A non-interventional register-based comparative effectiveness study of rhFSH-alfa reference product vs. highly purified human menopausal gonadotropin or rhFSH-alfa biosimilar products for ovarian stimulation in in vitro fertilization or intracytoplasmic sperm injection treatment in Denmark and Sweden – The Nordic Follitropin Alfa Comparative Effectiveness Study (NORD-FACE)

First published: 23/06/2021

Last updated: 12/03/2025





### Administrative details

**EU PAS number** 

**EUPAS41175** 

Study ID

49075

#### **DARWIN EU® study**

No

Stud	y coun	tries
	enmark	

Swede	n

#### Study description

The urinary gonadotropins had been used universally until the introduction of recombinant technology. Even if this technology had shown improvement in purity, consistency, and specific activity of gonadotropin products, both types of products, urinary and recombinant gonadotropins, are on the market at the present. From a clinical perspective, the decision regarding what kind of gonadotropin to give to a woman undergoing a treatment for fertility is still challenging. One of the points to consider is the possible differences in effectiveness among the different gonadotropins. This non-interventional study is based on secondary data from national population-based registers with prospective data collection in Denmark and Sweden. The study uses a cohort design and is conducted as a comparative effectiveness and safety study with head-to-head comparisons of drugs used for treatment of infertility and in assisted reproductive technology (ART). The study drugs are rhFSH-alfa reference product (drug of interest), HP-hMG and rhFSH-alfa biosimilar products (comparator drugs). The primary objective is to compare rhFSH-alfa reference product with HP-hMG or rhFSH-alfa biosimilar products regarding live birth outcomes. The overall study population includes women, aged 18 years or older, who initiated IVF/ICSI stimulation cycle with rhFSH-alfa reference product, HP-hMG, or rhFSH-alfa biosimilar product monotherapy for controlled ovarian stimulation (COS), 2010-2020. Different study periods are applied for the comparison of rhFSH-alfa reference product with, respectively, HP-hMG (2010-2020) and rhFSH-alfa biosimilar products (2014-2020).

#### **Study status**

Finalised

## Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

IQVIA
United Kingdom
First published: 12/11/2021
Last updated: 22/04/2024
Institution Non-Pharmaceutical company ENCePP partner

## Contact details

### **Study institution contact**

Mickael Arnaud PAS\_registrations@iqvia.com

 $\Big( \mathsf{Study} \ \mathsf{contact} \Big)$ 

PAS\_registrations@iqvia.com

### Primary lead investigator

Mickael Arnaud

Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

#### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 25/11/2020 Actual: 25/11/2020

#### Study start date

Planned: 27/05/2021 Actual: 27/05/2021

#### Data analysis start date

Planned: 20/09/2021 Actual: 01/04/2022

#### Date of interim report, if expected

Planned: 01/04/2022

#### **Date of final study report**

Planned: 29/07/2022 Actual: 05/10/2023

# Sources of funding

• Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

# More details on funding

Merck Healthcare KGaA

## Study protocol

Protocol-Merck\_NORD-FACE\_Study\_v1.0\_27May2021.pdf(6.22 MB)

# Regulatory

#### Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

### Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

# Study type

## Study type list

#### **Study type:**

Non-interventional study

### Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness Effectiveness study (incl. comparative)

#### Main study objective:

To compare rhFSH-alfa reference product with HP-hMG or rhFSH-alfa biosimilar products regarding live birth outcome measures.

## Study Design

#### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Study drug and medical condition

#### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(G03GA02) human menopausal gonadotrophin human menopausal gonadotrophin (G03GA05) follitropin alfa follitropin alfa

## Population studied

#### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years)

#### **Estimated number of subjects**

79000

# Study design details

#### **Outcomes**

The primary outcome is live birth, measured as live birth rate (LBR) per initiated in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) stimulation cycle, cumulative live birth rate (CLBR) per initiated IVF/ICSI stimulation cycle, and CLBR in up to five initiated IVF/ICSI stimulation cycles (termed multiple-cycle MC-CLBR). The secondary outcomes are clinical pregnancy, ongoing pregnancy, oocytes retrieved, embryos transferred, embryos cryopreserved, utilizable embryos, implantation, pregnancy loss, multiple pregnancy, cycle cancellation, OHSS, and treatment-associated costs.

#### Data analysis plan

The analysis will be conducted in two stages: (i) construction of inverse probability of treatment weighted (IPTW) study cohorts, by modelling rhFSH-alfa reference product vs. HP-hMG treatment initiation and rhFSH-alfa reference product vs. rhFSH-alfa biosimilar product treatment initiation, (ii) estimation of the effect of rhFSH-alfa reference product on the outcomes, compared with the respective comparator drugs. Descriptive analysis will be conducted to describe the baseline characteristics of the study cohorts. For outcomes, rates per 100 units of observations will be estimated with 95% Cls. Adjusted odds ratios with 95% Cls will be estimated from the statistical model weighted with IPTWs and any variables included in the propensity score (PS) that are still unbalanced between the study cohorts after weighting. The analyses will be conducted for each data source separately and combined using meta-analyses, providing a summary estimate for all data sources.

### **Documents**

### Study report

EUPAS\_EUPAS41175\_NORDFACE Study\_Abbreviated Study Report\_Redacted For Publication.pdf(215.29 KB)

## Data management

### **ENCePP Seal**

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025.

The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency

#### but are no longer maintained.

This study has been awarded the ENCePP seal

#### **Conflicts of interest of investigators**

DolForm\_v1.6\_Investigators.pdf(3.28 MB)

#### **Composition of steering group and observers**

NORD-FACE EU PAS 41175 No Steering Group and Observers.pdf(59.93 KB)

#### Signed code of conduct

empty file.pdf(11.35 KB)

#### Signed code of conduct checklist

empty file.pdf(11.35 KB)

#### Signed checklist for study protocols

empty file.pdf(11.35 KB)

### Data sources

#### Data source(s)

Danish registries (access/analysis)

Sweden National Prescribed Drugs Register / Läkemedelsregistret

#### Data source(s), other

Danish Registries (access/analysis), The Swedish prescribed drug register

#### Data sources (types)

Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims)

# Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

### **Check stability**

Unknown

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

## Data characterisation

#### **Data characterisation conducted**

No