Comparison of participant characteristics in decentralized clinical trials, conventional clinical trials, and real-world patients: a descriptive study

First published: 28/07/2023 Last updated: 21/02/2025



### Administrative details

#### **EU PAS number**

EUPAS106035

#### **Study ID**

106207

#### DARWIN EU® study

No

### **Study countries**

United Kingdom

### **Study description**

Decentralized clinical trial (DCT) approaches have the promise of improving trial representativeness, by improving trial access, allowing the inclusion of immobile participants or participants from rural areas, and lowering the burden of trial participation. Therefore, an eligible participant who would not participate in a conventional trial with regular on-site trial-related procedures may participate in a decentralized trial. Broad representativeness improves the generalizability of the study results, which may benefit regulatory and clinical decision-making. However, it is unclear whether the promise of increased representativeness can be met in practice. This study aims to compare the participant characteristics of those who participated in a fully decentralized trial and a comparable clinical trial to real-world patients who were intended to be treated and those who would have been eligible to participate. To this end, we selected a decentralized and conventional trial that both evaluated the effect of aspirin for the primary prevention of vascular events in patients with diabetes.

**Study status** 

Finalised

### Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

Division of Pharmacoepidemiology & Clinical Pharmacology (PECP), Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS), Utrecht University

Netherlands

First published: 01/03/2010



# Contact details

### Study institution contact

Gardarsdottir Helga h.gardarsdottir@uu.nl

Study contact

h.gardarsdottir@uu.nl

### Primary lead investigator

Gardarsdottir Helga

Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

### **Date when funding contract was signed** Planned: 23/12/2022

Study start date Planned: 28/08/2023 Actual: 01/08/2023

**Date of final study report** Planned: 03/06/2024 Actual: 29/01/2025

# Sources of funding

• EU institutional research programme

### More details on funding

IMI Trials@Home

# Study protocol

20240411\_Research protocol\_ENCEPP\_v2.pdf(987.19 KB)

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

# Other study registration identification numbers and links

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2025.104304

Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

#### **Study topic:**

Other

#### Study type:

Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Other

### If 'other', further details on the scope of the study

Compare baseline characteristics of trial participants with real world patients to study the representativeness.

### Main study objective:

This study's aim is to describe the differences in baseline characteristics between a decentralized clinical trial and a conventional clinical trial compared to real-world patients (i.e. those who are intended to use the intervention under study, and the eligible patients).

# Study Design

### Non-interventional study design

Cross-sectional

# Study drug and medical condition

### Medical condition to be studied

Diabetes mellitus

# Population studied

#### Age groups

Infants and toddlers (28 days – 23 months) Children (2 to < 12 years) Adolescents (12 to < 18 years) Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years) Adults (65 to < 75 years) Adults (75 to < 85 years) Adults (85 years and over)

### Estimated number of subjects

830000

# Study design details

#### Outcomes

The proportion of participants and real world patients with key baseline characteristics (these will be discussed with a diabetologist) for categorical variables and mean (SD) for continuous variables. We will describe the differences between the trial participants, intended users, and eligible patients for both the decentralized and the conventional clinical trial. Baseline characteristics that were not considered key characteristics or were not reported in both the decentralized trial and the conventional trial (but only in one of these trials) may be explored.

### Data analysis plan

We will use descriptive statistics to report on the baseline characteristics. Continuous variables will be described using the mean (standard deviation) and median (interquartile range) and categorical variables will be described by the number and percentage of patients in each category. Participation to prevalence ratios and Cohen's D may be calculated to compare categorical and continuous characteristics, respectively, for the intended users, eligible patients and trial participants. CPRD Gold data will be used that is representative of the UK population.

### Documents

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2025.104304

### Data management

### **ENCePP** Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

### Data sources

### Data sources (types) Electronic healthcare records (EHR)

# Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

### **Check conformance**

Unknown

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

### **Check stability**

Unknown

### Check logical consistency

Unknown

# Data characterisation

### Data characterisation conducted

No