

# Effectiveness of bivalent Covid-19 booster vaccines in the Nordic countries

**First published:** 06/09/2023

**Last updated:** 23/04/2024

Study

Ongoing

## Administrative details

### EU PAS number

EUPAS106558

---

### Study ID

106559

---

### DARWIN EU® study

No

---

### Study countries

☐ Denmark

☐ Finland

☐ Norway

☐ Sweden

---

### Study description

The larger Nordic countries of Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden, provide a unique setting for the study of Covid-19 vaccination effectiveness. The ubiquitous nationwide demography- and health registers, which includes SARS-CoV-2 immunization and surveillance registers, allows for very large study cohorts with near real-time data availability. Available evidence suggests that bivalent booster vaccinations during autumn 2022 provided additional protection against Covid-19 hospitalisations while protection against any SARS-CoV-2 infection is more modest. However, current studies only provide insight on effectiveness in follow-up periods that do not extend beyond 2-6 months and on the Omicron subvariants prevailing during the study period. The aim of this project is to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of the bivalent boosters in preventing severe Covid-19 outcomes and all-cause mortality among individuals aged 50 years or older with 1 year of follow-up.

---

## Study status

Ongoing

## Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens  
Serum Institut

☐ Denmark

**First published:** 16/03/2010

**Last updated:** 24/02/2012

Institution

Outdated

EU Institution/Body/Agency

Laboratory/Research/Testing facility

ENCePP partner

## Contact details

### Study institution contact

Anders Hviid [aai@ssi.dk](mailto:aai@ssi.dk)

Study contact

[aai@ssi.dk](mailto:aai@ssi.dk)

### Primary lead investigator

Anders Hviid

Primary lead investigator

## Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 01/08/2023

Actual: 01/08/2023

---

### Study start date

Planned: 01/08/2023

Actual: 01/08/2023

---

### Date of final study report

Planned: 08/01/2024

## Sources of funding

- EMA

## Study protocol

[EMA\\_ROC17\\_STUDYPROTOCOL\\_\\_ENCePP\\_v1\\_final\\_031023.pdf](#) (1.22 MB)

## Regulatory

**Was the study required by a regulatory body?**

Yes

---

**Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?**

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

### Study type list

**Study type:**

Non-interventional study

---

**Scope of the study:**

Effectiveness study (incl. comparative)

**Main study objective:**

The aim of this project is to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of the bivalent boosters in preventing severe Covid-19 outcomes and all-cause mortality among individuals aged 50 years or older with 9 months of follow-up.

## Study Design

### **Non-interventional study design**

Cohort

## Population studied

### **Age groups**

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

Adults (85 years and over)

---

### **Special population of interest**

Immunocompromised

---

### **Estimated number of subjects**

3400000

## Study design details

### **Outcomes**

### **Data analysis plan**

We will take advantage of the unique nationwide register-data available to us, and construct country cohorts with individual-level information on dates of vaccination and dates of effectiveness end-points together with relevant covariate information. Using target trial emulation, we will compare bivalent booster dose recipients head-to-head and with unboosted individuals in matched survival analysis that provides comparative effectiveness estimates while taking into account a range of covariates.

## Documents

### **Study, other information**

[ROC17\\_Study\\_Report\\_Encepp\\_Annex\\_final\\_20240228.pdf](#) (3.45 MB)

## Data management

### ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

## Data sources

### **Data sources (types)**

Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims)

Other

---

**Data sources (types), other**

Prospective patient-based data collection

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

**CDM mapping**

No

## Data quality specifications

**Check conformance**

Unknown

---

**Check completeness**

Unknown

---

**Check stability**

Unknown

---

**Check logical consistency**

Unknown

---

## Data characterisation

**Data characterisation conducted**

No