# The Clinical Usefulness of the PANOMEN 3 Grade Score

**First published: 25/02/2025** 

**Last updated:** 25/02/2025





# Administrative details

EU PAS number	
EUPAS1000000488	
Study ID	
100000488	
DARWIN EU® study	
Study countries  Belgium Bulgaria Denmark	
European Union Finland	
France	

Germany	
Greece	
☐ Italy	
Netherlands	
Romania	
Spain	
Sweden	

#### Study description

The second Pituitary Neoplasm Nomenclature workshop (PANOMEN 2), initiated by the Pituitary Society, addressed the need for a comprehensive classification system that could guide prognosis and therapy of all pituitary adenomas (Ho et al. 2023) The PANOMEN 3 clinical classification Workshop has proposed an all-inclusive classification system by integrating clinical, genetic, biochemical, radiological, pathological, and molecular data (Ho et al. 2024). Evidence-based risk factors that are associated with increased morbidity and mortality were included in the classification. A corrected score is calculated dependent on the amount of risk factors assessed and converted into a ranked grade of 0 to 3. These rank grades are hypothesized to be able to reflect disease severity together with a morbidity and mortality risk range. Until now, this classification system has not been applied to a cohort of patients with pituitary adenomas, also not during the stage of conceptualization. Therefore, the discriminative potential together with the clinical usefulness of the system is yet unknown.

The primary aim is to evaluate the clinical usefulness of the PANOMEN 3 Grade score in patients with all types of pituitary adenomas seen at Endo-ERN Reference Centers.

#### **Study status**

Planned

### Research institutions and networks

#### Institutions

#### **Amsterdam UMC**

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024

Institution

**Educational Institution** 

Hospital/Clinic/Other health care facility

#### **Networks**

European Reference Network on Rare Endocrine Conditions (endo-ERN)

#### Contact details

#### **Study institution contact**

Loren van der Hoeven I.s.vanderhoeven@amsterdamumc.nl

Study contact

I.s.vanderhoeven@amsterdamumc.nl

#### **Primary lead investigator**

Alberto M. Pereira 0000-0002-1194-9866

Primary lead investigator

#### **ORCID** number:

# Study timelines

#### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 01/10/2023

#### Study start date

Planned: 14/10/2024

#### **Date of final study report**

Planned: 01/03/2027

# Sources of funding

• EU institutional research programme

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

# Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

#### **Study topic:**

Disease /health condition

#### Study type:

Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Other

#### If 'other', further details on the scope of the study

Clinical utility of proposed PANOMEN 3 grade score

#### **Data collection methods:**

Secondary use of data

#### Study design:

For this study, data is collected both retrospectively and prospectively using the Core Registry and the Condition Specific Pituitary Tumour Module of the European Registries for Rare Endocrine Conditions (EuRRECa; Workpackage 5 of Endo-ERN).

#### Main study objective:

The primary aim is to evaluate the clinical usefulness of the PANOMEN 3 Grade score in patients with all types of pituitary adenomas seen at Endo-ERN Reference Centers.

# Study Design

#### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Population studied

#### Short description of the study population

Patients with any type of pituitary adenomas (functioning and non-functioning) seen and/or treated at Endo-ERN Reference Centers.

#### Age groups

Paediatric Population (< 18 years)

Neonate

Preterm newborn infants (0 - 27 days)

Term newborn infants (0 – 27 days)

Infants and toddlers (28 days – 23 months)

Children (2 to < 12 years)

Adolescents (12 to < 18 years)

Adult and elderly population (≥18 years)

Adults (18 to < 65 years)

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Elderly (≥ 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

Adults (85 years and over)

# Study design details

#### Setting

- (1) Patients seen and/or treated at Endo-ERN Reference Centers who are registered in the Core Registry and Pituitary Tumour Module (no age restriction);
- (2) With the Primary Condition of Pituitary Adenoma (Index 1.23; 7.1) (Includes

the following Specific Diagnosis: Pituitary adenoma, Cushing disease, Familial isolated pituitary adenoma, Functioning gonadotropic adenoma, Functioning pituitary adenoma, Mixed functioning pituitary adenoma, Non-functioning pituitary adenoma, Null pituitary adenoma, Prolactinoma, Silent pituitary adenoma, Somatomammotropinoma, TSH-secreting pituitary adenoma, Acromegaly, X-linked acrogigantism, Pituitary carcinoma

(3) Who received their diagnosis after 01-01-2015 (Index 1.22)

#### **Outcomes**

Primary outcomes are the associations between the Grade scores at the time of diagnosis and at six months after a potential first surgery and tumour specific and general (co)morbidities, and HRs of Grade 1, 2, and 3 at time of diagnosis and six months after a potential first surgery in reference to Grade 0 for the following tumour specific (co)morbidities:

- Tumour growth/regrowth (event)
- Biochemical remission (in patients with functioning pituitary adenomas)
   (event)
- Biochemical recurrence (in patients with functioning pituitary adenoma in remission) (event)
- First surgery (event)
- Second surgery (in patients who have received a first surgery) (event)
- Medical therapy (event)
- Radiotherapy (event)
- Clinical apoplexy (event)
- Radiological apoplexy (event)

#### Data analysis plan

Descriptive data (n/N (%), mean  $\pm$  SD, median (IQR)) will be analyzed, dependent on data structure and normality, by Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, One way ANOVA (followed by post-hoc tests to identify differences

between two specific groups), and Kruskal Wallis test (by post-hoc tests to identify differences between two specific groups).

Potential differences in prevalences of comorbidities at the time of the calculated PANOMEN 3 Grade scores will be evaluated by Chi-square tests and by calculating odds ratios (ORs) via logistic regression analysis.

Time-to-event data will be analyzed by Kaplan-Meier Survival Curves and Log-Rank tests, followed by Simple Cox Regression analysis to assess the unadjusted HR per Grade in reference to Grade 0.

# Data management

#### **ENCePP Seal**

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025.

The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

# Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

#### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

# Unknown Check completeness Unknown

#### **Check stability**

**Check conformance** 

Unknown

## **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

# Data characterisation

#### **Data characterisation conducted**

No