# Validation of predictors for oral anticoagulant medication choice using EMR data

First published: 03/01/2017

**Last updated:** 03/01/2017





## Administrative details

EU PAS number	
EUPAS16314	
Study ID	
16315	
DARWIN EU® study	
No	
Study countries United States	

#### **Study description**

This validation study seeks to ascertain a select set of covariates in electronic medical records (EMRs) linked to a subset of patients within the insurance claims data in order to assess the potential for unmeasured confounding in observational studies based on insurance claims databases only.

## **Study status**

**Planned** 

## Research institutions and networks

## Institutions

## Brigham and Women's Hospital

First published: 01/02/2024

Last updated: 01/02/2024

Institution

## Contact details

## **Study institution contact**

Sebastian Schneeweiss sschneeweiss@partners.org

Study contact

sschneeweiss@partners.org

## **Primary lead investigator**

Sebastian Schneeweiss

#### **Primary lead investigator**

## Study timelines

## Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 01/06/2012 Actual: 01/06/2012

#### Study start date

Planned: 06/01/2017

## Data analysis start date

Planned: 06/01/2017

#### **Date of final study report**

Planned: 30/09/2017

## Sources of funding

Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

## More details on funding

Boehringer Ingelheim

## Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body?

No

#### Is the study required by a Risk Management Plan (RMP)?

Not applicable

## Methodological aspects

# Study type

# Study type list

## Study type:

Non-interventional study

## Scope of the study:

Other

## If 'other', further details on the scope of the study

Validation Study

## Main study objective:

To identify select clinical covariates from electronic medical records that might be associated with initiation of oral anticoagulant medications. To quantify the association between EMR-based clinical characteristics and patterns of insurance claims. To assess the potential for unmeasured confounding in dabigatran vs warfarin comparative effectiveness and safety studies based on claims data

# Study Design

## Non-interventional study design

Cohort

Other

## Non-interventional study design, other

Validation Study

## Study drug and medical condition

#### **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

(B01A) ANTITHROMBOTIC AGENTS

**ANTITHROMBOTIC AGENTS** 

#### Medical condition to be studied

Atrial fibrillation

# Population studied

#### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

Adults (75 to < 85 years)

Adults (85 years and over)

#### **Estimated number of subjects**

60000

## Study design details

#### **Outcomes**

Obesity Smoking Alcohol consumptionAbnormal renal function Bleeding history or predispositionRenal function (estimated GFR)Serum CreatinineAbnormal liver function, Duration of atrial fibrillation History of adherenceHypertensionUncontrolled Hypertension (for HAS-BLED)Congestive heart failurePrior TIA DiabetesHyperlipidaemiaHAS-BLED ScoreUse of antiplatelets or NSAIDs (needed for HAS-BLED)

#### **Data analysis plan**

Analyses will characterize patients with EMR and compare them to those without EMR to assess representativeness of the linked sample. To identify covariates from EMR that might be associated with initiation of oral anticoagulants, the presence of EMR-based clinical characteristics among initiators of dabigatran and warfarin will be described. To quantify the association between EMR-based clinical characteristics and patterns of insurance claims, a prediction algorithm will be estimated using a regression model that uses each of the EMR characteristic as the model outcome and all available claims-based covariates as predictors. To assess the potential for unmeasured confounding, logistic regression models that predict exposure (dabigatran vs. warfarin) with claims data only, EMR data only, and both, will be fitted.

## Data management

## Data sources

## Data sources (types)

Administrative healthcare records (e.g., claims)

## Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

## **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

#### **Check conformance**

Unknown

## **Check completeness**

Unknown

## **Check stability**

Unknown

## **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

## Data characterisation

#### **Data characterisation conducted**

No