

# Real-world Comparative Effectiveness of Anti-EGFRs with Doublet Chemotherapy Versus Doublet Chemotherapy with or without Bevacizumab in Firstline Among RAS/RAF Wild-type, non-dMMR/MSI High mCRC Patients with Left-sided Primary Tumors in the Flatiron Health CRC Enhanced Datamart (20240215)

**First published:** 21/02/2025

**Last updated:** 12/03/2025

Study

Ongoing

## Administrative details

### EU PAS number

EUPAS1000000470

---

### Study ID

1000000470

---

## DARWIN EU® study

No

---

### Study countries

☐ United States

---

### Study status

Ongoing

## Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

Amgen

☐ United States

**First published:** 01/02/2024

**Last updated:** 21/02/2024

Institution

## Contact details

### Study institution contact

Global Development Leader Amgen Inc.  
medinfo@amgen.com

Study contact

[medinfo@amgen.com](mailto:medinfo@amgen.com)

## Primary lead investigator

Global Development Leader Amgen Inc.

Primary lead investigator

## Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 15/11/2024

---

### Study start date

Planned: 29/01/2025

Actual: 29/01/2025

---

### Data analysis start date

Planned: 03/03/2025

Actual: 03/03/2025

---

### Date of final study report

Planned: 01/12/2025

## Sources of funding

- Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

## Regulatory

### Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

---

## Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

### Study type list

#### **Study topic:**

Human medicinal product

---

#### **Study type:**

Non-interventional study

---

#### **Scope of the study:**

Effectiveness study (incl. comparative)

#### **Data collection methods:**

Secondary use of data

---

#### **Study design:**

Retrospective, active comparator, new user cohort study.

#### **Main study objective:**

The main study objectives are:

- To compare overall survival in Rat sarcoma (RAS)/ Rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma (RAF) Wild-type (WT), non-Mismatch repair deficient (dMMR)/ Microsatellite instability (MSI) high mCRC patients with left-sided primary

tumors initiating treatment with panitumumab in combination with FOLFOX versus bevacizumab in combination with FOLFOX in the front-line (1L) setting, within the US Flatiron Health Enhanced Datamart (FH EDM).

- To compare overall survival in RAS/RAF WT, non-dMMR/MSI high mCRC patients with left-sided primary tumors initiating treatment with panitumumab in combination with FOLFOX versus FOLFOX alone in the front-line setting, within the US FH EDM.

## Study Design

### **Non-interventional study design**

Cohort

## Study drug and medical condition

### **Name of medicine**

VECTIBIX

---

### **Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name**

PANITUMUMAB

---

### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(L01FE02) panitumumab

panitumumab

---

### **Medical condition to be studied**

Colorectal cancer metastatic

## Population studied

## Short description of the study population

Adults with diagnosis of metastatic (Stage IV) mCRC

---

### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 65 years)

Elderly ( $\geq$  65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

Adults (85 years and over)

---

### Estimated number of subjects

22000

## Study design details

### Comparators

FOLFOX (Leucovorin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin)

Bevacizumab

FOLFIRI (Leucovorin, fluorouracil, irinotecan)

CAPEOX (Capecitabine and oxaliplatin)

---

### Outcomes

Overall Survival (OS)

---

### Data analysis plan

The study will use propensity score weighting (inverse probability of treatment weights - IPTW) to create treatment cohorts for evaluation of the comparative effectiveness (i.e. OS) of:

1) panitumumab plus FOLFOX versus FOLFOX with or without bevacizumab in the 1L setting (primary objective); and

2) anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) agent  
(panitumumab/cetuximab) versus doublet chemotherapy  
(FOLFOX/FOLFIRI/CAPEOX) with or without bevacizumab in the 1L setting  
(secondary objective).

## Data management

### Data sources

#### **Data sources (types)**

Electronic healthcare records (EHR)

### Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

#### **CDM mapping**

No

### Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Yes

---

#### **Check completeness**

Yes

---

#### **Check stability**

Yes

---

## **Check logical consistency**

Yes

# Data characterisation

## **Data characterisation conducted**

Yes

---

## **Data characterisation moment**

after data extraction