# Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitor (SGLT-2i) Use and Risk of Subsequent Amputation

First published: 24/10/2017 Last updated: 23/04/2024





### Administrative details

#### **PURI**

https://redirect.ema.europa.eu/resource/27791

#### **EU PAS number**

**EUPAS21368** 

#### Study ID

27791

#### DARWIN EU® study

No

#### Study countries

**United States** 

#### Study description

Recent findings from the CANVAS clinical trials suggest a possible increase in the risk of amputation associated with use of canagliflozin, a SGLT-2i drug, versus placebo. To our knowledge, there has been no study of the association between SGLT-2i initiation and amputation risk performed using large healthcare databases, which may be more representative of real-world clinical practice in a broader target population of patients with Type II diabetes mellitus, and using an active comparator, new user study design. To address this gap in knowledge, we propose to evaluate and compare the association between SGLT-2i initiation, relative to initiation of other second-line glucose lowering drugs, on the incidence and risk of diabetes-related amputation, using observational data from the commercially-insured U.S. population (<65 years old patients) and Medicare (?65 years old

patients) from 2013-2015, and based on an active comparator, new user study design. New users of SGLT-2i drugs will be compared to new users of other second-line active comparators (DPP-4 inhibitors and sulfonylureas). Exposure will be defined by at least two same-drug class prescription dispensing claims of either a SGLT-2i or an active comparator drug. The primary outcome of interest is lower-extremity amputation, additional secondary outcomes will be considered. The primary analysis will be carried out in an "astreated" fashion. We will use propensity scores to minimize imbalances in measured potential confounders between study cohorts. We will estimate and compare the cumulative incidence of both primary and secondary outcomes for each study cohort using weighted Kaplan-Meier methods. Crude and adjusted hazard ratios for both primary and secondary outcomes will be estimated using weighted Cox proportional hazards models, controlling for age, sex, as well as any potential confounders that remain unbalanced after propensity score implementation. A number of sensitivity analyses are planned

Study status

Ongoing

### Research institution and networks

### Institutions

### University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

First published: 01/02/2024 Last updated 01/02/2024

Institution

Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global **Public Health** 

### Contact details

Study institution contact

Til Stürmer Study contact

sturmer@unc.edu

Primary lead investigator

Til Stürmer

Primary lead investigator

# Study timelines

#### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 20/06/2017 Actual: 20/06/2017

#### Study start date

Planned: 20/06/2017 Actual: 20/06/2017

#### Data analysis start date

Planned: 20/06/2017 Actual: 20/06/2017

#### Date of final study report

Planned: 31/12/2018

# Sources of funding

Other

# More details on funding

Unfunded

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)? Not applicable

# Methodological aspects

Study type list

#### Study type:

Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

#### Main study objective:

To evaluate and compare the association between SGLT-2 inhibitor initiation, relative to other second-line glucose lowering drugs DPP-4 inhibitors and sulfonylureas, on the incidence and risk of diabetes-related amputation, based on a new-user, active comparator study design.

# Study Design

#### Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Study drug and medical condition

#### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(A10BB) Sulfonylureas

(A10BH) Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors

(A10BK) Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors

#### Medical condition to be studied

Diabetes mellitus management

Debridement

Diabetic foot

Peripheral vascular disorder

Peripheral revascularisation

#### Additional medical condition(s)

Lower-extremity amputation

## Population studied

#### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

# Estimated number of subjects 300000

## Study design details

#### **Outcomes**

The primary outcome of interest is lower extremity amputation (LEA), defined using ICD-9 or CPT procedure codes. In secondary outcome analysis, we will assess the association between SGLT-2i initiation and other consequences of diabetic disease, including the following conditions: debridement, diabetic foot ulcer and gangrene, peripheral vascular disease (PVD), and peripheral revascularization. These conditions will be identified using ICD-9 diagnosis and procedure codes as well as CPT procedure codes.

#### Data analysis plan

We will use an active comparator, new user study design, which tends to synchronize patients with respect to diabetes severity and duration, to compare new users of SGLT-2i with new users of DPP-4i and sulfonylureas. We will use propensity scores to remove imbalances in measured potential confounders between study cohorts. We will estimate and compare the cumulative incidence of both primary and secondary outcomes for each study cohort using weighted Kaplan-Meier methods. Crude and adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for both primary and secondary outcomes will be estimated using weighted Cox proportional hazards models, controlling for age, sex, as well as any potential confounders that remain unbalanced after propensity score implementation.

### **Documents**

#### Study publications

Yang JY, Wang T, Pate V, Gower EW, Crowley MJ, Buse JB, Stürmer T. Sodium-Gluco...

### Data management

### Data sources

Data source(s)

Ambulatory EMR - OMOP

Data sources (types)

Administrative data (e.g. claims)

Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

### **Check conformance**

Unknown

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

### **Check stability**

Unknown

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

# Data characterisation

**Data characterisation conducted** 

No