

# Association between Pioglitazone and Bladder Cancer in a Medicare population

**First published:** 26/04/2016

**Last updated:** 27/03/2024

Study

Planned

## Administrative details

### EU PAS number

EUPAS13279

### Study ID

15784

### DARWIN EU® study

No

### Study countries

☐ United States

### Study description

This study utilizes a 20% random sample of Medicare Parts A, B and D claims data from 2006-2013 (2014 data may be added if it becomes available) to conduct an incident user comparative safety retrospective cohort study. The

cohorts will include beneficiaries aged 66 or older with diabetes who initiate pioglitazone, a dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors, or a sulfonylureas. Incident users of pioglitazone will be compared with incident users of a dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors, or a sulfonylureas with respect to incidence of bladder cancer.

---

## Study status

Planned

## Research institutions and networks

### Institutions

[University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill](#)

**First published:** 01/02/2024

**Last updated:** 01/02/2024

**Institution**

[Gillings School of Global Public Health](#)

### Contact details

#### Study institution contact

Til Stürmer [sturmer@unc.edu](mailto:sturmer@unc.edu)

**Study contact**

[sturmer@unc.edu](mailto:sturmer@unc.edu)

## Primary lead investigator

Til Stürmer

Primary lead investigator

## Study timelines

### Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 01/04/2016

---

### Study start date

Planned: 01/04/2016

---

### Date of final study report

Planned: 31/10/2016

## Sources of funding

- Other

## More details on funding

Unfunded

## Study protocol

[PioBladderCancer\\_SafetyProtocol\\_ENCePPv25Apr2016.pdf](#) (237.74 KB)

## Regulatory

## **Was the study required by a regulatory body?**

No

---

## **Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?**

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

### Study type

### Study type list

#### **Study type:**

Non-interventional study

---

#### **Scope of the study:**

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

#### **Main study objective:**

Examine the effect of initiation of pioglitazone relative to dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors and/or sulfonylureas on the incidence of bladder cancer based on a new-user active comparator design.

## Study Design

### **Non-interventional study design**

Cohort

## Study drug and medical condition

**Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(A10BB) Sulfonylureas

Sulfonylureas

(A10BG03) pioglitazone

pioglitazone

(A10BH) Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors

---

**Medical condition to be studied**

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

## Population studied

**Age groups**

- Adults (65 to < 75 years)
  - Adults (75 to < 85 years)
  - Adults (85 years and over)
- 

**Estimated number of subjects**

150000

## Study design details

**Outcomes**

Incidence of invasive and in situ bladder cancer

---

**Data analysis plan**

New users of pioglitazone(PIO) will be compared with new users of dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 inhibitors(DPP) or sulfonylureas(SU) with respect to incidence of

bladder cancer. Propensity score weighting will be used to create pseudo-populations in which all baseline risk factors are balanced between each of the comparison cohorts (PIO vs DPP and PIO vs. SU). The date of dispensing of a second prescription within each exposure group will serve as the cohort entry date and the start of follow-up. The primary 'as-treated' analysis, will follow patients until the outcome occurs or the date of first occurrence of death, end of study (31 Dec 2013 2014 data may be added if it becomes available), end of enrollment, or change in therapy (discontinuation, switch, or augment). An additional 'intention to treat' analysis will follow patients without regard for change in therapy. See full protocol for additional details and description of secondary and sensitivity analyses.

## Data management

### ENCePP Seal

The use of the ENCePP Seal has been discontinued since February 2025. The ENCePP Seal fields are retained in the display mode for transparency but are no longer maintained.

## Data sources

### **Data sources (types)**

[Administrative healthcare records \(e.g., claims\)](#)

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

**CDM mapping**

No

Data quality specifications

**Check conformance**

Unknown

---

**Check completeness**

Unknown

---

**Check stability**

Unknown

---

**Check logical consistency**

Unknown

Data characterisation

**Data characterisation conducted**

No