# Study Comparing Risk of Hospitalization for Heart Failure Between Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitors and Sulfonylureas

First published: 23/02/2015 Last updated: 02/04/2024





## Administrative details

## Contact details

Study institution contact Sheehan John Study contact

jack.sheehan@astrazeneca.com

Primary lead investigator Sheehan Jack

Primary lead investigator

**PURI** 

https://redirect.ema.europa.eu/resource/8696

**EU PAS number** 

EUPAS8695

Study ID

8696

**DARWIN EU® study** 

No

**United States** 

#### Study description

Primary objective: To compare the risk of hospitalization for heart failure (hHF) between patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) treated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4is) vs. sulfonylureas (SUs)Secondary objectives:1. To compare the risk of hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction (AMI), hospitalization for stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina, coronary revascularization, and a composite of all aforementioned outcomes including hHF between patients with T2DM treated with DPP-4is vs. SUs2. To compare the risk of hHF between patients with T2DM treated with saxagliptin vs. sitagliptin or linagliptin 3. To compare the risk of hospitalization for AMI, hospitalization for stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina, coronary revascularization, and a composite of all aforementioned outcomes including hHF between patients with T2DM treated with saxagliptin vs. sitagliptin or linagliptinStudy designThis will be a retrospective, observational cohort study. This study will use as its methodological foundation, as closely as possible and appropriate, the approach that is outlined in the Mini-Sentinel protocol for active surveillance of AMI in association with use of anti-diabetic agents.

#### Study status

Ongoing

## Research institution and networks

## Institutions

## AstraZeneca

First published: 01/02/2024 Last updated 01/02/2024

Institution

Truven Health Analytics Bethesda, MD, USA, Georgetown University Medical Center Washington, DC, USA

# Study timelines

Date when funding contract was signed

Planned: 10/12/2014 Actual: 10/12/2014

#### **Data collection**

Planned: 06/01/2015 Actual: 06/01/2015

## Start date of data analysis

Planned: 26/02/2015

#### Date of interim report, if expected

Planned: 29/05/2015

#### Date of final study report

Planned: 26/06/2015

# Sources of funding

Pharmaceutical company and other private sector

# More details on funding

AstraZeneca

# Study protocol

HHF\_Protocol\_2015-02-23.pdf(216.25 KB)

# Regulatory

Was the study required by a regulatory body? No

# Methodological aspects

# Study type

Study type list

#### Study type:

Non-interventional study

#### Scope of the study:

Assessment of risk minimisation measure implementation or effectiveness

#### Main study objective:

To compare the risk of hospitalization for heart failure between patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors vs. sulfonylureas

# Study Design

## Non-interventional study design

Cohort

# Study drug and medical condition

#### Study drug International non-proprietary name (INN) or common name

**ACETOHEXAMIDE** 

**CHLORPROPAMIDE** 

**GLIMEPIRIDE** 

**GLIPIZIDE** 

**NATEGLINIDE** 

**REPAGLINIDE** 

**TOLAZAMIDE** 

**TOLBUTAMIDE** 

**TOLBUTAMIDE SODIUM** 

## **Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code**

100000094066

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitors

#### Medical condition to be studied

Type 2 diabetes mellitus

# Population studied

#### Age groups

Adults (18 to < 46 years)

Adults (46 to < 65 years)

Adults (65 to < 75 years)

# Estimated number of subjects 533577

# Study design details

#### **Outcomes**

Hospitalization for heart failure: events will be defined as inpatient admission with a principal discharge diagnosis for heart failure (ICD-9-CM 428.xx). Hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction, hospitalization for stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina, coronary revascularization, and a composite of all aforementioned outcomes including hHF (please see protocol for detailed codes and criteria)

#### Data analysis plan

Propensity scores will be (nearest neighbour technique and enforcing a caliper of 0.01 on the probability scale) derived from a logistic regression model including a wide variety of demographic, insurance, utilization, and clinical variables measured during the baseline period. Outcomes will be compared using bivariate Cox proportional hazards models (i.e. using the exposure of interest cohort membership indicator as the only independent variable) applied to the propensity score matched cohorts. In a sensitivity analysis for only the primary outcome of hHF, hHF will be compared using multivariable Cox proportional hazards models applied to the cohorts before matching. All statistical analyses will be separately conducted in patients with prior cardiovascular disease vs. patients without prior cardiovascular disease.

## Data management

## Data sources

Data source(s), other

Truven Health Analytics MarketScan Research Databases United States

Data sources (types)

Administrative data (e.g. claims)

Use of a Common Data Model (CDM)

## **CDM** mapping

No

# Data quality specifications

## **Check conformance**

Unknown

## **Check completeness**

Unknown

## **Check stability**

Unknown

## **Check logical consistency**

Unknown

# Data characterisation

**Data characterisation conducted** 

No