# Safety Evaluation of Adverse Reactions in Diabetes - Drug utilisation studies (SAFEGUARD)

First published: 22/08/2013

Last updated: 31/03/2024

Study Finalised

## Administrative details

#### **EU PAS number**

EUPAS4364

#### Study ID

20761

#### **DARWIN EU® study**

No

#### **Study countries**

Germany

Italy

Netherlands

Spain



#### **Study description**

The safety of pharmacological treatments used in type 2 diabetes is an ongoing concern. Associations between drugs such as rosiglitazone, pioglitazone and exenatide and adverse cardiovascular, urinary and pancreatic health outcomes have all been suggested in the last decade. Assessments of these associations have been complicated by the complex, often heterogeneous nature of type 2 diabetes treatment and by the strong link between severity of diabetes and/or non-response to treatment and changes in treatment. In a number of countries, information on both prescribing and/or dispensing of these medications and the adverse health outcomes potentially associated with them is routinely collected in electronic healthcare databases. Work package 4 of the FP7 funded Safety Evaluation of Adverse Reactions in Diabetes (SAFEGUARD) project seeks to utilize such databases to assess the safety of type 2 diabetes treatments. The primary objective of the SAFEGUARD Drug Utilisation Study (DUS) is to describe the use of non-insulin blood glucose lowering agents, insulin and insulin analogues in the data sources involved in SAFEGUARD. Utilisation will be described at a population, patient and prescription level and results will be presented stratified across a range of patient characteristics and other covariates. The results will provide information on the utilisation of type 2 diabetes drugs across six countries and will be used to inform exposure assessment and control of confounding in SAFEGUARD studies assessing the safety of drugs used in type 2 diabetes.

#### **Study status**

Finalised

### Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

### **Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam**

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024



## Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology - BIPS

Germany

First published: 29/03/2010

Last updated: 26/02/2024

1			<u>ا</u>	(	
	Institution	Not-for-profit	) (	ENCePP	partner

The PHARMO Institute for Drug Outcomes Research (PHARMO Institute)

☐ Netherlands

First published: 07/01/2022

Last updated:	24/07/2024
---------------	------------



RTI Health Solutions (RTI-HS)				
France				
Spain				
Sweden				
United Kingdom				
United Kingdom (Northern Ireland)				
United States				
First published: 21/04/2010				
Last updated: 13/03/2025				
Institution Not-for-profit ENCePP partner				

Department of Medical Informatics - Health Data Science, Erasmus Medical Center (ErasmusMC)

Netherlands

First published: 03/11/2022

Last updated: 02/05/2024

Institution

Educational Institution

ENCePP partner

Società Italiana di Medicina Generale e delle Cure Primarie (SIMG)

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024

Institution

Patient organisation/association

### University of Bath

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024

Institution

AEMPS Spain, UNIMIB Italy, BWH United States of America

## **Contact details**

#### **Study institution contact**

Miriam Sturkenboom mcjm.sturkenboom@gmail.com

Study contact

mcjm.sturkenboom@gmail.com

Primary lead investigator Miriam Sturkenboom

Primary lead investigator

## Study timelines

#### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 23/08/2011 Actual: 23/08/2013

**Study start date** Planned: 26/07/2013 Actual: 26/07/2013

Data analysis start date Planned: 01/09/2013 Actual: 05/09/2013

Date of final study report Planned: 30/09/2015 Actual: 25/08/2017

## Sources of funding

• EU institutional research programme

### More details on funding

Health Area of the European Commission under the VII Framework Programme

# Study protocol

Safety Evaluation of Adverse Reactions In Diabetes - Drug Utilisation Study.pdf (547.67 KB)

## Regulatory

#### Was the study required by a regulatory body?

Yes

#### Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

## Study type

# Study type list

#### Study topic:

Disease /health condition Human medicinal product

#### Study type:

Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Drug utilisation

#### **Data collection methods:**

Secondary use of data

#### Main study objective:

To describe the use of non-insulin blood glucose lowering drugs (NIBGLD), insulins and insulin analogues at a population, patient and prescription level.

# Study Design

#### Non-interventional study design

Other

#### Non-interventional study design, other

Descriptive retrospective observational study

## Study drug and medical condition

Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code (A10) DRUGS USED IN DIABETES DRUGS USED IN DIABETES

#### Medical condition to be studied

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

### **Population studied**

#### Short description of the study population

All patients (not just those diagnosed with T2DM) mellitus in the databases during the study period who have at least 365 consecutive days of valid data.

#### Age groups

Term newborn infants (0 – 27 days) Infants and toddlers (28 days – 23 months) Children (2 to < 12 years) Adolescents (12 to < 18 years) Adults (18 to < 46 years) Adults (46 to < 65 years) Adults (65 to < 75 years) Adults (75 to < 85 years) Adults (85 years and over)

Special population of interest

Other

Special population of interest, other

Diabetes mellitus patients

Estimated number of subjects

# Study design details

#### Data analysis plan

The monthly incidence, prevalence and volume of exposure to different A10\* coded drugs, drug classes and treatment regimens will be described. Rates of initiation, discontinuation and switching of each treatment will be calculated and patient characteristics associated with each rate will be described. Statistics describing the typical number, duration and dose of treatment episodes with each drug, drug class or treatment regimen per patient will be described. All results will be compared across age, sex and calendar year as well as across each of the participating data sources.

### Documents

#### **Study results**

Executive Summary\_DUS\_19APR2016.pdf(202.14 KB)

Data management

**ENCePP Seal** 

#### This study has been awarded the ENCePP seal



Conflicts of interest of investigators Dol\_MS\_ENCePP\_SDPP\_4364.pdf(197.66 KB)

**Composition of steering group and observers** ENCEPP\_SDPP\_4364\_Scientific Advisory Board.pdf(77.91 KB)

Signed code of conduct 4364\_Declaration of Compliance\_signed.pdf(315.37 KB)

Signed code of conduct checklist 4364\_Code of Conduct Checklist\_signed.pdf(899.03 KB)

Signed checklist for study protocols 4364\_Checklist for Study Protocols\_signed.pdf(1.41 MB)

### Data sources

Data source(s) Clinical Practice Research Datalink Health Search/IQVIA Health Longitudinal Patient Database Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI) PHARMO Data Network

#### Data source(s), other

CPRD, Health Search/CSD LPD, IPCI, PHARMO Data Network

Data sources (types) Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims) Drug dispensing/prescription data Electronic healthcare records (EHR)

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

#### **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

#### **Check completeness**

Unknown

#### **Check stability**

Unknown

#### Check logical consistency

Unknown

## Data characterisation

#### Data characterisation conducted

Unknown