EXPLORING THE BIDIRECTIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DATABASE MARKERS OF ASTHMA TREATMENT ADHERENCE AND ASTHMA-RELATED OUTCOMES (ICS ADHERENCE & ASTHMA CONTROL OUTCOMES)

First published: 23/01/2014

Last updated: 08/08/2024



## Administrative details

### **EU PAS number**

EUPAS4891

### Study ID

34622

### DARWIN EU® study

No

### Study countries

United Kingdom

### **Study description**

The study will be a prospectively-planned observational study using electronic medical records collected from primary care practices in the United Kingdom to explore to what extent and in what contexts adherence may be considered an asthma outcome, or/and a predictor of asthma outcomes by conducting an observational study designed to investigate the bi-directional relationship between database markers of asthma treatment adherence and asthma control.

#### **Study status**

Finalised

### Research institutions and networks

### Networks

Respiratory Effectiveness Group (REG)
Belgium
Denmark
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Italy
Netherlands

Spain
Sweden
United Kingdom
First published: 07/07/2021
Last updated: 04/06/2024
Network ENCePP partner

# Contact details

### Study institution contact

### Sarah Lucas enquiries@regresearchnetwork.org

Study contact

enquiries@regresearchnetwork.org

Primary lead investigator Gene Colice Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

Date when funding contract was signed Planned: 01/11/2013 Actual: 23/01/2014

Study start date Planned: 03/03/2014

### Date of final study report

Planned: 01/07/2014 Actual: 01/07/2018

### Sources of funding

• Other

### More details on funding

Respiratory Effectiveness Group (REG)

## Study protocol

Adherence study protocol\_REG\_15Jan2014\_ADEPT approved.pdf(1.1 MB)

## Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

### Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

Methodological aspects

Study type

Study type list

### **Study topic:**

Human medicinal product

### Study type:

Non-interventional study

### Scope of the study:

Effectiveness study (incl. comparative) Other

### If 'other', further details on the scope of the study

Adherence - asthma control interaction analysis

### Data collection methods:

Secondary use of data

### Main study objective:

To explore to what extent and in what contexts adherence may be considered an asthma outcome, or/and a predictor of asthma outcomes by conducting an observational study designed to investigate the bi-directional relationship between database markers of asthma treatment adherence and asthma control

## Study Design

#### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

## Study drug and medical condition

### Medical condition to be studied

Asthma

## Population studied

### Short description of the study population

Asthma patients.

Patients must meet the following inclusion criteria:

1. Have 3-years of continuous medical records, including 1 year prior to the IPD and 2 years after the IPD.

2. Received a physician-diagnosis of asthma (i.e. recorded diagnostic, Read Code) at least one year prior to the IPD.

3. Aged  $\geq$ 6 years at IPD (i.e.  $\geq$ 5 years at time of recorded asthma diagnosis)

4. At the IPD, received their first prescription\* for an ICS (any) delivered via metered dose inhaler (MDI) or dry powder inhaler (DPI)

5. On active asthma therapy (defined as  $\geq$ 2 prescriptions for ICS and/or SABA at different points in time during each of the two outcome years).

### Age groups

Children (2 to < 12 years) Adolescents (12 to < 18 years)

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

Adults (85 years and over)

### Special population of interest

Other

### Special population of interest, other

Asthma patients

#### Estimated number of subjects

50000

### Study design details

#### Outcomes

Database markers of adherence -prescription-based refill ratesComposite database markers of asthma controlModerate/Severe exacerbation (composite measures)SABA usage (proxy symptoms)Treatment stability (i.e. database marker of control and no use of additional therapy)

#### Data analysis plan

Phase 1, longitudinal evaluation: Descriptive analysis of adherence, asthma outcomes and confounders over the study periodPhase 2: Cross-lagged panel design – SEM models of asthma adherence and outcomes: Associations between adherence and each outcome (in a cross-lagged panel analysis) using the number of time intervals selected in Phase 1.Phase 3: 3.Hierarchical longitudinal models – separate MLM models of adherence (MPR) and asthma outcomes: Predictors of between- and within-subject variance in adherence and outcomes will be explored via hierarchical longitudinal models. If possible, adherence and outcome variables will be coded both as simultaneous and as lagged measurements in separate models, to examine both types of relationships. Time-varying predictors will be recoded to distinguish between individual mean levels and within-person deviations from individual means

### Documents

### **Study publications**

Souverein PC, Koster ES, Colice G, Van Ganse E, Chisholm A, Price D, Dima AL, R...

Vervloet M, van Dijk L, Spreeuwenberg P, Price D, Chisholm A, Van Ganse E, Pinn...

### Data management

### Data sources

### Data source(s)

Optimum Patient Care Research Database

#### Data sources (types)

Electronic healthcare records (EHR) Other

#### Data sources (types), other

Prospective patient-based data collection, The Optimum Patient Care Research Database (OPCRD). OPCRD contains all records from primary care practices in the UK who subscribe to the Optimum Patient Care (OPC) respiratory review. The dataset consists of both routine primary care electronic patient records + patient-reported questionnaire data (for a subset of patients who completed disease-specific questionnaires as part of the review).

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

#### **Check completeness**

Unknown

### **Check stability**

Unknown

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

### Data characterisation

### Data characterisation conducted

No