# Survey to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Risk Minimisation Measures for Atezolizumab Use in the European Union

First published: 07/12/2017 Last updated: 02/07/2024



### Administrative details

#### **EU PAS number**

EUPAS21920

#### **Study ID**

39417

#### **DARWIN EU® study**

No

#### **Study countries**

Denmark

Germany

ltaly

Spain



#### **Study description**

This is a multi-country, one-wave, cross-sectional physician survey to evaluate the effectiveness of additional Risk Minimisation Measures (aRMM) for atezolizumab use, covering receipt of, understanding and use, knowledge and behavior among physicians, and in particular aRMM effectiveness in informing physicians to recognize and manage immune-related adverse drug reactions (irADRs). The online survey questionnaire, comprising multiple-choice and true/false questions, will be conducted in several European countries where atezolizumab has been launched.

#### Study status

Finalised

### Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

OXON Epidemiology
Spain
United Kingdom
First published: 06/12/2010
Last updated: 15/03/2024
Institution Laboratory/Research/Testing facility Non-Pharmaceutical company
ENCePP partner

# Multiple centres: 300 centres are involved in the study

### Contact details

### Study institution contact

Flavia Di Nucci global.clinical\_trial\_registry@roche.com

 $\Big($ Study contact $\Big)$ 

global.clinical\_trial\_registry@roche.com

**Primary lead investigator** Flavia Di Nucci

Primary lead investigator

### Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 12/10/2018 Actual: 12/10/2018

### Study start date

Planned: 29/04/2019

Actual: 30/04/2019

### Date of final study report Planned: 06/07/2020

Actual: 26/06/2020

### Sources of funding

• Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

### More details on funding

F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

# Study protocol

WO40486\_Protocol\_11 Dec 2018\_Redacted.pdf(1.58 MB)

Prot WO40486 Tecentriq v1\_Redacted.pdf(1.85 MB)

### Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

Yes

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)? EU RMP category 3 (required)

Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

### **Study topic:**

Human medicinal product

### Study type:

Non-interventional study

### Scope of the study:

Effectiveness study (incl. comparative)

#### Data collection methods:

Primary data collection

### Main study objective:

The main objective of the study is to assess the receipt of the atezolizumab HCP Guide and Patient Alert Card (PAC) for the target physician population and to assess the level of knowledge of key messages related to Immune-related Adverse Drug Reactions (IrADRs) outlined in the atezolizumab HCP Guide and PAC.

## Study Design

### Non-interventional study design

Cross-sectional

## Study drug and medical condition

**Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name** ATEZOLIZUMAB

### **Population studied**

### Short description of the study population

Physicians who prescribed or managed at least one patient with Tecentriq intheir routine clinical practice.

### Eligibility Criteria

Physicians must meet the following criteria for study inclusion:

• Oncologist, pulmonologist or urologist who has prescribed or managed at least one patient with atezolizumab in routine clinical practice.

Physicians who meet any of the following criteria will be excluded from the study:

• Physician used atezolizumab only in clinical trials or in an expanded access programme.

- Physician refuses to participate.
- Physician is a current or former employee of Roche or delegates.

### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years) Adults (65 to < 75 years) Adults (75 to < 85 years) Adults (85 years and over)

#### **Special population of interest**

Other

### Special population of interest, other

Urothelial cancer patients

### Estimated number of subjects

300

## Study design details

#### Outcomes

Primary Outcomes are as follows:- Percentage of respondents that report having received the HCP Guide and PAC.- Percentage of respondents that correctly answered each knowledge sub-question. An individual physician score will be calculated as the proportion of all knowledge sub-questions with correct responses. Secondary Outcomes are as follows:- Percentage of respondents that report having understood the HCP Guide.- Percentage of respondents that report having read or used the HCP Guide and PAC. - Percentage of respondents that correctly answered each behavior sub-question. An individual physician score will be calculated as the proportion of all behavior sub-questions with correct responses.

#### Data analysis plan

Statistical analyses will be mainly descriptive. Continuous variables will be described by their number (of valid cases, of missing values), mean, standard deviation, median, interquartile range, minimum and maximum. Categorical variables will be described as the total number and relative percentage per category. Confidence intervals of 95% will be evaluated, when relevant. The number of missing data will be indicated. Since missing values are expected to be few and distributed at random, no replacement or imputation will be performed. Missing values will not be considered in the denominators for proportions. For physician questionnaire measures, results will be stratified by country and physician's specialty. Results may be weighted according to the distribution of physicians in the general population by country and specialty.

### Documents

#### **Study results**

Final\_CSR\_Study\_WO40486\_\_Published\_Output-1\_CSR\_Synopsis\_Redacted.pdf (1.08 MB)

### Data management

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

### Data sources

### Data sources (types)

Other

**Data sources (types), other** Physicians survey

# Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

**CDM** mapping

No

## Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

#### **Check completeness**

Unknown

#### **Check stability**

Unknown

### Check logical consistency

Unknown

# Data characterisation

### Data characterisation conducted

No