NN9535-4447 Epidemiological assessment of the risk for pancreatic cancer associated with the use of semaglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes- A cohort study based on Nordic registry data

First published: 30/09/2020 Last updated: 23/04/2024



Administrative details

EU PAS number

EUPAS37258

Study ID

49276

DARWIN EU® study

No

Study countries

Denmark

Norway

Study description

The aim of this study is to evaluate whether exposure to semaglutide influences the risk of pancreatic cancer in patients with type 2 diabetes. This is achieved by estimating the risk of pancreatic cancer associated with semaglutide use as compared to use of other non-incretin antidiabetic drugs used at a similar stage as Ozempic[®] or Rybelsus[®] in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. A multinational, non-interventional study based on health care data from Denmark, Sweden, and Norway is conducted covering the period 2018-2023. A cohort study design is used comparing new users of semaglutide with new users of other antidiabetic drugs used at a similar stage as Ozempic® or Rybelsus® in the treatment of type 2 diabetes (active comparators). Active comparators will include the following non-incretin antidiabetic agents: sulphonylureas, sodiumglucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors, and insulin subdivided into i) basal insulin only and ii) basal + bolus insulin or premix insulin. Propensity scores are used to match new users of semaglutide with new users of active comparators. National prescription-, cancer- and patient registries are used to identify exposure to antidiabetic agents, pancreatic cancer cases, and covariates to be used in propensity score matching. This study is a post-authorisation safety study (PASS).

Study status

Ongoing

Research institutions and networks

Institutions

University of Southern Denmark (SDU)

Denmark

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 27/03/2024

Institution (Educational Institution

Centre for Pharmacoepidemiology, Karolinska Institutet (CPE-KI)

Sweden

First published: 24/03/2010

Last updated: 23/04/2024



Pharmacoepi center, University of Southern Denmark

Denmark

First published: 22/04/2010

Last updated: 27/07/2023

Institution

Educational Institution

ENCePP partner



Contact details

Study institution contact

Anton Pottegård apottegaard@health.sdu.dk

Study contact

apottegaard@health.sdu.dk

Primary lead investigator Anton Pottegård

Primary lead investigator

Study timelines

Date when funding contract was signed Planned: 01/11/2018 Actual: 01/11/2018 Study start date Planned: 01/12/2020 Actual: 26/01/2021

Date of final study report Planned: 31/03/2026

Sources of funding

• Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

More details on funding

Novo Nordisk A/S

Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

Yes

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)? EU RMP category 3 (required)

Other study registration identification numbers and links

UTN- U1111-1214-6228

Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

Study type:

Non-interventional study

Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

Main study objective:

To estimate the risk of pancreatic cancer associated with semaglutide use as compared to use of other non-incretin antidiabetic drugs used at a similar stage as Ozempic® or Rybelsus® in the treatment of type 2 diabetes.

Study Design

Non-interventional study design

Cohort

Study drug and medical condition

Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name

SEMAGLUTIDE

Medical condition to be studied

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

Population studied

Age groups Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years) Adults (65 to < 75 years) Adults (75 to < 85 years) Adults (85 years and over)

Estimated number of subjects

600000

Study design details

Outcomes

The endpoint used to address the primary objective is the occurrence of first time (i.e. incident) malignant neoplasm of pancreas as defined by relevant diagnostic codes.

Data analysis plan

The propensity for initiating treatment with semaglutide as opposed to active comparators is estimated using logistic regression to determine the association between covariates and semaglutide initiation. The propensity score is used to match new users of semaglutide to new users of active comparators. Ozempic® and Rybelsus® initiation will be handled in two separate propensity score models. Further, patients who are treated/not treated with semaglutide as opposed to active comparators against prediction are removed by asymmetric trimming of the tails of the propensity score. In the final study sample, the hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals for pancreatic cancer comparing users of semaglutide to users of active comparators is estimated by using a Cox proportional hazards model. Several supplementary analyses are planned as well as sensitivity analyses to check the influence from the analytical/design choices on the study findings.

Documents

Study report

First Progress Report NN9535-4447.pdf(224.86 KB) Progress report NN9535-4447_3.pdf(293.98 KB) Regulatory Progress Report NN9535-4447 progress report 2.pdf(221.29 KB)

Study, other information

_ Regulatory Progress Report NN9535-4447 progress report_2.pdf(221.29 KB) Progress report NN9535-4447_3.pdf(293.98 KB)

Data management

ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

This study has been awarded the ENCePP seal

Conflicts of interest of investigators

2020-0065 Declaration of Interests.pdf(903.89 KB)

Composition of steering group and observers

ENCePP Seal Composition of Steering Group and Observers.pdf(7.63 KB)

Data sources

Data source(s)

Danish registries (access/analysis)

Data source(s), other

Danish Registries (access/analysis)

Data sources (types)

Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims) Disease registry Other

Data sources (types), other Exposure registry

Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

CDM mapping

No

Data quality specifications

Check conformance

Unknown

Check completeness

Unknown

Check stability

Unknown

Check logical consistency

Unknown

Data characterisation

Data characterisation conducted

No