 to 100)					
Mean (SD)	69.54 (14.22)	73.30 (14.66)	p<0.0001		
95% CI	(68.26; 70.81)	(71.99; 74.61)			
Median (P25; P75)	67.86 (60.71; 78.57)	75.00 (64.29 ; 82.14)			

	(Min; Max) (21.43; 100.00) (21.43; 100.00)
	Subgroup analyses showed no differences in treatment convenience and treatment satisfaction among different age groups, but there were differences among genders: at visit 3, males had higher mean convenience scores and females had higher mean satisfaction scores. There were also some differences among the autonomous communities, with patients from Basque Country being the ones with higher scores in convenience and treatment satisfaction at Visit 2 and Visit 3. The treatment convenience score at Visit 3 was also higher in patients with low-moderate risk of thromboembolism (according to CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc scale). With regards to the safety analysis, a total of 69 patients reported 82 adverse events, of which 18 (22.0%) were serious and 64 (78.0%) non-serious events. 6% of patients (n=39) suffered an adverse drug reaction related to the study treatment and most of them were non-serious reactions. Adverse drug reactions were mainly of gastrointestinal origin, with dyspepsia being the most frequent of them. 24 patients (3.7%) discontinued due to a drug-related adverse event. 8 patients died during the study (for some patients more than 1 adverse event with fatal outcome was reported) but only one patient died due to 2 SAEs assessed by the investigator as related with study treatment (lower and upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage).
Conclusion:	Patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation switched to and treated with Pradaxa® for stroke and embolism prevention have shown better satisfaction and convenience with the treatment, as compared to baseline when treated with vitamin K antagonists. This is probably related to the fact that Pradaxa® has less practical limitations and does not require frequent anticoagulation monitoring. The reported serious and non-serious adverse drug reactions in this study were consistent with known/listed side effects of Pradaxa®. Overall, no changes were observed in the safety profile for Pradaxa®.
Marketing Authorisation Holder(s):	Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH Binger Str. 173 D-55216 Ingelheim am Rhein Germany This study was initiated, managed and sponsored by: Boehringer Ingelheim España, S.A C/ Prat de la Riba, 50 08174 Sant Cugat del Vallés (Barcelona)
Names and affiliations of principal investigators:	The Coordinating Investigators were the following: Dr. Vivencio Barrios (Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain) Dr. Juan José Gómez Doblas (Hospital Virgen de la Victoria, Málaga, Spain) Dr. Carlos Escobar Cervantes (Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain)