# Safety of Paxlovid Among Patients with Moderate or Severe Hepatic or Renal Impairment

**First published:** 14/12/2022

**Last updated:** 19/08/2024





## Administrative details

#### Study description

This study aims to answer the 2 research questions what is the comparative safety of liver, abdominal, anaphylactic reactions, and other outcomes, in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment exposed to Paxlovid and what is the comparative safety of abdominal, anaphylactic reactions, and other outcomes, in patients with moderate or severe renal impairment exposed to Paxlovid?

The primary objective is to assess the safety of Paxlovid relative to the comparator populations who used molnupiravir for COVID-19 and to unexposed patients with COVID-19 with respect to hospitalisations or emergency room visits for the following outcomes among individuals with moderate or severe renal impairment: severe vomiting, nausea, diarrhoea, or abdominal pain, dysgeusia, headache, or hypertension, anaphylactic reactions, and for the same outcomes in addition to hepatic transaminase elevations, clinical hepatitis, or jaundice among individuals with moderate or severe hepatic impairment. The study will focus on the target populations. Within each population, there will be a descriptive analysis and comparative analyses. Molnupiravir, an antiviral with a similar recommended usage, will be used as an active comparator in the data sources for which it is available, other drugs may be incorporated as active comparators as more information becomes available. A second comparator group is included in the study: individuals who were at increased risk for progression to severe COVID-19 but had not received Paxlovid or molnupiravir. This PASS will make secondary use of several data sources from electronic health records and/or claims data in European countries that have the ability to capture Paxlovid exposure and where the target populations, study outcomes, and key covariates can be ascertained.

#### **Study status**

Ongoing

Research institutions and networks

### **Institutions**

# Pfizer First published: 01/02/2024 **Last updated:** 01/02/2024 Institution University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU) □ Netherlands **First published:** 24/11/2021 Last updated: 22/02/2024 Institution **Educational Institution** Hospital/Clinic/Other health care facility **ENCePP** partner RTI Health Solutions (RTI-HS) France ] Spain Sweden ☐ United Kingdom United Kingdom (Northern Ireland) **United States First published:** 21/04/2010

**Last updated:** 13/03/2025 Not-for-profit **ENCePP** partner Institution Fundació Institut Universitari per a la Recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina, **IDIAPJGol** ☐ Spain **First published:** 05/10/2012 **Last updated:** 23/05/2025 Institution **Educational Institution** Laboratory/Research/Testing facility **ENCePP** partner Not-for-profit Bordeaux PharmacoEpi, University of Bordeaux France **First published:** 07/02/2023 **Last updated:** 08/12/2025 Institution **Educational Institution** Hospital/Clinic/Other health care facility Not-for-profit **ENCePP** partner

Agenzia regionale di sanità della Toscana (ARS)

Italy	
First published: 01/02/2024	
Last updated: 12/03/2024	
Institution	

# **Networks**

The SIGMA Consortium (SIGMA)
☐ Denmark
European Union
France
☐ Germany
Italy
Netherlands
Norway
Spain
Sweden
United Kingdom
First published: 10/02/2013
<b>Last updated:</b> 16/12/2024
Network ENCePP partner

# Contact details

#### **Study institution contact**

### Muhammad Younus muhammad.younus2@pfizer.com

**Study contact** 

muhammad.younus2@pfizer.com

#### **Primary lead investigator**

Muhammad Younus

**Primary lead investigator** 

### Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 18/03/2022

Actual: 18/03/2022

#### Study start date

Planned: 01/03/2024

Actual: 01/03/2024

#### **Date of final study report**

Planned: 31/03/2026

## Sources of funding

Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

### More details on funding

## Study protocol

C4671047 PROTOCOL V1 16NOV2022.pdf (3.98 MB)

C4671047 PROTOCOL AMENDMENT 2 V3 21JUN2023 SIGNED.pdf (1.32 MB)

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

Yes

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

EU RMP category 3 (required)

# Methodological aspects

# Study type

# Study type list

### **Study type:**

Non-interventional study

### Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness Safety study (incl. comparative)

#### Main study objective:

Assess the safety of Paxlovid among 1) individuals with moderate or severe hepatic impairment and 2) individuals with moderate or severe renal impairment; compared to users of molnupiravir or to unexposed.

# Study Design

### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Study drug and medical condition

#### **Medicinal product name**

**PAXLOVID** 

#### Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name

**NIRMATRELVIR** 

RITONAVIR

### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(J05AE) Protease inhibitors

Protease inhibitors

(J05AE30) nirmatrelvir and ritonavir

nirmatrelvir and ritonavir

#### Medical condition to be studied

**Jaundice** 

Vomiting

Nausea

Diarrhoea

Abdominal pain

Dysgeusia

Headache

Anaphylactic reaction

#### Additional medical condition(s)

Hepatic transaminase elevations, clinical hepatitis

### Population studied

#### Age groups

- Infants and toddlers (28 days 23 months)
- Children (2 to < 12 years)
- Adolescents (12 to < 18 years)</li>
- Adult and elderly population (≥18 years)
  - Adults (18 to < 65 years)</li>
    - Adults (18 to < 46 years)
    - Adults (46 to < 65 years)</li>
  - Elderly (≥ 65 years)
    - Adults (65 to < 75 years)</li>
    - Adults (75 to < 85 years)
    - Adults (85 years and over)

#### **Special population of interest**

Hepatic impaired

Renal impaired

# Study design details

#### **Outcomes**

Hepatic transaminase elevations, clinical hepatitis, or jaundice, severe vomiting, nausea, diarrhoea, or abdominal pain, dysgeusia, headache, or hypertension, anaphylactic reactions.

#### **Data analysis plan**

The study will have a cohort design, the design is retrospective, and the data were collected prospectively. Focusing on the target populations, the descriptive component will include tabulations of age, sex, comorbidities, selected concurrent medications, COVID-19 vaccination status, history of COVID-19, current COVID-19 status and setting of Paxlovid use (among Paxlovid users). Comparative analyses will be based on the estimation of risk/prevalence, risk/prevalence ratios, and risk/prevalence differences. Comparative analyses will control for measured confounding within each data source. Aggregated results from each data source will be combined using metanalytic techniques as numbers allow. If a study population is too small, analyses will be only descriptive, pooling of results from various data sources will be undertaken only if at least 3 independent data points are available.

### **Documents**

#### Study, other information

1047\_DeclarationofInterests\_combined.pdf (2.79 MB)

1047\_DeclarationofInterests-Annex5\_template \_Muhammad Younus.pdf (104.35 KB)

### Data management

### **ENCePP Seal**

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

### Data sources

#### Data source(s)

The Information System for Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP)

Système National des Données de Santé (French national health system main database)

Clinical Practice Research Datalink

#### **Data sources (types)**

Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims)

Disease registry

Drug dispensing/prescription data

Electronic healthcare records (EHR)

### Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

#### **CDM** mapping

Yes

#### **CDM Mappings**

#### **CDM** name

ConcepTION CDM

#### **CDM** website

https://www.imi-conception.eu/

### **CDM** release frequency

6 months

# Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

#### **Check completeness**

Unknown

#### **Check stability**

Unknown

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

### Data characterisation

#### **Data characterisation conducted**

No