

# Assessing the Risk of Falls and Fractures in Older Adults with Diabetic Polyneuropathy Initiated on Gabapentinoids, SNRIs, or TCAs: An Observational Study (SAFER-PDPN)

**First published:** 24/06/2025

**Last updated:** 24/06/2025

Study

Ongoing

## Administrative details

### EU PAS number

EUPAS1000000419

---

### Study ID

1000000419


---

### DARWIN EU® study

No

---

### Study countries

 Denmark

 Switzerland

---

## **Study description**

Painful diabetic polyneuropathy (PDPN) affects up to a quarter of patients with diabetes and requires effective pain management. However, first-line treatments, including gabapentinoids, serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), all carry risks, particularly in older adults. Importantly, all three drug classes increase the risk of falls, which is worrying because falls are a major cause of morbidity and mortality. To date, there is no evidence comparing the risk of falls and fall-related injuries in older people receiving first-line therapies for PDPN. Therefore, this study aims to assess and compare the risk for falls and fractures in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy after initiation of gabapentinoids versus SNRIs or TCAs. This study will emulate a clinical trial of gabapentinoids, SNRIs and TCAs in older people with PDPN using the Danish nationwide registers. Patients are eligible if they are 65 years or older, have PDPN and are starting one of the drugs being studied. Patients are excluded if they have an alternative indication or a contraindication for one of the initiated drugs. Follow-up will be 6 months. We will mimic randomisation using propensity score weighting.

---

## **Study status**

Ongoing

## **Research institutions and networks**

### **Institutions**

Department of Drug Design and Pharmacology,  
University of Copenhagen

# Inselspital

**First published:** 01/02/2024

**Last updated:** 01/02/2024

Institution

## Contact details

### Study institution contact

Aljoscha Goetschi [aljoscha.goetschi@insel.ch](mailto:aljoscha.goetschi@insel.ch)

Study contact

[aljoscha.goetschi@insel.ch](mailto:aljoscha.goetschi@insel.ch)

### Primary lead investigator

Morten Andersen 0000-0001-7029-2860

Primary lead investigator

### ORCID number:

0000-0001-7029-2860

## Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 07/01/2025

Actual: 07/01/2025

---

### Study start date

Planned: 05/05/2025

Actual: 21/05/2025

---

### **Data analysis start date**

Planned: 12/05/2025

---

### **Date of final study report**

Planned: 31/08/2025

## Sources of funding

- Other public funding (e.g. hospital or university)

## More details on funding

AG received a "UniBE Short Travel Grants for (Post)Docs" from the University of Bern financing the short research stay to conduct this study. The University of Bern was not involved in designing the study.

## Study protocol

[PDPN\\_Protocol\\_v6.pdf](#) (716.85 KB)

## Regulatory

### **Was the study required by a regulatory body?**

No

---

### **Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?**

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

**Study topic:**

Disease /health condition

---

**Study type:**

Non-interventional study

---

**Scope of the study:**

Safety study (incl. comparative)

**Data collection methods:**

Secondary use of data

---

**Study design:**

We will emulate a clinical trial of gabapentinoids versus SNRIs or TCAs in PDPN using the Danish nationwide registers. Randomisation will be mimicked using a set of pre-specified propensity score weights.

**Main study objective:**

1°: To estimate the risk for falls and fractures in patients with painful diabetic neuropathy after initiation of gabapentinoids versus SNRIs or TCAs.

2°: To estimate the risk for falls and fractures in patients with painful diabetic polyneuropathy after initiation of gabapentin versus pregabalin, duloxetine, venlafaxine, amitriptyline or nortriptyline.

## Study drug and medical condition

**Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name**

GABAPENTIN

PREGABALIN  
AMITRIPTYLINE  
VENLAFAXINE  
DULOXETINE

---

**Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(N02BF01) gabapentin  
gabapentin  
(N02BF02) pregabalin  
pregabalin  
(N06AA09) amitriptyline  
amitriptyline  
(N06AA10) nortriptyline  
nortriptyline  
(N06AX16) venlafaxine  
venlafaxine  
(N06AX21) duloxetine  
duloxetine

---

**Medical condition to be studied**

Fall  
Fracture

---

**Additional medical condition(s)**

Painful diabetic polyneuropathy (PDPN)

## Population studied

**Short description of the study population**

Persons aged 65 with diabetes initiating any of the studied drugs (proxy for painful diabetic polyneuropathy), with no treatment with any of the studied drugs in the last year and without diagnoses for depression, restless-legs syndrome, migraine, epilepsy, cardiac arrhythmia, heart block, congestive heart failure, urinary incontinence, falls or fractures in the last five years and no myocardial infarction in the last year.

---

### **Age groups**

- Elderly ( $\geq 65$  years)

## Study design details

### **Setting**

Danish national registries in the timeperiod from 01.01.2004 to 31.12.2024.

---

### **Interventions**

Initiation of gabapentinoids (pregabalin or gabapentin), selective noradrenalin reuptake inhibitors (duloxetine or venlafaxine) or tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline or nortriptyline).

---

### **Comparators**

Only active comparators.

---

### **Outcomes**

1°: Crude and propensity score weighting adjusted Hazard ratios (HRs) for falls and fall-related injuries for gabapentinoids versus SNRI or TCA initiators.

2°: Crude and propensity score weighting adjusted Hazard ratios (HRs) for falls and fall-related injuries for initiators of gabapentin versus initiators of pregabalin, an SNRI or a TCA.

---

## **Data analysis plan**

First, we will determine, whether pregabalin and gabapentin are a suitable reference group (i.e. whether they are homogenous enough). We will do this by assessing the covariate overlap, crude and adjusted HRs. We will calculate propensity scores with logistic regression, where the exposure to either gabapentin or pregabalin will be the dependent variable and the predefined covariates the independent variables. We will use standardised mortality ratio weights (SMRWs) to estimate the average effect on the treated, with gabapentin as the reference. Should there be no clinically relevant difference, we will proceed with a drug class based comparison described below.

We will calculate propensity scores with logistic regression, where the exposure to any of the studied drugs will be the dependent variable (gabapentinoids are the reference and the respective other drug groups the comparator). Predefined covariates will be the independent variables. We will perform the analysis with propensity score weighting using SMRWs. For this, we will set the weights to 1 for gabapentinoid initiators and to calculated weights for TCA and SNRI initiators. As SMRWs can be susceptible to extreme weights, we will follow Stürmer, et al.'s approach to trim the weights at the 2.5th and the 97.5th percentile. Therefore, our estimand is the average effect on the treated (i.e., what would have been the fall and fracture risk had gabapentinoid initiators, instead initiated TCAs or SNRIs). We will analyse the effect of study drug initiation using a multivariate Cox regression model, estimating crude and propensity score weighted hazard ratios (HRs). We will use a sandwich (robust covariance matrix) estimator for the confidence intervals (CIs), to take into account the multiple inclusion of patients.

The analysis of for the secondary outcomes will follow the same procedure.

## **Documents**

### **Study, other information**

## Data management

### ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

## Data sources

### Data source(s)

Danish Health Data Registries

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### CDM mapping

No

## Data quality specifications

### Check conformance

Yes

---

### Check completeness

Yes

---

**Check stability**

Yes

---

**Check logical consistency**

Yes

## Data characterisation

**Data characterisation conducted**

Yes

---

**Data characterisation moment**

after creation of study variables