

# Low radiant exposures delivered by high-frequency and/or long-pulsed diode laser energy output in hair reduction: an inpatient comparative, randomized double-blind trial (D800RCS)

**First published:** 21/03/2015

**Last updated:** 22/04/2017

Study

Planned

## Administrative details

### EU PAS number

EUPAS9050

---

### Study ID

18713

---

### DARWIN EU® study

No

---

### Study countries

Slovenia

---

## Study description

This is a randomized patient- and assessor-blinded study aimed at studying efficacy and safety of various output parameters of diode hair removal lasers. Until now a majority of hair reduction lasers (diode and alexandrite) has been using pulse widths of 3-30 ms though selective photothermolysis theory predicts that pulse durations closer to 100 ms should be safer and more effective. The study is thus aimed at comparing either medium radiant exposure (18-20 J/cm<sup>2</sup>) longer pulses (100 ms) or low radiant exposure (6 J/cm<sup>2</sup>) but high frequency (10 Hz) pulses with multiple passes (up to 10) to a standard diode laser approach (25-35 J/cm<sup>2</sup>, 12.5-30 ms, single pulsed) in hair reduction. Both safety and efficacy will be looked at up to one year after completion of six laser treatments in the paired axillary and bikini areas.

---

## Study status

Planned

## Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

#### Parmova Dermatology Center

**First published:** 01/02/2024

**Last updated:** 01/02/2024

Institution

## Contact details

**Study institution contact**

Milos Pavlovic mdpavlovic2004@yahoo.com

Study contact

[mdpavlovic2004@yahoo.com](mailto:mdpavlovic2004@yahoo.com)

**Primary lead investigator**

Milos Pavlovic

Primary lead investigator

## Study timelines

**Date when funding contract was signed**

Planned: 23/03/2015

Actual: 23/03/2015

---

**Study start date**

Planned: 09/05/2015

---

**Date of final study report**

Planned: 24/05/2017

## Sources of funding

- Other

## More details on funding

Own funds

# Study protocol

[CT protocol diode laser hair removal DCP.pdf](#) (137.9 KB)

## Regulatory

### **Was the study required by a regulatory body?**

No

---

### **Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?**

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

#### Study type list

##### **Study type:**

Clinical trial

---

##### **Scope of the study:**

Effectiveness study (incl. comparative)

##### **Main study objective:**

To show that the use of a low radiant exposure (6 J/cm<sup>2</sup>), standard pulse width (30 ms) and high repetition frequency (10 Hz) or the use of a medium radiant exposure (18 J/cm<sup>2</sup>), longer pulse width (100 ms) and medium repetition frequency (4 Hz) diode laser is equally efficacious but safer than standard diode

laser hair reduction protocol (25-35 J/cm<sup>2</sup>, 12.5-30 ms, 1 Hz).

## Study Design

### **Clinical trial randomisation**

Randomised clinical trial

## Population studied

### **Age groups**

- Adults (18 to < 46 years)
  - Adults (46 to < 65 years)
- 

### **Estimated number of subjects**

30

## Study design details

### **Outcomes**

The primary outcome parameter is reduction of hair growth evaluated 3 and 6 months following the last treatment. Secondary outcome parameters are treatment-related pain, adverse effects and treatment duration, as well as patient-rated efficacy evaluated 3 and 6 months following the last treatment.

---

### **Data analysis plan**

Primary outcome analysis is based on the reduction of hair growth at the follow-up visits in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population. ITT was defined as all patients who had received all 6 study treatments. Missing values at visit 8 were

implemented using the conservative last-observation-carried-forward (LOCF) approach. Additionally, a per-protocol (PP) analysis was performed as a sensitivity analysis. The goal of the trial is to show non-inferiority of the new treatment protocols. All data were analysed with the paired two-sample Student's t-test because the baseline data were normally distributed. All data are given as mean  $\pm$  SD. A P-value below 0.05 was considered significant. To assess consistency in hair counts, a Mann-Whitney U-test was performed. The patient satisfaction were compared between two lasers by using Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test. The differences of treatment time and p

## Data management

### ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

## Data sources

### Data sources (types)

[Other](#)

---

### Data sources (types), other

Prospective patient-based data collection

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

## **CDM mapping**

No

## Data quality specifications

### **Check conformance**

Unknown

---

### **Check completeness**

Unknown

---

### **Check stability**

Unknown

---

### **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

## Data characterisation

### **Data characterisation conducted**

No