

A prospective observational study to assess effectiveness of the training and risk minimisation measures recommended for the usage of the diagnostic agent NeuraCeq™ in the post-authorisation clinical situation: A post-authorisation safety study (PASS)

**First published:** 21/01/2016

**Last updated:** 11/03/2021

Study

Finalised

## Administrative details

**EU PAS number**

EUPAS12145

---

**Study ID**

39964

---

**DARWIN EU® study**

No

---

## Study countries

-  France
  -  Germany
  -  Italy
  -  Spain
  -  United Kingdom
- 

## Study description

Study to monitor the frequency of reading errors of Florbetaben (18F) PET scan images after completion of NeuraCeq PET scan reading training. Participating Nuclear medicine physicians will be asked to take a test assessment consisting of 20 Neuraceq PET cases including positive and negative cases. These test cases are presented immediately after the reader training as well as 6 months later. The test scans will include cases with histopathology confirmation of the diagnosis, and longitudinal clinical data in MCI subjects, as well as scans from young cognitively normal healthy volunteers. Test scan assessments will be matched against scan read outs made by 2 independent experts, who agreed on the scan report as positive or negative. Study on effectiveness of risk minimisation measures as recommended in the NeuraCeq™ RMP to be assessed for the same 100 nuclear medicine physicians who underwent reader training and agreed to participate in the study. Effectiveness of the risk minimisation measure is based on results of a questionnaire, which evaluates compliance with the recommended risk minimisation measures. The Nuclear Medicine physicians will populate the questionnaire twice, first immediately after inclusion into the study and again 6 months later. If scan reader training has been performed more than one month before study inclusion, label information will be retrained.

---

## Study status

Finalised

# Contact details

## Study institution contact

Andrew Stephens [f.elsholz@life-mi.com](mailto:f.elsholz@life-mi.com)

Study contact

[f.elsholz@life-mi.com](mailto:f.elsholz@life-mi.com)

## Primary lead investigator

Andrew Stephens

Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

## Date when funding contract was signed

Actual: 15/12/2015

---

## Study start date

Planned: 31/03/2016

Actual: 24/03/2016

---

## Data analysis start date

Planned: 15/03/2017

Actual: 01/06/2017

---

## Date of interim report, if expected

Planned: 30/06/2017

Actual: 28/06/2017

---

## Date of final study report

Planned: 29/03/2019

Actual: 01/02/2019

## Sources of funding

- Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

## More details on funding

Piramal Imaging Ltd.

## Regulatory

### **Was the study required by a regulatory body?**

Yes

---

### **Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?**

EU RMP category 3 (required)

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

### Study type list

### **Study topic:**

Human medicinal product

Disease /health condition

---

**Study type:**

Non-interventional study

---

**Scope of the study:**

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

Safety study (incl. comparative)

Other

**If 'other', further details on the scope of the study**

Effectiveness evaluation of training material

**Data collection methods:**

Combined primary data collection and secondary use of data

---

**Main study objective:**

1. To monitor effectiveness of nationally approved material to enable PET scan readers to correctly classify abnormal or normal Florbetaben (18F) tracer uptake in the brain.2. To monitor the understanding and compliance of readers with the approved indication, limitation of use and interpretation of Florbetaben (18F) images.

## Study Design

**Non-interventional study design**

Other

---

**Non-interventional study design, other**

Prospective observational study to assess effectiveness of the training and risk minimisation measures recommended for the usage of the diagnostic agent NeuraCeq™ in the post-authorisation clinical situation, post-authorisation safety study

## Study drug and medical condition

### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(V09AX06) florbetaben (18F)

florbetaben (18F)

---

### **Medical condition to be studied**

Dementia Alzheimer's type

## Population studied

### **Short description of the study population**

Physicians who order Neuraceq for clinical usage after marketing authorisation in Europe.

---

### **Age groups**

- Adults (18 to < 46 years)
  - Adults (46 to < 65 years)
  - Adults (65 to < 75 years)
  - Adults (75 to < 85 years)
  - Adults (85 years and over)
- 

### **Estimated number of subjects**

100

## Study design details

## Data analysis plan

Due to the exploratory nature of the study, the analysis will be mostly descriptive. For categorical data, absolute and relative frequencies (in %) will be calculated. Quantitative data will be shown by descriptive statistics (mean, SD, min, max, median, quartiles). 95%-confidence intervals will be computed for frequencies and means as appropriate. For both primary variables the variation among the readers will be addressed by displaying the frequency distribution of readers on the number of reading errors resp. incorrect answers (e.g. number of readers who show reading errors 0-10%, >10-20%, >20-30% etc.).

## Data management

### ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

## Data sources

### Data sources (types)

[Other](#)

---

### Data sources (types), other

Visual assessment results of 20 PET scan cases previously assessed by two independent experts (to achieve the first goal, the primary objective 1 - effectiveness of training material). Answers of a multiple choice questionnaire

(to achieve the second goal, the primary objective 2 - monitoring the effectiveness of risk minimisation measures.

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### **CDM mapping**

No

## Data quality specifications

### **Check conformance**

Unknown

---

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

---

### **Check stability**

Unknown

---

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

## Data characterisation

### **Data characterisation conducted**

No