Comparative safety study to assess the risk of anastomotic leakage of two-row versus three-row manual circular staplers in colorectal anastomosis

First published: 16/01/2023 Last updated: 22/02/2024



## Administrative details

#### **EU PAS number**

EUPAS50570

#### **Study ID**

50692

#### DARWIN EU® study

No

#### **Study countries**

United States

#### **Study description**

The retrospective cohort study is designed to estimate the risk of anastomotic leak among patients who underwent a left-sided colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler (Ethicon Manual Circular Staplers or Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with DST<sup>™</sup> technology) relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler (i.e. Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with Tri-Staple<sup>™</sup> technology). This study will include two head-to-head comparisons: Ethicon Manual Circular Stapler versus Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with Tri-Staple<sup>™</sup> Technology, and Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with DST<sup>™</sup> Technology versus Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with DST<sup>™</sup> Technology. The index procedure will be defined as the initial procedure in which the device of interest was used. The index admission will include the time from admission to discharge. Propensity score weighting (PSW) will be used for confounding adjustment, followed by log-binomial regression for risk estimation. All eligible patients identified from the Premier Healthcare Database between Jan 2019 and Jun 2022 will be included in the analysis.

### Study status

Finalised

# Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

### Johnson & Johnson

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024



## Contact details

#### Study institution contact

Tongtong Wang TWang124@its.jnj.com

Study contact

TWang124@its.jnj.com

Primary lead investigator Tongtong Wang

Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

#### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 12/01/2023 Actual: 12/01/2023

### Study start date

Planned: 23/01/2023 Actual: 30/01/2023

Data analysis start date Planned: 28/02/2023 Actual: 27/02/2023

Date of final study report Planned: 30/09/2023 Actual: 18/10/2023

## Sources of funding

• Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

## More details on funding

Johnson & Johnson

# Study protocol

RWE23-SAF-001 -Manual Circular Staplers\_v1\_Redacted.pdf(8.05 MB)

RWE23-SAF-001 -Manual Circular staplers\_V2\_Redacted.pdf(3.98 MB)

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

**Study type:** Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

#### Main study objective:

To estimate the risk and relative risk (RR) of anastomotic leak (AL) among patients who underwent a left-sided colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler.

## Study Design

### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Study drug and medical condition

### Additional medical condition(s)

left-sided colorectal surgery

# Population studied

#### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years) Adults (65 to < 75 years) Adults (75 to < 85 years) Adults (85 years and over)

### Estimated number of subjects

12000

# Study design details

#### Outcomes

To estimate the risk and RR of AL within 30 days post-index procedure among patients who underwent a left-sided colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler (Ethicon Manual Circular Staplers or Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with DST<sup>™</sup> technology) relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler (i.e. Medtronic EEA<sup>™</sup> Circular Stapler with Tri-Staple<sup>™</sup> technology). To estimate the RR of AL among patients who underwent a left-sided colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler relative to use of a 3-row manual colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler the RR of AL among patients who underwent a left-sided colorectal surgery with use of a 2-row manual circular stapler relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler stapler relative to use of a 3-row manual circular stapler, stratified by diverting stoma prior to or on the same day as a left-sided colorectal surgery during the index admission.

#### Data analysis plan

1) Descriptive analyses will be first performed for demographic, clinical, and procedural characteristics of patients at the time of the index procedure where a study device was used. Cumulative incidences of AL and 95% confidence interval (Cls) will calculated for all device cohorts, and also stratified by key characteristics. 2) In the primary analyses, a propensity score weighting (PSW) method will be used to control for potential confounders, followed by logbinomial regression for risk estimation. 3) In the secondary analyses, all primary analyses will be repeated separately in those with and without a diverting stoma procedure prior to or on the same day as the index procedure (i.e. leftsided colorectal surgery) during the index admission. 4) A sensitivity analysis will be conducted by repeating the primary analysis among patients from hospitals with at least 30 days continuous enrollment in PHD after the patients' index procedure.

### Documents

#### **Study results**

IJCD online publication.pdf(633.49 KB)

## Data management

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

### Data sources

### Data source(s), other

Premier Healthcare Database United States

#### Data sources (types)

Other

### Data sources (types), other

This study will use hospital billing records contained in the Premier Healthcare Database (PHD). The PHD contains complete clinical coding, hospital cost, and patient billing data from more than 1041 hospitals throughout the United States.

# Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

CDM mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

#### Check conformance

Unknown

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

### Check stability

Unknown

### Check logical consistency

Unknown

## Data characterisation

#### Data characterisation conducted

No